

# Observation of the $\chi$ b1(3P) and $\chi$ b2(3P) measurement of their masses using the $\chi$ bJ(3P) $\rightarrow$ Y(3S) $\gamma$

Jhovanny Andres Mejia Guisao For the CMS collaboration

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, CINVESTAV-IPN, MEXICO

The Fifth Latin American Congress of Physics (CLF), October 7 to October 12, 2018, Puebla, Mexico.

# Outline

- Motivation
- Experimental setup
- Overview of results by CMS

Observation of the  $\chi$ b1(3P) and  $\chi$ b2(3P) measurement of their masses CMS-BPH-17-008 ; CERN-EP-2018-134 PRL 121 (2018) 092002

# **Motivation**

Although quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is well established as the theory of the strong interaction, a complete understanding of the (nonperturbative) processes that lead to the binding of quarks and gluons into hadrons is still lacking.

- Bottomonium mesons, composed of beauty quark-antiquark pairs bound to each other through the strong force, play a special role in our understanding of hadron formation because the large quark mass allows important simplifications in the relevant theoretical calculations.
- Studies of the production cross sections and polarizations of the S-wave quarkonium states, such as the J/ψ, the ψ(2S), and the Y(1S) states, have provided a number of insights into quarkonium production processes and beyond: they address our general understanding of perturbative QCD calculations and their reliability.
- However, studies of the S-wave states are not sufficient to definitely test the presently existing models of quarkonium production. Much more stringent tests of the models and a quantitative understanding of the mechanisms of quarkonium production imply measurements of P-wave quarkonia, so far much less studied.

#### **Experimental setup**



Compact Muon Solenoid: perfect detector for measuring muons



# Overview of the latest HF studies by CMS

- Precision lifetime measurements of b hadrons reconstructed in final states with a J/ψ meson.
  PJC (2018) 78:457
- Search for the X(5568) state in B0s pi+- decays.
  <u>PRL 120 (2018) 202005</u>.
- Observation of the  $\chi$ b1(3P) and  $\chi$ b2(3P) measurement of their masses <u>PRL 121 (2018) 092002</u>
- Quarkonium production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. PLB 780 (2018) 251
- Measurement of Lambdab polarization and the angular parameters of the decay Lambdab to J/psi Lambda.
   <u>PRD 97 (2018) 072010</u>
- Measurement of the total and differential inclusive B+ hadron cross sections in pp collisions at √s= 13 TeV.
   PLB 771 (2017) 435
- Angular analysis of B+->K+mumu arXiv:1806.00636 (sibmited to PRD)
- Search for the Z->Jpsi(->mumu) II decay arXiv:1806.04213 (sibmited to PRL)

**CINVESTAV** 

spectroscopy? [\*]

The study of excited states of matter through observation of energy emissions

In the 1800s chemists and physicists identified different chemical substances by watching the colour of the flame when they burnt them, using prisms that separated the various **"emission lines"** and made them better measurable. They were looking at spectra of light.

To realize how many collisions correspond to **80 inverse femtobarns**, imagine two protons that bang into one another. Then imagine a billion of these. Well, that's still short by a factor of 10 million!

The number of entries in the histograms is less than 2000, so a pretty wild reduction has taken place in selecting the events of interest

we are very well looking at a spectrum too. Not a colored one, but still a distribution of intensities along an axis describing different energies.

The CMS analysis is remarkable because for the first time it allowed the measurement of two very close resonances



# The analysis in a nutshell

The rarity of the processes studied in the recent CMS analysis discussed here is apparent if we uncharacteristically start from the end.



[\*] taken from here

## The experimental discovery of the $\chi_{\rm b}$ (3P) state

The  $\chi_{\rm b}(3P)$  was discovered by ATLAS in 2011

"In addition to the  $\chi_b(1P,2P) \rightarrow Y(1S) \gamma$ , a new structure centered at

10530 ± 5 (stat) ± 9 (syst) MeV

is also observed,

in the Y(1S)  $\gamma$  and Y(2S)  $\gamma$  decay modes.

This is interpreted as the  $\chi_{h}(3P)$  system."

No observation in the Y(3S)  $\gamma$  decay mode.



#### **Other experimental measurements: D0 and LHCb**

D0 saw the  $\chi_b(3P)$ in the Y(1S)  $\gamma$  decay channel



LHCb observed the  $\chi_b(3P) \rightarrow Y(3S) \gamma$  decay but could not resolve the J= 1 and 2 doublet



# Theory predictions for the $\chi_{\rm b}$ (3P) mass splitting ( $\Delta$ M)

There is a large number of theory calculations for the mass spliting between the J=2 and J=1  $\chi_{bJ}$ (3P) states

Out of 20 computations, 14 predict  $\Delta M > 11 MeV$ 

A measurement with a precision around 1 MeV should have a big impact

CTP 52 (2009) 653 PRD 86 (2012) 094011; Cornell-I PRD 86 (2012) 094011; Cornell-II PRD 86 (2012) 094011; Screened Cornell-I PRD 86 (2012) 094011; Screened Cornell-II PRD 86 (2012) 094011; Richardson PRD 86 (2012) 094011; pQCD EPJC 72 (2012) 1981 CPC 37 (2013) 023103 CPC 37 (2013) 083101 NPA 924 (2014) 65 PRD 90 (2014) 054010 PRD 90 (2014) 054010; GI refit PRD 90 (2014) 054010; GI original PRD 92 (2015) 054034 PRD 93 (2016) 074027 PRD 94 (2016) 034021; SHO PRD 94 (2016) 034021; GEM PRD 95 (2017) 074002 arxiv:1702.06774 (2017) 20 -5 10 15 0 5  $\Delta M$  (MeV)

#### **Context of this analysis**

\* All publications citing the ATLAS peak take for granted that it is the  $\chi$ b(3P) quarkonium:

"For both the  $\chi$ b2(3P) and  $\chi$ b1(3P) the decay chains to Y(nS) give rise to the largest event rates and are the simplest to reconstruct so it is not surprising that these were the discovery channels. Run II should provide sufficient statistics to separately fit the  $\chi$ b2(3P) and  $\chi$ b1(3P) using these decay chains."

Stephen Godfrey and Kenneth Moats, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 054034

\*\* One paper mentions the Xb, the bottomonium counterpart of X(3872) :

"As the decays  $\chi b(3P) \rightarrow Y(1S, 2S)$  g have been observed, and the Xb is expected to mix strongly with the  $\chi b1(3P)$ , it is worthwhile to examine the Y(1S, 2S) g mass spectra for any departures from single Breit-Wigner behavior."

Marek Karliner and Jonathan L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 014014

\*\*\* One unpublished 3-year old paper, arXiv:1502.07011, mentions another option:

"We study the possibility that this boson is the light Higgs boson h0(0+)"

# **Reconstruction of the** $\chi_{\rm b}$ **candidates**

The mass of the  $\chi_{h}(3P)$  candidate is computed through a dimuon-photon kinematic vertex fit

Note: the result is almost identical to  $m(\mu\mu\gamma) - m(\mu\mu) + M(Y3S)$ 

The fit is performed with the following conditions:

- the masses of the muons and electrons are fixed to their PDG values
- the mass of the dimuon is fixed to the Y(3S) PDG mass
- the mass of the electron-positron pair is fixed to zero
- the electron and the positron are constrained to have a common vertex
- the dimuon and the photon are constrained to have a common vertex

The  $\chi_{\rm b}(3P)$  candidate is kept if the  $\chi^2$  probability of the kinematic fit exceeds 1%

If several candidates are found in the same event, only the one with the best vertex fit is kept

#### **Determination of the photon energy scale (PES)**

The measured photon energy might differ from the true value:  $E_{true} = E_{rec}$  / PES

The PES is computed using  $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$  events, comparing the measured and PDG  $\chi_{c1}$  masses

The J/ $\psi \gamma$  events were collected in the same runs, with similar dimuon triggers, and processed in the same way as the Upsilon events



The correction is very small for the  $\chi_{\rm b}(3P) \rightarrow Y(3S) \gamma$  decay (small photon energies)

#### Validation of the photon energy scale

After PES correction, the measured  $\chi_{b1}(1P)$  and  $\chi_{b1}(2P)$  masses agree well with the PDG values

The three  $\chi_{\rm b}(3{\rm P})$  peaks are also well aligned with each other

The  $\chi_b(3P) \rightarrow Y(3S) \gamma$  decay is the least affected by the PES

	Rec.	Corr.	PDG
$M(\chi_{b1}(1P))$ in $Y(1S) + \gamma$	$9888.71\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.21}$	$9892.88\substack{+0.20\\-0.21}$	$9892.78 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.31$
$M(\chi_{b1}(2P))$ in $Y(1S) + \gamma$	$10246.41\substack{+0.64\\-0.64}$	$10255.95\substack{+0.66\\-0.67}$	$10255.46 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.50$
$M(\chi_{b1}(2P))$ in $Y(2S) + \gamma$	$10254.10\substack{+0.28\\-0.28}$	$10255.96\substack{+0.28\\-0.29}$	
$M(\chi_{b1}(3P))$ in Y(1S) + $\gamma$	$10498.77^{+2.01}_{-2.11}$	$10512.88^{+1.92}_{-1.99}$	
$M(\chi_{b1}(3P))$ in $Y(2S) + \gamma$	$10506.85^{+1.18}_{-1.18}$	$10511.48^{+1.23}_{-1.18}$	$10512.1\pm2.3$
$M(\chi_{b1}(3P))$ in $Y(3S) + \gamma$	$10512.26\substack{+0.40\\-0.43}$	$10513.42\substack{+0.41\\-0.42}$	unpublished



#### Fit of the measured mass distribution

The measured distribution of the  $\chi$ b(3P) candidate mass distribution is well fitted by two DCB functions on the top of a smooth continuum from uncorrelated dimuon-photon pairs

$$F_{\text{Bg}}(m) = (m - q_0)^{\lambda} \cdot \exp\left[\nu(m - q_0)\right]$$

An extended unbinned max. likelihood fit, made in RooFit with the "Minos" option, provides stable results



#### **Systematic uncertainties**

Background fit model: replace by power-law or Chebychev polynomial of second order

Selection criteria:

- > vary photon  $p_{\tau}$  cut between 400 and 600 MeV
- > vary dimuon  $\dot{p_{T}}$  cut between 12 and 16 GeV
- > use a broader  $\dot{Y}(3S)$  mass window: M(Y(3S)) ± 2.5  $\sigma_m(y)$
- > use dimuon-photon 4-track vertex-fit  $\chi^2$  probability > 1.5%

Source of uncertainty	$\Delta M$	$M(\chi_{b1}(3P))$
Fit Model	0.05	0.05
PES correction	0.16	0.17

PES correction: used a constant PES, the average of the two measurements with  $E_{\gamma}$  < 2 GeV: 0.9916 Also generated 400 PES functions by drawing new  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ , and  $p_2$  parameters from Gaussian functions with nominal means and standard deviations respecting the covariance matrix

Given the absence of significant changes in the results, the systematic uncertainty related to the selection criteria is considered negligible. There is also no significant change in the results if the  $\sigma 2/\sigma 1$  ratio is left free in the fit. The PDG Y(3S) mass has an uncertainty of 0.5 MeV, which affects our  $\chi_{b1}$ (3P) mass measurement :

 $M(\chi_{b1}(3P)) \sim m(\mu\mu\gamma) - m(\mu\mu) + M(Y3S)$ 

# Measured vs. predicted $\chi_{b2}(3P) - \chi_{b1}(3P)$ mass difference

#### Our measurement

10.61 ± 0.64 (stat) ± 0.17 (syst) MeV

has a very good precision in comparison to the spread of values reported in theory papers

It is an important contribution to constrain and improve the models calculating quarkonium spectroscopy levels



## **Summary**

Using 80 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data collected in pp collisions at 13 TeV in 2015, 2016 and 2017, we have seen well-resolved J = 1 and J = 2 states in the  $\chi_{h,l}(3P) \rightarrow Y(3S) \gamma$  decay channel

The mass difference between the two states is

 $M[\chi_{b2}(3P)] - M[\chi_{b1}(3P)] = 10.66 \pm 0.64 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.17 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}$ 

The mass of the  $\chi_{b1}(3P)$  and  $\chi_{b2}(3P)$  states are

 $M[\chi_{h1}(3P)] = 10513.42 \pm 0.41 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.18 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (Y3S)} \text{ MeV}$ 

 $M[\chi_{b1}(3P)] = 10524.02 \pm 0.57 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.18 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.5 \text{ (Y3S)} \text{ MeV}$ 

#### **Summary**

The new measurement is a step forward in completing the spin-dependent bottomonium spectroscopy diagram, and should significantly contribute to an improved understanding of the non-perturbative QCD processes that lead to the binding of quarks and gluons into hadrons.

The measured mass splitting,  $10.66 \pm 0.64$  (stat)  $\pm 0.17$  (syst) MeV, can be used to improve the theoretical calculations, which currently predict values between 8 and 18 MeV depending on the potentials describing the quark–antiquark non-perturbative interaction. The only exception predicts a value of -2 MeV, the negative sign meaning that the  $\chi$ b2(3P) has a mass smaller than the  $\chi$ b1(3P).

"A major highlight for CMS at LHCP is the first observation of well-resolved chi\_b1(3P) and chi\_b2(3P) signals, and a measurement of their masses, using Y(3S)+photon decays reconstructed from 80 fb-1 of pp data collected at 13 TeV. The photons interact in the material of the CMS tracker and convert to electron-positron pairs whose momentum is measured in CMS magnetic spectrometer, providing the superb resolution needed to separate the two states whose mass differs by only 10 MeV. Measurements of the chi\_bJ(3P) triplet states, with total angular momentum J=0,1, and 2, probe details of the bbbar interaction and contribute to an improved understanding of quarkonium spectroscopy and hadron formation."



**All** Collaboration Detector Physics Engage with CMS

UPDATES

The CMS collaboration continues with its rich harvesting of the large Run 2 dataset with 21 new results presented at the LHCP 2018 conference in Bologna, Italy. The results cover a wide assortment of topics and range from precision measurements of the Higgs boson and Standard Model (SM) processes to searches for rare decays and exotic phenomena.

A recent highlight from CMS has been the first observation of the associated production of a Higgs boson with a top quark-antiquark pair or "ttH production". This measurement, <u>first reported in April 2018</u> and now published in the journal Physical Review Letters [<u>Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 231801 (2018</u>]], establishes the tree-level coupling of the Higgs boson to the top quark and is a major milestone towards the measurement of the Higgs boson coupling to fermions. CMS has now extended this exploration of Higgs couplings and presents at LHCP a new analysis that studies in a more general way the coupling of the Higgs boson to the top quark (tH). CMS also presents measurements of the properties of the Higgs boson using the latest dataset collected in 2017 and the H  $\sim$  ZZ  $\rightarrow$  4I (l=e, µ) decay channel. The results have been combined with the 2016 dataset and are found to be consistent, within their uncertainties, with the expectations for the SM Higgs Boson.

A major highlight for CMS at LHCP is the first observation of well-resolved chi\_b1(3P) and chi\_b2(3P) signals, and a measurement of their masses, using Y(3S)+photon decays reconstructed from 80 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp data collected at 13 TeV. The photons interact in the material of the CMS tracker and convert to electron-positron pairs whose momentum is measured in CMS magnetic spectrometer, providing the superb resolution needed to separate the two states whose mass differs by only 10 MeV. Measurements of the chi\_bJ(3P) triplet states, with total angular momentum J=0,1, and 2, probe details of the bbbar interaction and contribute to an improved understanding of quarkonium spectroscopy and hadron formation.