Workshop on Observatory Synergies for Astroparticle physics and Geoscience 11-12 February 2019 IPGP - Paris

# From signal detection to passive subsoil imaging

Gilberto Saccorotti

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia Sezione di Pisa

gilberto.saccorotti@ingv.it



### This talk:

General: a short summary of the topics currently object of the INGV – EGO collaboration.

1. INGV earthquake monitoring program, and the issues of common interest with GW antennas

- 2. The Signal vs Noise dilemma
- 3. Exploiting noise properties

4. **It's not noise, but it's isotropic**: 2D and 3D subsoil imaging @ VIRGO from multichannel recordings of strong regional earthquakes



# The beginning

2008-2009: Assessing the impact of existent and project wind farms in terms of measured and predicted ground vibrations @ Virgo.





Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, Vol. 101, No. 2, pp. 568-578, April 2011, doi: 10.1785/0120100203

#### Seismic Noise by Wind Farms: A Case Study from the Virgo Gravitational Wave Observatory, Italy

by Gilberto Saccorotti, Davide Piccinini, Léna Cauchie,\* and Irene Fiori



# INGV's Earthquake monitoring program

INGV is in charge of the earthquake and volcanic monitoring and surveillance for the whole national territory.

- ~ 400 seismic stations transmitting in realtime;
- **3** operative centers 24/7
- Prompt (**2'-5'-30'**) dispatch to Civil Protection and local authorities for the timely activation of emergency responses.







### Metrics

Yearly number of locations (2012-2018):

20000-55000 (~50-150/ day)

Automatic Detection

→ Automatic Phase picking (prone to errors) Automatic Location Manual revision (time-consuming) Revised Location



Perspectives for an Earthquake Early Warning system @ Virgo:

See Poster Session, *C. Giunchi et al.* 





### Some relevant issues



- \* Signal: every phase which may be associated with a distinct path
- \* Noise: all the rest, that we must get rid of (really?).
- \* **Detection:** to discern a signal from the background noise
- \* **Measurement**: the arrival times of the phase of interest
- \* **Source Location:** Inversion of the arrival times
- \* Classification: To obtain quick glimpses on the driving source mechanism



### **Current Arguments**

#### **DETECTION:**

- Thresholding on Characteristic Functions: STA/LTA, Kurtosis, Energy, Envelope,....
- Polarisation Filtering

### **DETECTION & CLASSIFICATION**

- Template Matching
- Feature extraction

#### **ARRIVAL TIME ESTIMATES**

- AIC
- AI, ML....

### LOCATION

• Full-waveform locations, back-projection, etc.



### The Noise spectrum



#### SUGGESTED TALKS:

Irene Fiori - Geophysical noise in the Virgo gravitational wave antenna. 12.2.2019 9:40-9.55

**S. Koley** - Seismic characterization of GW detector sites using an array of wireless geophones 12.2.2019 09:55 - 10:10

Tremor - LP

Very Long Period

Teleseismic



### Beyond seismic sources: the NCF ( $r > \lambda$ )

#### High-Resolution Surface-Wave Tomography from Ambient Seismic Noise

Nikolai M. Shapiro,<sup>1\*</sup> Michel Campillo,<sup>2</sup> Laurent Stehly,<sup>2</sup> Michael H. Ritzwoller<sup>1</sup>

www.sciencemag.org SCIENCE VOL 307 11 MARCH 2005

For an **isotropic** distribution of noise sources, the Green's function of waves that propagate between two receivers can be found by crosscorrelating noise waves recorded at these receivers; this technique obviates the need for a source at one of the two locations.





From Seismic imaging using ambient noise, by Nikolai M. Shapiro, Michel Campillo, Philippe Roux. Enciclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics, DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-8702-7\_218



### From the NCF to dispersion





From Bensen et al., *Geophys. J. Int.* (2007) **169**, 1239–1260



### Beyond seismic sources: SPAC ( $r < \lambda$ )



Aki (1957): The <u>azimuthal</u> average of the zero-lag correlation between 2 recorders is directly related to phase velocity  $c(\omega)$ .



The correlation curves are then inverted to derive a phase velocity dispersion function  $c(\omega)$  representative of the medium in between the two sites.



DI GEOFISICA E VULCANOLOGIA

# Going isotropic



From Bensen et al., *Geophys. J. Int.* (2007) **169**, 1239–1260

Noise wavefields rarely are completely equi-partitioned. The **isotropy requirement** can be fullfilled by azimuthal averaging (need lots of measurement sites) or by extensive **temporal** averaging.

VERTICAL





### The earthquake coda



### A medium with scatterers



Taken from Singh et al., Coda Wave Interferometry (CWI) for Time-Lapse Monitoring.





### Single-scattering arrivals





### Multiple-scattering arrivals







#### - Array of 50 INNOSEIS geophones

Timing accuracy <  $20\mu$ s Sensitivity  $\sigma$  = 76.4636V /m/s Damping = 0.69 Resonance frequency = 5Hz Sampling rate = 250Hz Interstation distances between **11m** and **2880m** 

| /                                      | Mr.   |
|--|---|
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| ······                                 |   |
|  |   |
| V                                      |   |
|  | MMM   |
|  | \/\/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\  |
|  | Munhamman   |
| L                                      | Maranananan   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  | No construction of the second s   |
|  |   |
| /                                      | \^^^^~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~  |
|  | MMMMMMMM  |
| /                                      | WWWWWWWW  |
| ······                                 | Maran   |
|  | MAAAA   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| ·                                      |   |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~      | MMMMmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm  |
| V                                      | Maran   |
|  | /^~~/_^   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| \$                                     |   |
|  |   |
| X                                      |   |
|  | //////////////////////////////////////  |
|  |   |
|  | \///////  |
| ^/                                     | Mr.   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | A TANK A |
| •V                                     |   |
| •                                      |   |
|  | Muhalun marken marken marken and the second |
| <u></u> /                              | M.M.M.  |
| ~~~~~~~/                               | Mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm   |
| A/                                     |   |
|  | N.  |
|  |   |
|  | No. No. 4 2. 4 2. 0 44 44 0. 0 4 4 4 0. 0 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   |
| <u>+</u>                               |   |
| •                                      |   |
| L                                      | Www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.  |
|  | www   |
| /                                      | Wwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww   |
| ······································ |   |
| ····                                   |   |
| ŧ                                      |   |
| •V                                     |   |
|  | M. W. W. M.   |



01:36:32 Aug 24, 2016 Mw=6.2 D=245 km Vertical Component





P- and S-waves are correctly recovered. The coda manifests itself as a scattered wavefield, with a quasi-homogeneous distribution of propagation directions





Main scatterers associated with marked topographic features.

We can use coda waves for correlation studies.



# Rayleigh wave dispersion @ Virgo





Array-averaged dispersion curve from coda correlations obtained for all the station pairs, at different target frequencies



# Rayleigh wave dispersion @ Virgo



Direct search of best-fitting dispersion curve → starting model for refined, iterative non-linear inversion.

### Consistent w/ noise !





Dispersion curves obtained at individual station pairs, for a given frequency span.

- 1225 station pairs (dispersion)
- 0.4-2.5 Hz frequency band, 0.1 step

- 1225 travel times at each ref.



### Phase velocity maps @ Virgo



#### At each reference frequency:

From phase velocity to inter-station  $T_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{c_{ij}(\omega)}$ 

Travel times as a linear combination of ray segments lengths and slowness of cells

$$T_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} l_{ij} s_j$$



### Phase velocity maps @ Virgo



### On Line!



Home / Networks / VR: Virgo Interferometric Antenna for Gravitational Waves Detection

#### VR: Virgo Interferometric Antenna for Gravitational Waves Detection

#### **FDSN Network Information**

Are you the operator of this network? Update this information.

Sign in

| FDSN code         | VR   | Operated by       | European Gravitational<br>Observatory (ego) |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| Network name      | Virgo Interferometric Antenna for Gravitational<br>Waves Detection (virgo)   | Deployment region | Italy                                       |
| Start date        | March 1, 2019  | End date          | -   |
| Short description | The Virgo antenna is a 3 km long interferometer for gravitational waves detection. It is located in Cascina, near Pisa (Italy), and it is hosted by the European Gravitational Observatory (EGO). The interferometer is equipped with 3 broadband seimometers, located in its main experimental buildings. |                   |   |

#### **Citation Information**

| Digital Object Identifier<br>(DOI) | https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/VR  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Citation                           | European Gravitational Observatory (2019): Virgo Interferometric Antenna for<br>Gravitational Waves Detection. International Federation of Digital Seismograph<br>Networks. Other/Seismic Network. 10.7914/SN/VR |

Workshop on Observatory Synergies for Astroparticle physics and Geoscience 11-12 February 2019 IPGP - Paris

# Thanks for your attention

