# MICROSatellite-based COsmic Polarization Explorer

#### MICROSCOPE

Sujay Mate, Sudip Chakraborty, Elena Balakina, Nina Smirnova



# Why X-ray polarimetry?



#### **Objects:**

- Supernova Remnants;
- Pulsar Wind Nebulae
- Microquasars
- Accreting X-ray pulsars;
- Any jetted system, for that matter!

#### **Observable effects:**

- Probing emission in strong magnetic fields
- Differences between ICS/EC vs SSC in the jet for different states in microquasars
- Distinction between "pencil" and "fan" radiation patterns in X-ray pulsars
- Clean view of magnetized plasma dynamics the inner regions/ near the central engine
- Constraints on the magnetic field amplification mechanisms in DSA and much more....

Hard X-ray polarimetry can give us much more information about accretion and ejection geometry and metric around a compact object and opens up new parameter space!

	< 1 keV	1–10 keV	> 10 keV
Polarimetry techniques	•Diffraction	<ul> <li>Photoelectric effect,</li> <li>Thomson scattering (from few keV)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Photoelectric effect (up to tens of keV),</li> <li>Thomson scattering (up to tens of keV),</li> <li>Compton scattering (from few tens of keV)</li> </ul>

#### Table 1. Polarimetry techniques and scientific goals for different energy bands.

Fabiani+2018

### A Brief history of X-ray polarization missions

- **OSO-8 (1975):** Bragg reflection. Crab degree of polarization 19.2% ± 1.0% (Weisskopf+1976) and upper limits to a few other sources
- CGRO (1991): Crab
- **INTEGRAL (2002):** polarization of a few bright GRB, Crab
- AstroSat CZTI (2015): a few bright GRB, Crab (phase resolved), Cyg X-1 (ongoing)
- **POGO-plus** (balloon borne, 2018): Crab
- Why so serious(-ly little has been done)?
- Photon hungry nature, difficult to achieve
- Most of these cases emerged as secondary objective



#### **Polarization detection technique**

- Based on Compton scattering
- Polarized photons are scattered preferentially in the perpendicular to the direction of polarisation
- By measuring the azimuthal distribution of photons we can determine the angle of polarization.

 $C(\varphi) = A \cos(2(\varphi - \varphi_0 + \pi/2)) + B$ 

• Already demonstrated technique on AstroSAT/CZTI and two phase on INTEGRAL/ IBIS.



(Krawczynski et al., 2011; Lotti et al., 2012)



(Chattopadhyay et al, 2017)

# **Detector Configuration**

• Cluster of 9 satellites

• 5 satellite observing at given time



• Total area of  $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^2$ 

# **Specifications:**

Targeted minimum flux	~100 mCrab
Energy range	50-200 keV
Resolution	~ 1.6 keV @ 60 keV (CdTe / CZT )
Weight of each payload	10 kg
Time for each object*	~ a few Ms
Area of each detector	400 cm <sup>2</sup>
Number of satellites	9 (5 always looking towards source), inspired by CAMELOT
Field of view	1X1 deg <sup>2</sup>

\* We will take into account time for background observation and source flux when planning the observation

# **Mission requirements**

#### **Satellite resources**

- Microsatellite (30 kg) (DEFIANT platform)
- Payload up to 10 kg
- Navigation GPS, 5-10m
- Peak Power 25°C, BOL: 50 – 100 W

	Estimated cost		
	Instruments + Launch	<55M	
DEFIANT – 20kg 30x30x40cm, customizable Payload: up to 10kg, 45W	Research	15M	
	Detector	<3M	
	Unpredicted corruption	50M	
Modium mig	Total	110M	

#### Launcher:

- Orbit and trajectories LEO, altitude ~ 550 km)
- Life duration 3 years

# **Bonus facts:**

#### Anyone up for a secondary science objective?

- Beyond 100 keV, Tl becomes transparent.
- What do we get? An all-sky high energy transient monitor!
- Give us some more funding? Add trilateration facilities in each satellite, and voila!
- Immediate (rough) localization of GRBs (**Great Relativistic Bazooka**s), great for GW follow-up and other usual stuff...

# Some objects of interest:

- Crab nebula
- Cyg X-1
- GRS 1915+105
- GX 301-2
- Vela X-1
- Cyg X-3
- Any unanticipated SUPERbright X-ray binary and so on

