Nikos Prantzos Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

Stellar Nucleosynthesis and Galactic Chemical Evolution

Galactic chemical evolution with yields from rotating massive stars NP, C. Abia, M. Limongi, A. Chieffi, S. Cristallo 2018

The impact of radial migration on the evolution of the Milky Way M. Kubryk, NP, L. Athanassoula, 2013, 2015a,b

On the evolution of r-elements from neutron star mergers Y. Ishimaru, S. Wanajo, NP 2015 T. Ojima, Y. Ishimaru, S. Wanajo, NP, P. François 2018

- **1.** Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics
 - 2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements

1. Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics

2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements



Big Bang H, D, He-3, He-4, Li-7

Cosmic rays

 $CNO \Rightarrow Li Be B$

SNIa

Massive Stars (My)

> Red Supergiants He→C,O… Si→ Fe

Supernova

cron

tar

Neutron star merger

Black hole

Red Giants He→C,O

Planetary nebulae

Small

Stars

(Gy)

White dwarf

Companion star Galactic Chemical Evolution (GCE) Main ingredients of Galactic Chemical Evolution models

Stellar properties

(function of mass M and metallicity Z)

- Lifetimes
- Yields (quantities of elements ejected)
- Masses of residues (WD, NS, BH)
- Rates of binary collisions

Collective Stellar Properties

- Star Formation Rate (SFR)
- Initial Mass Function (IMF)

Gas Flows

- Infall
- Outflow
- Radial inflow (in disks)

From theory of Stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis

Scale: STARS (10⁶ km)

Observations Phenomenological recipes + Theoretical arguments

Scale: STAR FORMING REGIONS (10s-100s pc)

Observationally and Theoretically motivated

Scale: GALACTIC AND CIRCUMGALACTIC MEDIUM (several kpc)

Aims of Galactic Chemical Evolution (GCE) studies

To check / constrain our understanding of stellar nucleosynthesis (i.e. stellar yields and their dependence on stellar properties), either *statistically* (mean, dispersion) or in *individual objects*

To establish a chronology of events in a given system e.g. *when* metallicity reached a given value, or *when* some stellar source (SNIa, AGB etc.) became important contributor to the abundance of a given isotope / element

To infer how a system was formed (Star Formation Rate, large scale gas mouvements) e.g. slow infall of gas in case of solar neighborhood

In principle: evolution = function of age In practice : metallicity ([Fe/H]) of stars is observed (large age uncertainties) So: evolution = function of [Fe/H] assuming unique age-metallicity relation

The Milky Way : not a simple system



Local disk (8 kpc from GC): Composition of nearby young stars and gas is ~ solar (But: older stars are more metal poor, by a factor up to ten) Inner Galactic disk: metal rich young stars and gas (up to 3 times solar) Outer Galactic disk: metal poor young stars and gas (down to 1/3 solar) A younger (<9 Gyr), metal rich (<Z>~solar) and less extended vertically (h~0.3 kpc) THIN disk An old (9-12 Gyr) metal poor (<Z>~0.2-0.3 solar) and more extended vertically (h~1kpc)THICK disk



1. Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics

2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements

Grids of Stellar yields in GCE calculations Yields of massive stars Woosley & Weaver 1995 12-40 M Nomoto, Kobayashi, Tominaga 2013

13-40 M⊙ Limongi and Chieffi 2018 13-120 M⊙

Maeder, Meynet, Hirschi 2006 15-120 M⊙

Yields of massive and LIM stars

Pignatari et al. 2016 1.5-60 M⊙

Yields of LIM stars Karakas 2010 (1-6 M☉) Karakas & Lugaro 2016 (1-8 M☉) Cristalo et al. 2016 (1-6 M☉) Doherty et al. 2014,2015 (7-9 M☉)



Yields of massive stars for galactic chemical evolution studies

	<i>Santa Cruz</i> Woosley- Weaver 1995	<i>Tokyo</i> Nomoto et al. 2013	<i>Geneva</i> Maeder, Meynet, Hirschi 2006	<i>Roma</i> Limongi- Chieffi 2018
Mass	11 - 40	13 - 40	15 - 120	13 - 120
Metallicity (Z/Z $_{\odot}$)	0 - 1	0 - 2.5	10 ⁻⁸ – 2	10 ⁻³ - 1
Mass loss	Νο	Νο	Yes	Yes
Hydrostatic	Up to Fe-core	Up to Fe-core	Up to Ne	Up to Fe-core
Explosive	Yes + ν - nucleosynthesis	Yes + high E at low Z	Νο	Yes
Rotation (km/s)	0	0	0 – 800 Not uniform in Z	0 - 150 - 300 at all Z
Isotopic composition	H to Zn	H to Ge	CNO and few selected elm.	H to Bi

ROTATIONAL YIELDS IN A NUTSHELL



Rotation

Increases sizes of convective cores and corresponding hydrostatic yields

Mixes protons in He-burning regions and products of H- and He-burning

boosting production of CNO, F, Ne22, S-process

and turns « secondary » elements into « quasi-primary » ones

Adopted yields of Non Rotating LIMS (*Cristallo et al. 2015*) and Rotating massive stars (*Limongi and Chieffi 2018*)







Yields from WW95

Yields from NKT2013



Persistent problem for all sets of yields for (CI), K, Sc, Ti V

Still, a triumph for stellar nucleosynthesis and chemical evolution !





Chemical evolution with new yields of rotating stars

Rot vs Non Rot Alpha/Fe evolution OK

Primary N ~OK (Chiapinni et al. 2006)

Primary F

Mn, Cu: OK Mg, Al: underproduced

Ti: *observ.* : like alpha *theory.* : like Fe

K, Sc, V, Zn: not OK

Ni: problem with W7 model of SNIa



Chemical evolution with new yields of rotating stars

Rot vs Non Rot

S-procces from LIMS is secondary and appears only at [Fe/H] > -1

> S-process from rotating massive stars is quasi-primary, but at low metallicities it is overwhelmed by r-component (>90%)

1. Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics

2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements

Inadequacy of the simple models for the solar neighborhood 1. Age-metallicity relation in the solar neighborhood



Nieva and Simon-Diàz (2011): 11 OB-stars in Orion

Nieva and Przybilla (2012): nearby B-stars in the field (within a few hundred pc from the Sun) Fluctuations of less than 10% (0.04 dex) from the mean

Same situation for local gas: Solar to ~4% (Cartledge et al. 2006)

> The local metallicity is the same now and 4.5 Gy ago !



Inadequacy of the simple models for the solar neighborhood 2. The metallicity distribution





Summary of features of the solar neighborhood impossible to reproduce with simple models of chemical evolution

- Little metallicity evolution in the past 10 Gyr, on average
- Sizeable dispersion \pm 0.2 dex (~60% at 1 σ) at all ages
- Old AND young stars of both high and low metallicities
- The most metallic stars (2-3 Z☉) are more metal rich than the local ISM and young stars ; they are NOT the youngest
- The two-branch behavior of O/Fe vs Fe/H in the local thick and thin disks

- **1. Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics**
 - 2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements

Stellar orbits change through interactions with inhomogeneities of gravitational potential (molecular clouds, spiral arms, bar)

Resonant interactions at corotation may induce radial mixing of stars far beyond what is expected from simple epicyclic motion

Sellwood and Binney 2002

DISK: NOT independently evolving rings

1-zone models INADEQUATE Interaction between different rings

Radial inflow of gas

Radial mixing of starsSellwood & Binney 2002Schoenrich & Binney 2009Minchev et al. 2013a,bLoebman et al. 2010Grant and Kawata 2013

9 <u>Kubryk, NP, Athanassoula AA 2013, 2015a,b</u> 1D semi-analytical model with parametrized infall in a DM halo, SFR from H2, observed DTD for SNIa rate, detailed chemical evolution (H to Zn, with Z-dependent yields from massive and LIM stars) radial motions of gas (parameterised radial inflow) radial migration of stars (inspired from N-body simulations)

Comparison to present-day profiles of MW disk



Probabilistic treatment of radial migration: transfert coefficients





Solar Neighborhood

Radial Migration

1. Increases the average stellar age by ~1 Gyr

2. ... and brings locally stars from
~1.5 kpc inwards (on average)

3. The most metal-rich local stars come from several kpc inwards and are ~4 Gyr old



Solar Neighborhood Radial Migration

1. Modifies the apparent local SFR (*Röskar et al. 2008*)

2. Creates dispersion in the age-metallicity relation... (Sellwood and Binney 2002)

3. ...more than the epicyclic motion (~0.08 dex)

and comparable with observations (~0.2 dex)

Assuming that the thick disk is the old disk (>9 Gyr)

we recover the Double branch [a/Fe] vs Fe/H behavior (Schoenrich and Binney 2009) because O and Fe sources have different timescales

and the metallicity distributions of both the thick and thin disks



Stars in the local thick disk and in the metal-rich thin disk mostly from the inner disk (3-4 kpc) with more rapid star formation

Evolution of thin (<9 Gyr) and thick (>9 Gyr) disks Double [X/Fe] sequences obtained for elements with different formation timescales Data: Adibekyan et al. 2011 Data: Bensby et al. 2014 Na Mg_ 0.4 Na Mq Ο 0.6 0.2 0.4 Fe 0 \geq 0.2 -0.2 -0.4-0.2Si Ca 0.4 -0.40.2 [X/Fe] Si Ca А 0 0.6 -0.2 0.4 -0.40.2 0.4 Cr C 0.2 -0.2[X/Fe] 0 -0.4-0.2 Ti Ni Cr -0.40.6 0.4 Mn Со 0.4 0.2 0.2 [X/Fe] ſ 0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4-0.4-0.80.4 -0.8-0.8-0.40.4-0.8 -0.40 -0.40.4 -0.8-0.40 0.4 -0.40 0.4 -0.8-0.40.4[Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H]

Calculations with new yields (Rome) for massive and LIM stars for all isotopes up to Pb (including s-nuclei)





Radial migration in MW disk may explain

- 1. Dispersion in local age-metallicity
- 2. Presence of metal-rich stars locally
 - 3. Presence of old metal-rich and young metal-poor stars locally
- 4. Double sequence of O/Fe in local thin and thick disks

5. Double sequence of Li/H in thin and thick disks (NP, Guiglion, De Laverny, Recio-Blanco2017)

Different evolution of X/Fe in thin and thick disks will help constraining stellar nucleosynthesis for -1< [Fe/H] < 0.5

1. Nucleosynthesis and Galactic chemical evolution (GCE) : Basics

2. Some new results with yields of rotating massive stars

3. Problems of simple GCE models in the Solar neighborhood

4. The role of radial migration in the evolution of the Milky Way disk

5. The role of hierachical galaxy formation in the evolution of r-elements

The Galactic halo ([Fe/H] <-1) not a single, uniform, system



Hierarchical merging of hundreds of smaller subhaloes evolving at different rates

> Elements formed in sites evolving on different time scales will be affected in different ways (NP in NIC 2006)

e.g. Fe from CCSN (short timescale, a few My) and r- from NSM (longer timescale, 10-100 My)





Simple, one-zone models, inadequate to describe the evolution of either the Mily Way halo or disk