A gauged horizontal SU(2) symmetry and R_{κ}

GDR-InF annual workshop – 11/05/2018

Méril Reboud

Diego Guadagnoli & Olcyr Sumensari





Introduction

B anomalies can be explained by a shift in C₉ and C₁₀ \rightarrow 15-20% correction to J_q × J₁





But:

•
$$\Delta M_s \sim (\Delta M_s)_{SM}$$

 \rightarrow small correction to $J_a \times J_a$

Lepton decays < experimental limit
 → small correction to J₁ × J₁

Introduction – LQ

This can be **challenging for Z' models...**



...but it makes **leptoquark** solutions very **appealing**



Introduction – Question

This can be **challenging for Z' models...**



... Can we get the same suppression within gauge extension?

A gauged horizontal SU(2)

 Add a horizontal group G_H, e.g. SU(2), acting on the 2nd and 3rd generations

$$G_{SM} = SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_H$$

$$L = \sum_{F,a} \overline{F} \gamma_{\mu} (g_{L} P_{L} G_{L}^{\mu a} + g_{R} P_{R} G_{R}^{\mu a}) \tau^{a} F$$

with $F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{2} \\ f_{3} \end{pmatrix}$ i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} s_{L} \\ b_{L} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{L} \\ \tau_{L} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} s_{R} \\ b_{R} \end{pmatrix} \cdots$

2) Integrate out heavy degrees of freedom

$$\delta L_{eff} = \sum_{F_1, F_2, a} \frac{g_L^2}{2M_{G_{La}}^2} (\overline{F_1} \gamma_{\mu} P_L \tau_a F_1) (\overline{F_2} \gamma^{\mu} P_L \tau_a F_2)$$

δ

Basic argument

3) Rotate the field to the mass basis $F = U_F \hat{F}$

$$\delta L_{eff} = \sum_{F_1, F_2, a} \frac{g_L^2}{2M_{G_{La}}^2} (\overline{\hat{F}}_1 U_{F_1}^* \gamma_{\mu L} \tau_a U_{F_1} \hat{F}_1) (\overline{\hat{F}}_2 U_{F_2}^* \gamma_L^{\mu} \tau_a U_{F_2} \hat{F}_2)$$

For $F_1 = F_2$, if masses are degenerate, U_F can be absorbed in a G basis redefinition

 \rightarrow Only flavor diagonal terms!

Minimal setup

But:

Rotation also involve the first generation

 \rightarrow Small corrections to K- \overline{K} mixing, $\mu \rightarrow$ 3e... wich are very constrained

Generalize to 3 generations:

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ s_L \\ b_L \end{pmatrix} \dots \qquad F = U_F \hat{F}$$

Apply all constraints:

- CKM = $U_{uL}^{+}U_{dL}$
- meson mixings
- lepton decays
- R_K

Minimal setup

But:



Non-degenerate masses

A direct generalization is obtained with **non-degenerate masses**:

 $M_{G_{L1}} = M_{G_{L2}} \ll M_{G_{L3}}$

[-] This breaks the original SU(2) symmetry[+] It passes all the experimental constraints:

- $b \rightarrow sll$ measurements
- Meson mixings
- Small shift to $B \rightarrow Kvv$ (due to underlying SU(2) symmetry)
- Small shifts to $\tau \rightarrow l \nu \nu$ and $D^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \rightarrow \mu \mu$

Non-degenerate masses

A direct generalization is obtained with **non-degenerate masses**:

 $M_{G_{L1}} = M_{G_{L2}} \ll M_{G_{L3}}$

[-] This breaks the original SU(2) symmetry [+] It passes all the experimental constraints [+] $\delta C_{9,10}^{\tau\tau} = -\delta C_{9,10}^{\mu\mu}$ and LFV predictions



Méril Reboud - 2nd Year Progress Report

Outlook

Adding a SU(2) horizontal symmetry allows

- sizeable correction to $J_a \times J_b$
- while keeping $J_a \times J_a$ and $J_i \times J_i$ small enough

The choice of SU(2) is motivated by the **absence of anomalies**, but one can consider a larger group

- Require **extra matter**
- May solve other problems

Thank you!



Back-up

Méril Reboud - 2nd Year Progress Report

Fermion masses

Accommodating quark masses and the SU(2) symmetry can be done by adding heavy fermions that mixes with the SM ones.

2

$$\psi_{U}^{L,R} = (3,1,2/3;2) \qquad F_{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{L}^{2} \\ Q_{L}^{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\psi_{D}^{L,R} = (3,1,-1/3;2) \qquad \Phi_{1,2} = (1,1,0;2)$$

$$L_{mass} = m_{U} \overline{\psi}_{U}^{L} \psi_{U}^{R} + m_{D} \overline{\psi}_{D}^{L} \psi_{D}^{R}$$

$$L_{mix} = \sum_{a=1,2} \left[(y_{a}^{U})_{i} \overline{\psi}_{U}^{L} \Phi_{a} (u_{R})_{i} + (u \Leftrightarrow d) \right]$$

$$+ c_{U} \overline{F}_{Q} \widetilde{H} \psi_{U}^{R} + c_{D} \overline{F}_{Q} H \psi_{D}^{R} + \text{h.c.}$$