

Next Steps of GRANDproto-35

GU Junhua
jhgu@nao.cas.cn

2019.04.26

Current Status of GP35

1. Antenna Setup

- ▶ Fiber setup has been finished.
- ▶ Currently 21 GP35 antennas have been installed
- ▶ Still some problems in daily data acquisitions, e.g., too quiet, too noise, no response, abnormal data stream bursts

2. Data Acquisition Server

- ▶ Currently only one DAQ server is used (sufficient to handle currently used antennas)

3. Data Acquisition Software Development

- ▶ 2016.12 DAQ software development was launched
- ▶ 2017.02 First major version released
- ▶ 2018.08 Reconstructed with *RUST* language in order to make the software framework more clear enough to understand and scalable in future large scale deployments (e.g., GP300)
- ▶ 2018.09 New DAQ softwares are released and put into use.

Next Steps of GP35

- ▶ Setup all the rest antennas

Next Steps of GP35

- ▶ Setup all the rest antennas
- ▶ If the data stream rate exceeds current capacity, setup more machines (two more should be enough)

Next Steps of GP35

- ▶ Setup all the rest antennas
- ▶ If the data stream rate exceeds current capacity, setup more machines (two more should be enough)
- ▶ However, almost all easy positions have been used, the rest antennas are much harder to deploy!

Next Steps of GP35

- ▶ Setup all the rest antennas
- ▶ If the data stream rate exceeds current capacity, setup more machines (two more should be enough)
- ▶ However, almost all easy positions have been used, the rest antennas are much harder to deploy!
- ▶ Timing precision validation

Next Steps of GP35

- ▶ Setup all the rest antennas
- ▶ If the data stream rate exceeds current capacity, setup more machines (two more should be enough)
- ▶ However, almost all easy positions have been used, the rest antennas are much harder to deploy!
- ▶ Timing precision validation
- ▶ Data analyzing

Timeline of the Deployment of Rest Antennas

- ▶ For “Easy” antennas, time required for one antenna: 1 day (two workers)

Timeline of the Deployment of Rest Antennas

- ▶ For “Easy” antennas, time required for one antenna: 1 day (two workers)
- ▶ For “Hard” antennas, time required for one antenna: 3 days (two workers)

Timeline of the Deployment of Rest Antennas

- ▶ For “Easy” antennas, time required for one antenna: 1 day (two workers)
- ▶ For “Hard” antennas, time required for one antenna: 3 days (two workers)
- ▶ In principle, the rest antennas can be all deployed within 1 month

Timeline of the Deployment of Rest Antennas

- ▶ For “Easy” antennas, time required for one antenna: 1 day (two workers)
- ▶ For “Hard” antennas, time required for one antenna: 3 days (two workers)
- ▶ In principle, the rest antennas can be all deployed within 1 month
- ▶ However, currently available human resource cannot be fully put into GP35 deployment by the end of July.

Timeline of the Deployment of Rest Antennas

- ▶ For “Easy” antennas, time required for one antenna: 1 day (two workers)
- ▶ For “Hard” antennas, time required for one antenna: 3 days (two workers)
- ▶ In principle, the rest antennas can be all deployed within 1 month
- ▶ However, currently available human resource cannot be fully put into GP35 deployment by the end of July.
- ▶ According to above information I estimate that GP35 can be fully deployed (excluding the antennas consumed in e.g., Lenghu site surveying) by the end of August.

One of the “not so hard” positions



Fine tuning of GP35

- ▶ Timing precision validation
- ▶ Debugging Daq softwares
- ▶ Discovering problems in the process of data analyzing

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required
- ▶ Flexible, easy-to-setup mechanical structure for fixing to the ground

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required
- ▶ Flexible, easy-to-setup mechanical structure for fixing to the ground — Ideally “Just put it there”

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required
- ▶ Flexible, easy-to-setup mechanical structure for fixing to the ground — Ideally “Just put it there” , more practically — modularized, e.g.,
 - ▶ Only two (one is better) poles, one for solar panel, one for antenna+DAQ board.

What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required
- ▶ Flexible, easy-to-setup mechanical structure for fixing to the ground — Ideally “Just put it there” , more practically — modularized, e.g.,
 - ▶ Only two (one is better) poles, one for solar panel, one for antenna+DAQ board.
 - ▶ Everything is on a common frame


What We learn from GP35 and Can we do Better in GP300?

- ▶ A full functional GPS module should be used, not just PPS pulse, but also coordinates
- ▶ More reliable self-diagnostic system no manual power-down and power-up required
- ▶ Reliable (interruptible and recoverable) remote firmware upgrading mechanism required
- ▶ Flexible, easy-to-setup mechanical structure for fixing to the ground — Ideally “Just put it there” , more practically — modularized, e.g.,
 - ▶ Only two (one is better) poles, one for solar panel, one for antenna+DAQ board.
 - ▶ Everything is on a common frame
 - ▶ etc.

My Ideal Mechanical Design of DAQ Units

What can we benefit from easy deploying?

- ▶ Saving time
- ▶ Better quality control
- ▶ Reliability





Thanks