Physics at Belle II: a snapshot



Jérôme Baudot
jerome.baudot@iphc.cnrs.fr

针对两个无穷的物理研究 : 硕士法国暑期学校 Physics for both infinities : L'École d'été France Excellence 2018

The Belle II team at IPHC

Jérôme Baudot
Professor



Sviatoslav Bilokin
Postdoc



Daniel Cuesta
PhD student

Reem Rasheed
PhD student

Isabelle Ripp-Baudot
Profesor, group leader



Luka Santelj
Postdoc

- Additional engineering team
  - PLUME Pixel detector for BEAST

Outline

- Legacy from B-factories & hot topics
- Wish list for physics @ s~10 GeV
- SuperKEKB collider
- Belle II detector



- Focus on some measurements
- Early physics program

Beyond SM effects at $\mathcal{O}(10)$ GeV

- "big" questions & flavor physics
- Whish list
- BaBar, Belle, LHCb legacy
- We have LHCb, why would we want another B-stuff ?

The "big" questions

- ✘ Violations of known symmetry (ex: lepton flavour)
- ✘ New particles (fermions, bosons)
- ✘ New couplings (L-R symmetry, FCNC)
- ✘ Additional source of CP violation
- ✘ CKM matrix relation with mass

Flavor physics

- ✘ Dark matter
- ✘ Strong force in binding hadrons

The quantum way

- Loops



in the flavor sector

  - Effective lagrangian
    - Mass, coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{g_{NP}}{\Lambda^2} O^{(6)}(\text{SM fields}) + \dots$$

- Interest of rare processes
  - Large modification expected from Beyond SM (BSM) wrt Standard Model (SM)
  - Not necessarily @ high energies

➡ LHCb, NA62, BES III, Belle II, …

Simplistic scheme of discovery limit



The two frontiers

✗ Energy frontier

➛ LHC & future LC or CC

➛ Direct creation of BSM

✗ Intensity frontier

➛ SuperKEKB
(also LHC & future LC or CC)

➛ Indirect effect of BSM



➢ How does BSM flavour coupling interfere with this naïve expectation?

Effective Field Theory

✗ Wilson development

From J. MARTIN CAMALICH

- **CC (Fermi theory):**



$$\Rightarrow \quad G_F\, V_{cb}\, V_{cs}^*\, C_2\, \bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu b_L\, \bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu c_L$$

- **FCNC:**



$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{e}{4\pi^2}\, G_F\, V_{tb}\, V_{ts}^*\, m_b\, C_7\, \bar{s}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_R\, F^{\mu\nu}$$



$$\Rightarrow \quad G_F\, V_{tb}\, V_{ts}^*\, \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\, C_{9(10)}\, \bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu b_L\, \bar{\ell} \gamma_\mu (\gamma_5) \ell$$

- Wilson coefficients $C_k(\mu)$ calculated in P.T. at $\mu = m_W$ and rescaled to $\mu = m_b$

Complex !

- C_k are complex -> possible source of new CP-violated phase
- C_k(measured) = C_k(SM) + δC_k, with δC_k, standing for Physics Beyond SM

Effective Field Theory

✗ Wilson development

- CC (Fermi theory):

From J. MARTIN CAMALICH



$$\Rightarrow \quad G_F\, V_{cb}\, V_{cs}^*\, C_2\, \bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu b_L\, \bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu c_L$$

- FCNC:



$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{e}{4\pi^2}\, G_F\, V_{tb}\, V_{ts}^*\, m_b\, C_7\, \bar{s}_L \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_R\, F^{\mu\nu}$$

nonPertubative QCD effects!

$$\Rightarrow \quad G_F\, V_{tb}\, V_{ts}^*\, \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}\, C_{9(10)}\, \bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu b_L\, \bar{\ell} \gamma_\mu (\gamma_5) \ell$$

- Wilson coefficients $C_k(\mu)$ calculated in P.T. at $\mu = m_W$ and rescaled to $\mu = m_b$

  Complex !

- C_k are complex -> possible source of new CP-violated phase
- C_k(measured) = C_k(SM) + δC_k, with δC_k, standing for Physics Beyond SM

A wealth of processes of interest

- CP asymmetries (also in charm)
- Electroweak penguin
- V_{ub}
- Rare B, K decays
- Lepton flavor violation
- And others
  - Tauonic B decay
  - Dark sector

	AC	RVV2	AKM	δLL	FBMSSM	LHT	RS
$D^0 - \bar{D}^0$	★★★	★	★	★	★	★★★	?
ϵ_K	★	★★★	★★★	★	★	★★	★★★
$S_{\psi\phi}$	★★★	★★★	★★★	★	★	★★★	★★★
$S_{\phi K_S}$	★★★	★★	★	★★★	★★★	★	?
$A_{CP}(B \to X_s\gamma)$	★	★	★	★★★	★★★	★	?
$A_{7,8}(B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-)$	★	★	★	★★★	★★★	★★	?
$A_9(B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-)$	★	★	★	★	★	★	?
$B \to K^{(*)}\nu\bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★	★
$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★	★
$K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★	★★★
$K_L \to \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$	★	★	★	★	★	★★★	★★★
$\mu \to e\gamma$	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★
$\tau \to \mu\gamma$	★★★	★★★	★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★
$\mu + N \to e + N$	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★★
d_n	★★★	★★★	★★★	★★	★★★	★	★★★
d_e	★★★	★★★	★★	★	★★★	★	★★★
$(g-2)_\mu$	★★★	★★★	★★	★★★	★★★	★	?

Table 8: "DNA" of flavour physics effects for the most interesting observables in a selection of SUSY and non-SUSY models ★★★ signals large effects, ★★ visible but small effects and ★ implies that the given model does not predict sizable effects in that observable.

Nucl. Phys. B830 (2010) 17–94

=> Identification of BSM model requires many measurements

B-factories legacy

- **Data accumulated: ~1 ab^{-1} per factory**
  - PEP-II – BaBar, 1999-2008
  - KEKB – Belle, 1999-2010
- **CP violation measurements**
  - First at tree level b->ccbar transitions (J/Ψ K_s, 4%)
  - Then also at loop level b->s transitions (Φ K_s, η'K_s)



- **Tensions**
  - B->Dτν & B->D*τν
  - Also LHCb

$$R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to q\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to q\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell)} \qquad \ell = e, \mu$$





LHCb legacy

- **Data accumulated**
  - Run 1: 3 fb^{-1} + Run 2: 6 fb^{-1} (expected end of 2018)
  - Resume in 2021 with Run 3 for +15 fb^{-1} by 2024

- **Tensions in b->s transitions**
  - R(K*) = P(B->K*ee) / P(B->K*μμ)
  - Angular distribution of B->K*μμ



$$R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to s\,\mu\,\mu)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to s\,e\,e)}$$



- **Tensions in leptonic transitions**
  - B->τμ (difference in estimatin from |Vub| wrt other channels) for tree level b->u / BaBar & Belle

Belle II – LHCb complementarity

Initial states

LHCb

- Extremely large Xsections for B_d, B_u, B_s, and Λ_b

Belle II

- Mostly restricted to B_u, B_d
- B_s if gearing up to Y(5S)
- Initial energy known:
  - Missing mass, recoil techniques

Final states

- Crowdy events
- Large boost: easy vertexing
- Only self flavour tagging

- π^0, η, η', ρ, ν
- Isolated photons
- Low multiplicity (~1)
- Flavor tagging with other B
- Full event reconstruction
- Absolute Branching fraction (luminosity w Bhabha)

SuperKEKB collider & background

- Luminosity
- Asymmetric collisions
- Beam induced background

KEK @ Tsukuba, Japan

About luminosity

- **Target instantaneous luminosity: $L = 8 \times 10^{35}\ cm^{-2}.s^{-1}$**

$L \propto I^2 / (\sigma_x \times \sigma_y)$



- **KEKB → SuperKEKB**
  - → Currents I x2
    - I_{e+}=3.6A, I_{e-}=2.6 A
  - → Beams size σ_y /20
    - $\sigma_x \times \sigma_y \sim 10\mu m \times 60$ nm
  - → Crossing angle ϕ x4
    - ϕ = 83 mrad

➡ lumi x 80

SuperKEKB


e- 7GeV
e+ 4GeV
Higher e+ energy
to mitigate Touschek bkg
Belle II
Add / modify RF systems
for higher beam current
Crossing (Piwinsi) angle 83 mrad
to mitigate beamstrahlung
e+
5mm
1μm
e-
100μm
5mm
Positron source
Damping ring @1.1 GeV
to inject low emittance positrons
low emittance
electrons gun

Running scenario



First beams in 2016 = Phase 1

$e^+ + e^-$ collisions @ Y(4S)



- What is the energy asymmetry for ?
  - Boost the B-Bbar syste and allows for Time Dependent Asymmetry measurements
- Why center-of-mass energy exactly Y(4S) mass
  - Intricate the two B mesons
  - Avoid fragmentation regime for qq pairs

Beam induced background

✗ **Luminosity increase has a cost**

➛ Parasitic particles from beam x10 to x20

Detector upgrades required

- Faster
- Tougher
- Higher precision

Belle



Belle II



➡ Similar effects for all e^+e^- machines: ILC, CLIC, CEPC, FCC-ee …

.. but "details" are different (energy, colliding scheme)

The physics of beam background

✗ Single beam effects

- Touschek: intra-beam scattering
  - Prob ∝ I^2_{bunch} x N_{bunch} / ($\sigma_x \times \sigma_y$) / E^3_{beam}



- Beam gas (vacuum residue)
  - Prob ∝ I^2 x pressure



- Synchrotron radiation
  - Power ∝ E^4_{beam} / curvature



✗ Beam-beam effects (QED)

- Radiative Bhabha scattering
  - σ ~ 50 nb



- Pair creation by two photons interactions
  - σ ~ 10^7 nb

Both Prob ∝ Lumi



Control of the beam background

- **Phase 2 goals (2018 run)**
  - Validate background understanding @ 1/100 to 1/20 of max luminosity
  - Comparison measurement – simulation
  - Green light for final silicon det. installation



replaced by

- **Dedicated detectors = BEAST**
  - 1 sector equipped with final Si sensors
    - Double sided strips + DEPFET pixels
  - Dose monitoring
    - PIN-diodes
    - Diamond sensors
  - Charged particle & Synchrotron
    - ATLAS-pixel
    - ILC-pixel PLUME
  - Neutron rate
    - Micro-TPC
    - He3-volume
  - Time structure
    - Fast scintillator + SiPM (ILC-calorimetry)



Note: the rest of BELLE II is there!

SuperKEKB runs since March 16th

- Already various beam-background studies



- **FIRST COLLISIONS recorded May 6th**
  - Peak luminosity reach during PHASE-2 $\lesssim 10^{33}$ cm^{-2}/s range
  - Integrated luminosity close to 1 fb^{-1} (run ended on July 17th)

Belle II detector

- Extremely brief overview

7.4 x 7.1 x 8 m^3



Le détecteur Belle-II

7.4 m × 7.1 m

Calorimètre EM
barrel : CsI(Tl)
end-caps : pur CsI

Particle-Id
barrel : Time-of-Propagation
forward : focusing Aerogel RICH



Solénoïde supraconducteur





Beam pipe @IR
Beryllium, rayon = 1 cm



Central Drift Chamber
$He(50\ \%):C_2H_6(50\ \%)$

Vertex detector
PXD : 2 couches pixels DEPFET
SVD : 4 couches strips double-faces



K_L and μ detector
outer barrel : RPC
end-caps + inner barrel : scintillateur + Si-PM



How it looks during assembly





Some simulated performances







Statistics for physics

- B factories at $O(10)$ GeV $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\overline{B}$
  - per 1 ab^{-1} of integrated luminosity:
    - 1.1 x10^9 $b\overline{b}$
    - 1.3 x10^9 $c\overline{c}$
    - 0.9 x10^9 $\tau^+\tau^-$



- From the 2000s
  - BaBar (SLAC)
    - 454 fb^{-1}
  - Belle (KEK)
    - 711 fb^{-1}

- Expected in the 2020s…
  - Belle II SuperKEKB
    - 50 ab^{-1}
  - 3.6% of luminosity for Y(nS), n≠4

Known particles have been seen with real data!



(b) $m_{p\pi}$ *distribution after selection*







FIG. 20: ΔM (left) and M_D (right) signal-enhanced projections in 250 pb^{-1} prod4 data sample for $D \to K\pi$ final state.

Detailed focus

- Test of V+A coupling in radiative penguins B decays

Photon polarisation to sign BSM

✗ Radiative penguin diagram

- Penguin diagram ⇔ loop
  - Sensitivity to new particle / coupling
- Radiative decay ⇔ photon polarisation
  - Sensitivity to V-A structure



✗ The photon polarisation is constrained in SM: why ?

- 2 basic ingredients
  - Only left-handed fermions are coupled to W
  - spin S and its projection S_z are both conserved
- 2 "tricks"
  - Helicity = chirality
  - Possibility to flip helicity with probability ∝ mass

$$\frac{P(b_L \to s_R + \gamma_R)}{P(b_R \to s_L + \gamma_L)} \approx \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sim 0$$

$$B^0(\bar{b}d) \to \text{Right-handed } \gamma$$

$$\bar{B}^0(b\bar{d}) \to \text{Left-handed } \gamma$$

Expectation on photon polarisation

- **New physics with Left-Right symmetry**
  - Helicity flip on top quark line! $P(t_L \rightarrow t_R) \propto m_t >> m_s$
  - Non-zero probability for right-handed γ in anti-B decay



- **How can we access the photon polarisation?**
- **Direct measurement with 3 body decays**
  - $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^+\gamma$
  - Requires a lot of data & Dalitz analysis of $K\pi\pi$ resonnances
- **Indirect measurement in time dependent CP violation**
  - Indirect CP violation requires interference in final states of f_B and f_{Bbar}
  - In SM are different since not the same photon polarisation => NO Cpviolation
  - New physics might change the result within $\lesssim$ 10%

No helicity flip ?



The B meson have small boost: lab frame ~ B rest frame => s and γ back-to-back

Initial state

$S_{z,\gamma} = 0$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$) | $S_{z,b} = -\frac{1}{2}$ | $S_{z,s} = -\frac{1}{2}$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$)

2: conservation $S_{z,b} = S_{z,s} + S_{z,\gamma}$

IMPOSSIBLE

$\lambda_b = -\frac{1}{2}$ | $\lambda_s = -\frac{1}{2}$

1: V-A coupling



Helicity flip on b quark



Helicity flip $P(b_L \to b_R) \propto m_b$

The B meson have small boost: lab frame ~ B rest frame => s and γ back-to-back

Initial state

$S_{z,\gamma} = +1$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$) | $S_{z,b} = +\frac{1}{2}$ | $S_{z,s} = -\frac{1}{2}$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$)

2: conservation $S_{z,b} = S_{z,s} + S_{z,\gamma}$

$\lambda_\gamma = -1$ | $\lambda_b = +\frac{1}{2}$ | $\lambda_s = -\frac{1}{2}$

3: Left-handed γ

1: V-A coupling

γ spin | b | s spin

$\vec{P}_\gamma$ | b spin | $\vec{P}_s$

(BEFORE λ flip) $\vec{P}_b$

Helicity flip on s quark



Helicity flip $P(s_L \rightarrow s_R) \propto m_s$

The B meson have small boost: lab frame ~ B rest frame => s and γ back-to-back

Initial state

$S_{z,\gamma} = -1$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$) | $S_{z,b} = -\frac{1}{2}$ | $S_{z,s} = +\frac{1}{2}$ (wrt $\vec{P}_b$)

2: conservation $S_{z,b} = S_{z,s} + S_{z,\gamma}$

$\lambda_\gamma = +1$ → **3: Right-handed γ**

$\lambda_b = -\frac{1}{2}$

$\lambda_s = +\frac{1}{2}$ ← **1:** V-A coupling after flip

γ spin | b | s spin

$\vec{P}_\gamma$ | s spin $\vec{P}_b$ | $\vec{P}_s$

(AFTER λ flip)

Conclusion on photon polarisation

- In the standard model:

$$\frac{P(b_L \to s_R + \gamma_R)}{P(b_R \to s_L + \gamma_L)} \approx \frac{m_s}{m_b} \sim 0$$

  - In anti-B meson, both b_L and b_R are present, but $b_R \to \gamma_L$ will dominate
    - Opposite helicities dominate in B meson with anti-b quark

  - Final SM expectation

$$B^0(\bar{b}d) \to \text{Right-handed } \gamma$$
$$\bar{B}^0(b\bar{d}) \to \text{Left-handed } \gamma$$

Time dependent asymmetries

- CP violation in decays to CP eigenstate
  - Direct violation $\Gamma(B \to X) \neq \Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{X})$
  - Indirect (interference with mixing)

$$\Gamma(B \to f_{CP}) + \Gamma(B \to \bar{B} \to f_{CP}) \neq \Gamma(\bar{B} \to \overline{f_{CP}}) + \Gamma(\bar{B} \to B \to \overline{f_{CP}})$$

- The formulas $\eta_{CP}(B)=+1$, $\eta_{CP}(\text{anti-B})=-1$

$$\Gamma(B^0(t) \to f) = g_{\eta_{CP}} = N e^{-\Gamma_{B^0}|\Delta t|}[1 + \eta_{CP}(C_f \cos(\Delta M \Delta t) + S_f \sin(\Delta M \Delta t))]$$

$$\Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \to f) = g_{\eta_{CP}} = N e^{-\Gamma_{B^0}|\Delta t|}[1 + \eta_{CP}(C_f \cos(\Delta M \Delta t) + S_f \sin(\Delta M \Delta t))]$$

- The measurement = asymmetry

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \to f) - \Gamma(B^0(t) \to f)}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0(t) \to f) + \Gamma(B^0(t) \to f)}$$

  - Time is needed, otherwise effect vanishes !

Time dependent asymmetries



- From detector
  - Identify final state on signal side (B1)
  - Measure Δt from Δz and B-momentum
  - Tag B_2 to get B_1 flavor

REQUIRED
- excellent vertex detector
- complex algorithm (machine learning)

Example of Belle analysis

PRL101 (2008)

$$B^0 \to K_1(1270) + \gamma \to K_S^0 + \pi^+ + \pi^- + \gamma$$



$$K_1(1270) \to \pi^+ + \pi^-$$



Time dependent asymmetries

- **Golden channel $B^0 \to K_s \pi^0 \gamma$**
  - LHCb cannot compete (π^0)
  - Uncertainty: statistical ~ systematics already around 10 ab^{-1}
  - Difficult part, vertex resolution with only K_s => exploit known boost direction
  - Relative uncertainty expectation @ 50 ab^{-1}: 3%

- **Also possible with $B^0 \to K_s \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$**
  - Easier vertex resolution with
  - Statistics lower
  - Might be difficult to interpret
    - Need connection with $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \gamma$

Toy Monte Carlo study, S.Bilokin et al. 2018



Short focus

- ✗ Tau anomaly
- ✗ Lepton flavour violation

Anomaly in tau?

- **Belle II can**
  - Measure R(D*), R(D) and τ polarisation simultaneously
  - With 50 ab^{-1}, SM could be ruled out by 9σ

$$R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(b \to q\,\tau\,\bar{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(b \to q\,\ell\,\bar{\nu}_\ell)} \qquad \ell = e, \mu$$





Full event interpretation

From Thomas KECK, 2017



B^+



B^0





Lepton flavour violation

- Reconstruct as many tau decays as possible
  - τ -> hadrons doable
  - Some very clean: τ -> μμμ background free



SM: suppressed by a factor

$$\frac{\Delta m_\nu^4}{\Delta M_W^4} \approx 10^{-45}$$



In the early program…

…but of course not only

- ✗ Year 0 (2018), 20 fb^{-1} expected
- ✗ Dark sector
- ✗ Bottomium

Reminder on Phase 2 (2018)



No vertex det. => no Δt measurement

Still: γ, leptons, K^0, π^0, D, B

Dark photon search

From Thorsten FERBER, Feb'2018

Dark photon decaying into light Dark Matter

- In the so called Vector Portal, a (massive) Dark Photon A can mix with the SM photon with strength ε.
- If there is a sufficiently light Dark Matter (DM) particle, the A will dominantly decay into DM: Invisible final state.
- Search for $e^+e^- \to A\gamma$ by searching for a single, high energetic photon: Bump-hunt in recoil mass energy (or photon energy).







Many other searches

- Axion-like particles
  - 3 g event





- Invisible Z' decay
  - μ or τ but no e
  - Recoil technique





Bottomium states

From Umberto TAMPONI, Feb'2018



Belle II phase II prospects:

→ Hints of a resonance around 10.750 GeV?

→ Run in Phase II at 10.750 GeV and/or at 10.800 GeV

→ Combine Belle and Belle II data

Expected local significance of an extra resonance (> 3σ only)



20 fb^{-1} at 10.750 GeV



10 fb^{-1} at 10.750 GeV + 10 fb^{-1} at 10.800 GeV

26

Note: Belle II is the last chance to investigate the Y familly

If you don't come to Belle II...

...Belle II will come to you!

In a friendly way of course.

References

- Belle II status and potential, by P.Krizam @ Alps2018
  https://indico.cern.ch/event/645588
- Belle II TheoryInterfacePlatform (B2TiP), to be published in PTEP
  https://confluence.desy.de/display/BI/B2TIP+WebHome
- Physics at B Factories, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 3026
- Physics at Super B Factory, arXiv:1002.5012 (Belle II)
- SuperB Progress Reports: Physics, arXiv:1008.1541 (SuperB)

BACKUPs

From P.Krizan April'2018



Using "current" central values, and extrapolated stat+syst errors

Effective theory in b->sγ transitions

From David STRAUB, Oct'2017

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{tb}V_{ts}^* \Bigg(- \frac{V_{ub}V_{us}^*}{V_{tb}V_{ts}^*} \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_i Q_i^u + \sum_{i=1}^{2} C_i Q_i^c \qquad \text{current-current (tree!)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=3}^{6} C_i Q_i + C_{iQ} Q_{iQ} \qquad \text{QCD \& EW penguin}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=7}^{8} C_i Q_i + C_i' Q_i' + \text{h.c.} \Bigg) \qquad \text{dipole}$$

$$Q_1^p = (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu T^a p_L)(\bar{p}_L \gamma^\mu T^a b_L) \qquad Q_2^p = (\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu p_L)(\bar{p}_L \gamma^\mu b_L)$$

$$\dots \qquad \dots$$

$$Q_7^{(\prime)} = \frac{e}{16\pi^2} m_b (\bar{s}_{L(R)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_{R(L)}) F^{\mu\nu} \qquad Q_8^{(\prime)} = \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2} m_b (\bar{s}_{L(R)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a b_{R(L)}) G^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$C_7^{\text{eff, SM}}(\mu = m_b) = -0.2915 \qquad C_7'^{\text{SM}} = \frac{m_s}{m_b} C_7^{\text{SM}}$$

List of topics

- (semi-) leptonic B decays
- CKM matrix elements: $|Vub|$, $|V_{cb}|$
- Radiative and electroweak penguins B decays
- CKM matrix/triangle angles with TD-CPV, α, β, γ
- Charmless hadronic B decays and direct CPV
- Charm physics: CPV, ?
- Quarkonium physics
- Tau physics, lepton flavor violation
- Dark sector, light Higgs