

The sound of DHOST

Eugeny Babichev

LPT Orsay

with Antoine Lehébel

JCAP 1812 (2018) no.12, 027 [arXiv:1810.09997]

Rencontre du Groupe de travail "Tests de la relativité générale et théories alternatives" du GdR Ondes Gravitationnelles 31 January 2019, IPhT CEA-Saclay

Beyond Horndeski theory

Horndeski theory

Vainshtein mechanism (weak gravity) => Recover of General Relativity => theory passes observational tests Breaking of the Vainshtein mechanism inside matter

beyond

Horndeski theory,

DHOST/EST



Beyond Horndeski theory

Breaking of the Vainshtein mechanism inside matter



One can use this to resolve some problems



Vainshtein mechanism for Horndeski theory

Newtonian order (Linearised metric)

$$ds^{2} = (-1 + 2\Phi) dt^{2} + (1 + 2\Psi) \delta_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j}$$

- $\clubsuit \Phi$ and Ψ are the Newtonian potentials ($\Phi=\Psi$ for GR)
- Solution Corrections in Horndeski theory: $\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \frac{G_N M}{r} \left[1 + 2\alpha^2 \left(\frac{r}{r_V}\right)^n \right]$
- $\$ α is the coupling between the scalar and matter, n is model dependent parameter
- For solar mass object $r_V \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1 \text{ kpc}) \Rightarrow \text{ for } r \ll r_V \text{ GR}$ is restored (solar system tests are passed)

n.b. special case $\alpha = 0$ in particular theories (not Vainshtein screening but rather special solution where the scalar field is stealth)



Vainshtein mechanism for Horndeski theory

Newtonian order (Linearised metric)

$$ds^{2} = (-1 + 2\Phi) dt^{2} + (1 + 2\Psi) \delta_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j}$$

- In Horndeski theory GR solution is valid also inside matter
- In beyond Horndeski theory:

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}M(r)}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1G_{\rm N}}{4}\frac{d^2M(r)}{dr^2}$$
$$\frac{d\Psi}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}M(r)}{r^2} - \frac{5\Upsilon_1G_{\rm N}}{4r}\frac{dM(r)}{dr}$$
$$M(r) \equiv 4\pi \int_0^r r^2\rho(r)dr$$

(Breaking of GR)

[Kobayashi et al'15]

 $\ref{eq: 1}$ and $\Upsilon_2~$ are non-zero in beyond Horndeski theory



Some local constraints on beyond Horndeski parameters

♦ $\Upsilon_1 > -2/3$ for a sensible stellar profile

Chandrasekhar mass of dwarf stars

► $-0.22 < \Upsilon_1 < 0.027$

Consistency of the minimum mass for hydrogen burning

Static configurations

What happens in dynamics?



It is difficult to solve nonlinear PDEs

$$\cdots + G_{4XX} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [(\Box \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi)^2] \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi + 2 \nabla_\lambda X \nabla^\lambda \nabla_{(\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu)} \phi \right. \\ \left. - \nabla_\lambda X \nabla^\lambda \phi \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi - 2 \nabla_{(\mu} X \nabla_{\nu)} \phi \Box \phi - \nabla^\alpha \phi \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\beta \phi \nabla_\beta \nabla_\nu \phi \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (X [(\Box \phi)^2 - (\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi)^2] + 2 \nabla^\alpha X \nabla^\beta \phi \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi - \nabla_\alpha X \nabla^\alpha \phi \Box \phi \right. \\ \left. \dots = 0 \right.$$

.

Assuming quasi-staticity

so that some time-derivatives drops off the EOMs



$$ds^2 = -[1 + 2\Phi(t,r)]dt^2 + [1 + 2\Psi(t,r)](dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2)$$

$$\Phi' = \frac{G_{\rm N}M}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1 G_{\rm N}}{4} M'' \qquad M = 4\pi \int r^2 \, dr \rho(t,r)$$

Assumptions:

- the gravitational potentials are small, $(\Phi, \Psi) \ll 1$, and the gradient of the scalar field is small with respect to its time derivative, $(\varphi'/\dot{\varphi})^2 \ll 1$,
- quasi-staticity,
- Vainshtein regime, i.e., the canonical kinetic term normally assumed to be present in the action is subdominant compared to the non-linear terms.

Implied by a weak-field approximation, and should be satisfied in configurations where the curvature remains small, such as the Solar System. Technical assumption to neglect terms $\mathcal{O}[(\varphi'/\dot{\varphi})^4]$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}[(\varphi'/\dot{\varphi})^2]$.



$$ds^2 = -[1 + 2\Phi(t,r)]dt^2 + [1 + 2\Psi(t,r)](dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2)$$

$$\Phi' = \frac{G_{\rm N}M}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1 G_{\rm N}}{4} M'' \qquad M = 4\pi \int r^2 \, dr \rho(t,r)$$

Assumptions:

• the gravitational potentials are small, $(\Phi, \Psi) \ll 1$, and the gradient of the scalar field is small with respect to its time derivative, $(\varphi'/\dot{\varphi})^2 \ll 1$,

• quasi-staticity,

• Vainshtein regime, i.e., the canonical kinetic term — normally assumed to be present in the action — is subdominant compared to the non-linear terms.

Quasi-staticity condition is satisfied when the sound in matter propagates much slower than the spin-2 and spin-0 perturbations.



$$ds^2 = -[1 + 2\Phi(t,r)]dt^2 + [1 + 2\Psi(t,r)](dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2)$$

$$\Phi' = \frac{G_{\rm N}M}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1 G_{\rm N}}{4} M'' \qquad M = 4\pi \int r^2 \, dr \rho(t,r)$$

Assumptions:

- the gravitational potentials are small, $(\Phi, \Psi) \ll 1$, and the gradient of the scalar field is small with respect to its time derivative, $(\varphi'/\dot{\varphi})^2 \ll 1$,
- quasi-staticity,
- Vainshtein regime, i.e., the canonical kinetic term normally assumed to be present in the action is subdominant compared to the non-linear terms.

• Can be lifted, so that one does not assume that the Vainshtein regime is on.

$$\Phi' = \frac{G_{\rm N}M}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1 G_{\rm N}}{4} M'' + \alpha_1 \dot{\varphi}^2 r^2$$



Hydrodynamics in DHOST

$$\Phi' = \frac{G_{\rm N}M}{r^2} + \frac{\Upsilon_1 G_{\rm N}}{4} M'' + \alpha_1 \dot{\varphi}^2 r^2 \quad \text{modified Newtonian potential}$$
$$\frac{\partial(\rho \vec{v})}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})(\rho \vec{v}) = -\rho \vec{\nabla} \Phi - \vec{\nabla} P \quad \text{Euler equation}$$
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho \vec{v}) = 0 \quad \text{continuity equation}$$

 ρ is density and P is pressure

Isentropic perturbations: $P = P_0 + \delta P,$ $\rho = \rho_0 + \delta \rho.$ $\frac{\delta P}{\delta \rho} = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_S$

linearise in $\delta \rho$ and combine equations



The sound of DHOST

$$\ddot{\delta\rho} - \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{S} + 4\pi G_{\rm N}\frac{\Upsilon_{1}}{4}\rho_{0}r^{2}\right)\delta\rho'' = 0$$

$$c_{\rm DHOST}^2 = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_S + 4\pi G_{\rm N} \frac{\Upsilon_1}{4} \rho_0 r^2$$

Short-wavelength (or large distance) limit:

$$\frac{r}{\lambda} \gg 1, \quad \frac{r}{\lambda} \gg \frac{1}{\Upsilon_1} \frac{M}{\rho_0 r^3}.$$



The sound of DHOST: implications

$$c_{\rm DHOST}^2 = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_S + 4\pi G_{\rm N} \frac{\Upsilon_1}{4} \rho_0 r^2$$

- The sound speed strongly depends on the background (cosmological) solution
- The speed of sound in media depends on the distance to the center of the mass distribution (or to the source of the perturbation, if one has in mind a homogeneous medium).
- The absolute value of the sound speed grows as distance squared
- The planar limit of a spherical wave (when r goes to infinity) does not coincide with the result for a planar wave (discontinuity?).



The sound of DHOST: constraints

Dust in a homogeneous universe

$$c_{\rm DHOST}^2 = 4\pi G_{\rm N} \frac{\Upsilon_1}{4} \rho_0 r^2$$

Negative Υ_1 are excluded.



The sound of DHOST: constraints

Sound waves in the air



$$c_{\rm DHOST}^2 = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_S + 4\pi G_N \frac{\Upsilon_1}{4} \rho_0 r^2$$
$$c_{\rm GR}^2 = \frac{\gamma RT}{\mathcal{M}}$$

In the appropriate regime of temperature and pressure, the typical difference between the measured speed of sound and the prediction of the ideal gas model is of order 0.2 %, or even less.



The sound of DHOST: constraints

Sound waves in the air

$$c_{\rm DHOST}^2 = \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_S + 4\pi G_{\rm N} \frac{\Upsilon_1}{4} \rho_0 r^2$$

Contrasting to experiments
$$|\Upsilon_1| \lesssim 10^{-2}$$



LPT Orsay

Conclusions

- DHOST/EST theories, considered as dark energy candidates, affect local physics.
- Speed of sound in media changes, altering even present day nonrelativistic hydrodynamics.
- As a consequence, some parameters (negative Υ_1) are excluded.
- The speed of sound even in the atmosphere of the Earth, is significantly affected in a generic DHOST theory, leading to $|\Upsilon_1| \lesssim 10^{-2}$
- Only exact spherical symmetry
- Very low energy cutoff scale?

