



### **<u>SPectroscopy</u>** with <u>Atomic</u> <u>Neutrino</u>: its principle and recent progress

Takahiro Hiraki, for the SPAN collaboration

Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Science (RIIS) **Okayama University** 

14<sup>th</sup> Rencontres du Vietnam International Symposium on Neutrino Frontiers 19 July 2018

### Introduction

### known and unknown properties of neutrino

#### known properties

- PMNS mixing angle
- squared mass difference
- (Dirac CP phase)
- (Mass ordering)

 $\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.307 \pm 0.013$  $\Delta m^2_{21} = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$  $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.421^{+0.033}_{-0.025}$ (S = 1.3)(Inverted order, quad. I)  $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.592^{+0.023}_{-0.030}$ (S = 1.1)(Inverted order, quad. II)  $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.417^{+0.025}_{-0.028}$ (S = 1.2)(Normal order, quad. I)  $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.597 \substack{+0.024 \\ -0.030}$ (S = 1.2)(Normal order, quad. II)  $\Delta m^2_{32} = (-2.56 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$ (Inverted order)  $\Delta m^2_{32} = (2.51 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ (S = 1.1) (Normal order)  $\sin^2(\theta_{13}) = (2.12 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-2}$ 

#### unknown properties

- absolute mass (only upper limit) \_
- mass type (Dirac or Majorana)
- (Majorana CP phase)
- etc.

key parameters for particle physics and cosmology

- BSM physics
- leptogenesis

3

PDG

## Our approach to neutrino

 ✓ use atomic or molecular de-excitation process and techniques of laser spectroscopy

#### table-top experiment

laboratory in Okayama University



#### interdisciplinary science

- areas of expertise
- High energy physics
- nuclear physics
- AMO (atomic, molecular and optical) physics
- chemistry
- theorists

## **Experimental principle**

Radiative Emission of Neutrino Pair (RENP)

$$|\mathbf{e}\rangle \rightarrow |\mathbf{g}\rangle + \gamma + \nu + \overline{\nu}$$



✓ detection of a photon (easy) instead of neutrino (difficult)

## **Experimental principle**

Radiative Emission of Neutrino Pair (RENP)

$$|\mathbf{e}\rangle \rightarrow |\mathbf{g}\rangle + \gamma + \nu + \bar{\nu}$$

energy level



✓ The emitted photon contains information of neutrinos

## Energy spectra of emitted photon



✓ Energy spectra are obtained by scanning the laser frequency

- Frequency resolution is much better than 1 GHz
- precise determination of neutrino absolute mass is possible

## **Amplification of emission rate**

8

- Critical issue of this method: very tiny rate
- typical emission rate  $\ll 10^{-30}$  Hz
- transition includes weak interaction
- ➡ rate amplification by atomic coherence
  - obtained by using coherent photons (laser)

#### Simplified description



#### Huge enhancement can be achieved!

## **Rate Amplification**

• Condition for rate amplification

proposed by M. Yoshimura (2007)



- If  $\Delta k = 0$  holds, the emission rate  $\propto N^2$  (rate amplification)
  - momentum conservation among initial and emitted particles
  - also coherence decay (decoherence) time should be long

Experimental demonstration of this principle is necessary



- Dirac/Majorana distinction : principally possible
  - effect of identical particle emission (Majorana)

## Rate amplification experiment of two-photon emission (TPE) from para-H<sub>2</sub> molecule

## para-H<sub>2</sub> experiment

Motivation

- ✓ study rate amplification mechanism using two-photon emission processes
  - much easier to observe than neutrino emission process

Properties of para-H<sub>2</sub>

nuclear spinrotational quantum numberortho-H2I = 1J=1, 3, 5... (odd)para-H2I = 0
$$I = 0$$
 $J = 0, 2, 4... (even)$   
dominant @ 78 K

✓ J=0 (ground state) para-H<sub>2</sub>: completely spherical wavefunction
 ➡ weak intermolecular interaction

➡ long decoherence time expected

## coherent states of para-H<sub>2</sub>

- ✓ coherence between vibrational states ( $v=0, J=0 \leftrightarrow v=1, J=0$ ) of pH<sub>2</sub> molecules
- 1-photon electric dipole transition (E1 transition): **forbidden**
- 2-photon E1×E1 transition: **allowed** (2.4 μm)
  - ✓ metastable excited state
    - spontaneous emission rate:  $O(10^{-12})$  Hz
  - ✓ decoherence time: O(1) ns (gas)
    - mainly due to molecular collision



0.52 eV

Н

н

**|e**>

## **Coherence generation scheme**

Requirement for rate amplification: 4-momentum conservation



### **Overview of the para-H**<sub>2</sub> **TPE experiment**

#### one-side laser excitation

 $\implies$  pH<sub>2</sub>  $\implies$ 





15

para-H<sub>2</sub> gas experiment
 para-H<sub>2</sub> solid experiment

(difference is explained later)

counter-propagating laser excitation

 $\rightarrow pH_2$ 

solid para- $H_2$  cell cell length: 5 mm cooled to ~ 4 K



③: para-H<sub>2</sub> gas experiment

## Mid-infrared (MIR) laser generation<sup>16</sup>

- ✓ developed high-intensity and narrow-linewidth MIR laser
  - used for counter-propagating excitation experiment



### para-H<sub>2</sub> one-side laser excitation experiment

## (1) para- $H_2$ gas experiment



Rate amplification factor: (amplified rate)/(spontaneous emission rate) >10<sup>18</sup> Y. Miyamoto et al. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2014, 113C01

## 2 para-H<sub>2</sub> solid experiment

- ✓ solid para-H₂: called "Quantum solid" rotational and vibrational excited states exist even in solid
  - weak intermolecular interaction:



- no <u>collisional broadening</u> (cause of decoherence)

	gas para-H <sub>2</sub>	solid para-H <sub>2</sub>
density	~ 10 <sup>20</sup> /cm3	2.6×10 <sup>22</sup> /cm3
damage threshold	High	low
coherence time	$\mathcal{O}(1)$ ns	0( <b>10</b> ) ns

### gas V.S. solid timing dependence



✓ Coherence develops after the pump lasers exist

20

### para-H<sub>2</sub> counter-propagating laser excitation experiment



- Signal light is generated by the trigger laser and advances in the backward direction
- amplification condition
- Wrong-polarization component of the background scattering light is reduced by using a polarized beam splitter.

## **Results: detuning dependence**

23

- use the new mid-infrared laser as both pumps and trigger
  - pump energy: ~1 mJ/pulse, trigger energy: ~ 0.6 mJ/pulse



✓ Successfully observed a clear signal peak!

## **Results: detuning dependence**

24



- comparison with simulation based on Maxwell-Bloch equations
- describe development of laser fields and coherence
- Though it is difficult to reproduce absolute signal intensity, curve shape is consistent between data and simulation.

# **Results: input energy dependence**

✓ vary the pump and trigger beam energies at the same time



 Signal intensity is proportional to both pump beams and trigger beam
 *I*<sub>signal</sub> ∝ *I*<sub>pump1</sub>*I*<sub>pump2</sub>*I*<sub>trigger</sub> ∝ *I*<sup>3</sup>

## trigger frequency dependence

26



### Next step

## **Higher QED process**

- study of coherent amplification of **higher QED** process
- 2-photon E1×M1(magnetic dipole), 3-photon E1×E1×E1



- ✓ Xe target:
  one of the candidates
  of the RENP experiment
- use metastable excited state
  - E1, E1×E1: forbidden
  - E1×M1, E1×E1×E1: allowed



### 876 nm Laser setup (Xe) continuous-wave (cw) ECDL TA



ECDL, LBO (OPG)



Ti:Sapphire (OPA)



✓ Experiment will start soon!

## Summary

#### para-H<sub>2</sub> experiment

- Rate amplification of two-photon emission process
- observed TPE signal and verified rate amplification mechanism experimentally
- further study ongoing (counter-propagating solid experiment)
  Xe experiment
- coherent amplification of higher-order QED processes
- Experiment will start soon

Future prospects

- Background study and reduction (2 or 3 photon emission)
- obtain higher emission rate
- RENP experiment

## Back up



- Vibration or rotation of H<sub>2</sub> molecule are quantized
- $\checkmark$  wavefunction of H<sub>2</sub>



## **Coherent amplification condition**

Energy-momentum conservation among photons
 Process: Two-photon emission (TPE)



## **Coherent amplification condition**

✓ Energy-momentum conservation among photons+v
 ✓ Process: Radiative emission of neutrino pair (RENP)



✓ High-quality mid-infrared (4806 nm) laser is required.

## **Results: detuning dependence**



## **Results: Pressure dependence**

• vary the pH<sub>2</sub> target pressure

### detuning curve width (FWHM)



36



- Laser linewidth and pressure broadening determine the width
- Signal intensity increases as the target density larger.
- ✓ Consistent tendency is obtained between data and simulation.

## Laser linewidth measurement

- measurement of the narrow-linewidth MIR laser
- method: absorption spectroscopy of carbonyl sulfide (OCS)



## Laser linewidth

observed absorption spectra



	width (FWHM)
Observed linewidth	175 (13)
Doppler width	99
MIR Laser linewidth	145 (16)

✓ narrow laser linewidth (~1.6×FT-limit ) is achieved.

## **Maxwell-Bloch equations**

Development of the density matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{gg}}{\partial t} &= \mathrm{i}(\Omega_{ge}\rho_{eg} - \Omega_{eg}\rho_{ge}) + \gamma_1\rho_{ee}, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{ee}}{\partial t} &= \mathrm{i}(\Omega_{eg}\rho_{ge} - \Omega_{ge}\rho_{eg}) - \gamma_1\rho_{ee}, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{ge}}{\partial t} &= \mathrm{i}(\Omega_{gg} - \Omega_{ee} + \delta)\rho_{ge} + \mathrm{i}\Omega_{ge}(\rho_{ee} - \rho_{gg}) - \gamma_2\rho_{ge}. \end{aligned}$$

ρ: density matrix  $\Omega_{gg(ee)}$ : two-photon Rabi frequency  $\Omega_{eg(ge)}$ : AC Stark shift  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ : relaxation rates δ: detuning

#### Development of the electric fields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - c\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} E_{p1} = \frac{i\omega_l N_t}{2} \left( (\alpha_{gg}\rho_{gg} + \alpha_{ee}\rho_{ee})E_{p1} + 2\alpha_{eg}\rho_{eg}E_{p2}^* \right), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} E_{p2} = \frac{i\omega_l N_t}{2} \left( (\alpha_{gg}\rho_{gg} + \alpha_{ee}\rho_{ee})E_{p2} + 2\alpha_{eg}\rho_{eg}E_{p1}^* \right), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - c\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} E_{trig} = \frac{i\omega_l N_t}{2} \left( (\alpha_{gg}\rho_{gg} + \alpha_{ee}\rho_{ee})E_{trig} + 2\alpha_{eg}\rho_{eg}E_{sig}^* \right), \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} E_{sig} = \frac{i\omega_l N_t}{2} \left( (\alpha_{gg}\rho_{gg} + \alpha_{ee}\rho_{ee})E_{sig} + 2\alpha_{eg}\rho_{eg}E_{sig}^* \right).$$

 $ω_{l}$ : laser frequency  $N_{t}$ : target density α: polarizability

## **Theoretical studies**

• Towards Background-free RENP using a Photonic Crystal Waveguide M.Tanaka et al. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2017** 043B03

