Selected outcomes from ab initio methods for reactions and weakly-bound nuclei

Guillaume Hupin, CNRS IPNO

Collaborators

- A. Calci (Industry) J. Dohet-Eraly (ULB)
- J. Langhammer (Industry)
- P. Navrátil (TRIUMF)
- F. Raimondi (Uni. of Surrey)
- C. Romero-Redondo (Industry)
- R. Roth (TU Darmstadt)
- S. Quaglioni (LLNL)
- M. Vorabbi (TRIUMF)

Few slides& inspiration courtesy of

R. Lazauskas (Recent developments in solving few-particle scattering problem by the solution of the Faddeev-Yakubovsky equations; FB22 conference)











IF YOU ARE INTERESTED IN WEAKLY-BOUND, RESONANT, AND OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS PLEASE JOIN US

Réunion groupe de travail n°1 du GdR Resanet

In the second secon

Salle des conseils; Salle M929; Salle M905Bis (IPN Orsay)

Description GT1: "Quelle est la structure et la dynamique des systèmes faiblement liés (noyaux exotiques) ?"

(Structure and dynamics of weakly bound systems)

The purpose of this meeting is to review the recent progress and prospect the future of the field.

The organizers have selected seven topics that cover most of the community research. If you feel that item list does not cover your area or that we have missed important are, we strongly encourage you to submit us your suggestion.

- 1. Clustering evolution towards the drip-line (i.e. N≠Z), generalized Ikeda conjecture.
- 2. Evolution of pairing at and along the drip line.
- 3. Nuclear interaction and shell evolution.
- 4. Quenching of spectroscopic factors in reactions involving halo/cluster/drip line nuclei.
- 5. (Broken) Mirror symmetries.
- 6. Emergence of halo nuclei and Borromean states.
- 7. Giant or Pigmy modes associated to neutron-rich nuclei.
- 8. Connection to other open quantum systems or to dilute systems.



NO-CORE SHELL MODEL: BEST FOR WELL BOUND STATES

B.R. Barrett, P. Navrátil, J.P. Vary, J.P. Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. 69 (2013).

• the many body problem can be solved with CI method:



G. Hupin, S. Quaglioni and P. Navrátil PRL**114** (2015)



RECENT PROGRESS IN EXACT METHODS: FOUR-BODY SCATTERING SYSTEMS

2+.0

1-.

0~,1

17,0

1-,1

27,1

27,0

0.0

0+0

0*.0

⁴He





- $\triangleleft^{>}$ 0.0 INOY04 CD Bonn -0.2 CD Bonn + Δ 0.2 ൧^ 0.0 -0.2 60 120 0 60 120 $\Theta_{c.m.}$ (deg) $\Theta_{c.m.}$ (deg) A.C. Fonseca and A. Deltuva FBS58 (2017
- All methods agree with each other to a few percent.
- "Significant" discrepancies with expt. are found. ۲
- As observed in A=3, polarization observables are the • most sensitive probes.
- Note that there are few measurements (particularly \bullet polarization) they are old and maybe inaccurate.



PROPERTIES OF THE RIGOROUS SCATTERING EQ.

Courtesy of R. Lazauskas

Boundary problem

Sometimes known asymptotic

 Should separate all possible scattering channels to incorporate proper asymptotes! Number of binary channels increases ~2N



• Should be systematically reducible to smaller subsystems, in order to built proper asymptotic solutions and to be consistent to its subsystems (tree-like structure)

$$\Psi_{(N-i)(i)} = \left(\Psi_{N-i} \bigcup \Psi_i\right)$$



5-BODY FADDEEV-YAKUBOVSKI EQ.

Courtesy of R. Lazauskas



$$\begin{split} (E - H_0 - V_{12}) \, \mathcal{K}_{12,3}^4 &= V_{12} \left(\mathcal{K}_{13,2}^4 + \mathcal{K}_{23,1}^4 + \mathcal{K}_{13,4}^5 + \mathcal{K}_{23,4}^5 + \mathcal{K}_{13,4}^2 + \mathcal{K}_{23,4}^4 \right. \\ &\quad + \mathcal{T}_{13,4} + \mathcal{T}_{23,4} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{H}_{13}^{24} + \mathcal{H}_{23}^{14} + \mathcal{S}_{13}^{24} + \mathcal{S}_{13}^{24} + \mathcal{F}_{13}^{24} + \mathcal{F}_{13}^{14} \right. \\ (E - H_0 - V_{12}) \, \mathcal{H}_{12}^{34} &= V_{12} \left(\mathcal{H}_{34}^{12} + \mathcal{K}_{34,1}^2 + \mathcal{K}_{34,2}^5 + \mathcal{K}_{34,1}^5 + \mathcal{K}_{34,2}^5 \right. \\ (E - H_0 - V_{12}) \, \mathcal{T}_{12,3} &= V_{12} \left(\mathcal{T}_{13,2} + \mathcal{T}_{23,1} \right. \\ &\quad + \mathcal{H}_{13}^{45} + \mathcal{H}_{23}^{45} + \mathcal{S}_{13}^{45} + \mathcal{S}_{23}^{45} + \mathcal{F}_{13}^{45} + \mathcal{F}_{23}^{45} \right) \\ (E - H_0 - V_{12}) \, \mathcal{S}_{12}^{34} &= V_{12} \left(\mathcal{F}_{34}^{12} + \mathcal{S}_{34}^{15} + \mathcal{S}_{34}^{25} \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{H}_{34}^{15} + \mathcal{H}_{34}^{25} \right) \\ (E - H_0 - V_{12}) \, \mathcal{F}_{12}^{34} &= V_{12} \left(\mathcal{S}_{34}^{12} + \mathcal{K}_{34,5}^1 + \mathcal{K}_{34,5}^2 + \mathcal{T}_{34,5} \right) \end{split}$$



5-BODY FADDEEV-YAKUBOVSKI EQ.

Courtesy of R. Lazauskas



Merits:

- ✓ Handling of symmetries
- Boundary conditions for binary channels
- Easy reduction to subsystems

Price

✓ Overcomplexity

	Problem	Number eq. (identical particles)	Number eq. (different particles)
	A=2	1	1
n	s A=3	1	3
	A=4	2	18
	A=5	5	180
	A=6	15	2700
	A=N	$nint(\frac{2(N-1)!}{(\pi/2)^N})$	$\frac{N!(N-1)!}{2^{N-1}}$



FY: n-4HE SCATTERING





RESONATING GROUP METHOD FOR NCSM: LONG-RANGE DYNAMICS AND SCATTERING

S. Quaglioni, P. Navrátil PRL101 (2008).

 Methods develop in this presentation to solve the many body problem

NCSM/RGM Cluster formalism for elastic/inelastic



EQUAL TREATMENT OF BOUND AND RESONANT STATES: COUPLE NCSM AND NCSM/RGM (NCSMC)

S. Baroni, P. Navrátil and S. Quaglioni PRL110 (2013); PRC93 (2013)

 Methods develop in this presentation to solve the many body problem

• Our best ansatz combines both wave functions

$$\Psi_{NCSMC}^{(A)} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} |A\lambda J^{\pi}T\rangle + \sum_{\nu} \int d\vec{r} g_{\nu}(\vec{r}) \hat{A}_{\nu} \left| \Phi_{\nu \vec{r}}^{(A-a,a)} \right|$$

NCSMC



DISCLAIMER: ACCURACY OF THE NUCLEAR HAMILTONIAN ?



R-matrix results from G. Hale

Some of the shortcomings of the nuclear interaction can already be **probed** in *p*-shell nuclei **through reactions**. [known since the work of K. Nollett]

- The 3N interactions influence mostly the *P* waves.
- Conservative estimate of EFT accuracy is in the range of 3N force effects.



LOW-ENERGY TRANSFER REACTIONS (d, N)

Primordial Nucleosynthesis (blue) (α,n) (α,γ) 1 ^{11}C ťΟ (β-) ຮ (p, y) (t, y) 7 $\binom{12}{B}$ ¹¹B) Х $> (n, \gamma)$ ('Be) Be **(β+)** (⁶Li) ⁷Li °Li (d,γ) (t,n) (⁴He (³He) (d,n) (t,p) ->-Х ²H **(p,**α) °Η (d,p) 11 Ķ (n,p) (n) **(d,nα)** (**n**,α)

H		Big Bang fusion			Dying low-mass		Exploding massive stars			luman synthesis Vo stable isotopes					He		
Li 3	Be 4	-	Coe	smic		Mergin	ng	E	Explod	ling		B	C	N 7	0	F	Ne 10
Na	Mg		fiss	ion		stars		č	twarfs	1		AI 13	Si 14	P 15	S 16	CI 17	Ar 18
K 19	Ca 20	Sc	Ti 22	V 23	Cr 24	Mn 25	Fe 26	Co 27	Ni 28	Cu 29	Zn 30	Ga 31	Ge 32	As 33	Se 34	Br	Kr 36
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc 43	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	1 53	Xe



LOW-ENERGY TRANSFER REACTIONS (d, N)



ITER design (Cadarache, France)





³H(*d*,*n*)⁴He REACTION: COMPARISON TO DATA



Angular distribution at $\theta = 0^{\circ}$



M. Drosg and N. Otuka, INDC(AUS)-0019 (2015).

- The S-factor is globally well reproduced.
- The accurate reproduction (of the order of keV) of the resonance position/width is essential.
- Shape of a the angular distribution **agrees** with recent **evaluation**.



³H(*d*,*n*)⁴He REACTION: IMPACT OF THE RESONANCE STRUCTURE



5 He $(^{4}S_{3/2})$	E_r (keV)	$\Gamma_r (\text{keV})$
Cluster basis (D g.s. only)	105	1100
Cluster basis	120	570
NCSMC (D g.s. only)	65	160
NCSMC	55	110
NCSMC-pheno	50	98
<i>R</i> -matrix	48	25

Importanceofstructureofneighboringresonancesismagnifiedintransferreactions.



³H(*d*,*n*)⁴He FUSION REACTION: MODEL CONVERGENCE

Со			
N _{max}	$\hbar \omega$ =20 MeV Λ_{SRG} =2. 0 fm $^{-1}$	$\hbar \omega$ =16 MeV Λ_{SRG} =1.7 fm $^{-1}$	
7	78.70%	42.29%	
9	45.04%	18.85%	
11	25.68%	8.41%	
13	13.78%	-	
⁵He	resonances		• 3/ ħc sh • n-
(H continuum	fre



 ${}^{3}/{}^{+}_{2}$ resonance converges the **fastest** with $\hbar\omega = 16$ MeV, understood from **major shell splitting**.

n-⁴He elastic scattering independent of HO frequency and SRG flow.





Anisotropies of X-section



P. Bém et al., Few-Body Syst22, 77 (1997).

- Influence of *p* and *d*-waves in the slope and bump of $\frac{\partial \sigma_{rel}}{\partial \Omega}$, respectively.
- Overall good reproduction of data: collision matrix is expected to be accurate.



²H(*t*,*n*)⁴He REACTION: MODEL CONVERGENCE



Convergence with Nmax





- Discretization of ²H is essential for the reproduction of the S-factor.
- Stable behavior with respect to the number of ²H pseudo states.
- Converged with N_{max}.



³H(*d*,*n*)⁴He REACTION: MASSES & Q-VALUES AND TENSOR FORCE



- 3N force impacts:
 - \succ The threshold position.
 - \succ The **positions and splitting** between the $3/2^+$ and $1/2^+$ resonances.
- **Tensor force** is essential to model the ${}^{3}H(d,n){}^{4}He$ transfer reaction.



³He(*d,p*)⁴He FUSION REACTION: GLOBALLY SIMILAR TO DT

- The S-factor is globally well reproduced.
- However, there are discrepancies between data sets around the peak of the S-factor.
- Influence of *p* and *d*-waves in agreement with data.







³He(\vec{d} ,p)⁴He REACTION





Deviations from a pure *s*-wave of the analyzing tensors are globally reproduced in shape but their **amplitude is not**.



$\overrightarrow{{}^{3}He}(\overrightarrow{d},p)^{4}$ He REACTION





Deviations from a pure *s*-wave of the analyzing tensors are globally reproduced in shape but their **amplitude is not**.



³He(*d*,*p*)⁴He REACTION



 $\theta_{\rm c.m.} \,({\rm deg})$



DT POLARIZED



 $\sigma^{\text{polar}}(\theta)$ $= \sigma(\theta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} p_{zz} A_{zz} + \frac{3}{2} p_z q_z C_{z,z} \right)$

- **Predictions** for polarized ${}^{3}\vec{\mathrm{H}}(\vec{d},n){}^{4}\mathrm{He}$ enhancement factor and reaction rate.
- **Confirmation** of maximum enhancement $(\delta = 1.5)$ scenario.
- Ab initio calculation shows that $\delta = 1.38$ can be achieved in lab.





ANISOTROPIES IN POLARIZED REACTION

Angular distribution in different polarization scenarios







Total cross section increased

on average no effects

Total cross section decreased







Spin tensor properties of the deuteron give the angular shape. (Same as in ${}^{3}\overrightarrow{\text{He}}(\overrightarrow{d},p){}^{4}\text{He}$)



v-RICH HALO NUCLEUS ¹¹Be

¹⁰ B	¹¹ B	¹² B	¹³ B	¹⁴ B	¹⁵ B	¹⁶ B	17
⁹ Be	¹⁰ Be	¹¹ Be	¹² Be	¹³ Be	¹⁴ Be	¹⁵ Be	16
⁸ Li	⁹ Li	¹⁰ Li	¹¹ Li	¹² Li	¹³ Li		

Z=4 N=7



Single particle interpretation using nuclear shell model



- In a shell model picture, the g.s. expected to be $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{-}$.
- In reality, ¹¹Be g.s. is $J^{\pi} = 1/2^+$ -- parity inversion.
- Very weakly bound: E_{th}=-0.5 MeV Halo state -dominated by n-¹⁰Be in a S-wave.
- The 1/2⁻ state also bound -- only by 180 keV.

Can we describe ¹¹Be in *ab initio* calculations?

- Continuum must be included.
- Does the 3N interaction play a role in the parity inversion?



¹¹Be WITHIN NCSMC: DISCRIMINATION AMONG CHIRAL NUCLEAR FORCES A. Calci et al. PRL117 (2016)





BEYOND AB INITIO: HYBRID METHOD

P. Capel, D.R. Phillips, H.-W. Hammer PRC09 034610 (2018)



Inputs:

- Ab initio ANC for s- and pwave bound states.
- Experimental S_n.

Methods:

- Response function and ¹⁰Be-n potential from Halo EFT.
- Optical potential for target interactions.





THANK YOU !

Summary:

- ✤ Ab initio reaction model is reasonably accurate.
- Continued evidence that 3N force is essential to *p*-shell physics.
- ✤ Surprisingly good postdiction of DT and D³He fusion reaction.
- Confirmation of a 50 year old idea suggested for plasma application.