





#### Population Prospects for Electromagnetic Counterparts to Neutron Star Mergers in the Gravitational Wave Era

R. Duque, F. Daigne & R. Mochkovitch

June 1<sup>st</sup> 2019 – Cosmic Explosions 2019



# From GRB170817A to O3...

#### Afterglow, kilonova = great wealth of information!

- ✓ Localization
- ✓ External medium density
- $\checkmark$  Jet kinetic energy
- ✓ Jet geometry
- $\checkmark\,$  Viewing angle
- ✓ Magnetic field
- ✓ And more!



3

# From GRB170817A to 03...

#### Afterglow, kilonova = great wealth of information!

- ✓ Localization
- ✓ External medium density
- ✓ Jet kinetic energy
- ✓ Jet geometry
- ✓ Viewing angle
- ✓ Magnetic field
- ✓ And more!

#### O3 is here $\rightarrow$ More GW with afterglow and kilonova!

A Real source image **DEC** [mas -23°22'53.38" DEC  $\Delta RA[mas]$ 53.39" -53.40' 13h09m48.0695s 48.0690s 48.0685s 48.0680s 48.0675s RA

B

- Which kilonovae and afterglows to expect and what will they look like? •
- How will they help to study the evolution of NS binaries? •
- What insight will they bring on the **GRB jet structure**? •

Ghirlanda et al. 2018



### Population model distributions:



#### **Reference model:**

Energy: BPL, break energy 2.10<sup>52</sup> erg, slopes +0.5 and -2 (Ghirlanda et al. 2016)

# (Detectable) Event rates for NS-NS



Uncertainties: +200% (intrinsic rate from LIGO-Virgo O2/O3) + uncertainty on population model

- In general: 10-30% events have detectable AG (depending on energy distribution)
- Large deviation from this = constraints on population!

## Properties of joint events: viewing angle



+ Other distributions: distance, peak flux, proper motion, ...

- Most events seen off axis!
- Mean angle ~20-30°
- New insight on GRB physics
- → Jet geometry? Origin of lateral structure?
- → GRB dissipation mechanisms (thermal tail?)
- $\rightarrow \pm 10\%$  on axis (GRB!)

GW+GRB ~ 1-10% (O3) (Beniamini et al. 2018)

### Binaries in high density media

- **Evidence found** for **fast-merging** binary population (*r*-process element abundance, sGRB rate vs. cosmic SFR, Galactic binary population)
- May be due to high eccentricity, efficient common envelope phase or Kozai-Lidov type mechanisms (Beniamini+2016)
- These merge in **high density** media producing **brighter AG** and are **more likely detected** ( $F \sim n^{4/5}$ )





### Expectations for kilonovae



→ Finding the OT challenging!

Lanthanide-poor

Blue (low  $\kappa$ )

# Conclusion

- O3 is here: **several** BNS events are expected, **a few** with **detectable** afterglow, **all with detectable KN**
- **Detectable** is not **detected!** 
  - 1. Difficulty to find KN during O3...

2. Increasing difficulty of VLBI imagery (flux and apparent motion) with distance

- Most events are seen off-axis, allowing to probe the jet geometry and emission therein
- Only a few events are necessary to constrain the population of fastmerging binaries.
- **Now**: wait for events, and GRB prompt!

#### Determining viewing angle and density from multimessenger observations



12





Distance





AG Peak time

AG Peak flux

Remnant proper motion



# Long run

Interpretation tools for observations of GRBs in the multimessenger context:

 Modeling of EM counterparts of CO fusions: sGRBs and afterglows

Context: observations by LIGO–Virgo (~2019)

2 Modeling of the general population of GRBs and afterglows

Context: present and future observations:

Swift, Fermi, INTEGRAL, SVOM

#### 1: GRBs & CO fusions

- Distinguish NS-NS and BH-NS?
- Nature of final object? Link with ringdown signal?
- Systematic fusion/GW/sGRB/kilonova/afterglow association?
- GW/GRB delay?

#### 2: General population of GRBs

Rates: (Wei, Cordier et al. 2017a):

- SVOM: 60-70 yr<sup>-1</sup>
- Swift, Fermi, INTEGRAL: ~100 yr<sup>-1</sup>
- Radiative processes in GRB (shocks/magnetic reconnection)?
- Ejecta magnetization?
- Other afterglow observables (polarization, imaging)?



## Gamma-ray bursts



Paciesas et al. 1996

# Gamma-ray bursts

