

Borut Bajc

J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

S. Abel, BB, F. Sannino, 1805.07611





We will be interested in asymptotically safe (AS) theories with either

weak-weak fixed points (perturbative along the flow - Banks, Zaks)

or

strong-strong fixed points (non-perturbative along the flow)



The central charge a defined from the trace anomaly for stress-energy tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  in curved background :

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = -\mathbf{a} \times E_4 + \dots$$

Euler invariant

$$E_4 = R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - 4R^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta} + R^2$$

quadratic diffeomorphism invariant combination

The central charge can be connected (Komargodski-Schwimmer) to the dilaton-dilaton  $(\phi\phi)$  scattering:

$$a_{KS}(\mu) = a_{UV} - \int_{\mu}^{\infty} d\mu \frac{\sigma_{\phi\phi\to\phi\phi}(\mu)}{\mu^3}$$

Since cross-section  $\sigma > 0 \rightarrow a_{KS}(\mu)$  decreasing from UV to IR (*a*-theorem)

$$\Delta a \equiv a_{UV} - a_{IR} > 0$$

Because of it

- RG flow is irreversible
- $a_{KS}$  provides a measure for # of d.o.f.
- since cross-section  $\sigma$  is a physical quantity, so is  $a_{KS}(\mu)$

## a-central charge at the fixed point

In a generic field theory a can be calculated perturbatively. In most cases this not useful because fixed point non-perturbative

Fortunately in supersymmetry central charges can be got exactly

$$(R_i, n_i)$$
 ...  $(R - charge, \# d.o.f.)$  of chiral field  $i$   
 $|G|$  ... dimension of gauge group  $G = \#$  of gauge fields  
 $a_1(R) \equiv 3(R-1)^3 - (R-1)$ 

$$a = \underbrace{2|G|}_{gaugino} + \underbrace{\sum_{i} n_{i}a_{1}(R_{i})}_{chiral \ fields}$$

Total a equal to sum of single  $a_1$  (one for each chiral multiplet)

This exact relation is due to the fact that

 $T_{\mu\nu}$  and  $j_R^{\mu}$  are different components of the same supermultiplet

 $\rightarrow$  relations between  $T^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$  and  $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{R}$ :

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = -a E_{4} + \dots$$
  
$$\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}_{R} = \underbrace{\left[Tr U(1)_{R}\right]}_{\propto \sum_{i} n_{i}(R_{i}-1)} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tilde{R}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \underbrace{\left[Tr U(1)_{R}^{3}\right]}_{\propto \sum_{i} n_{i}(R_{i}-1)^{3}} F_{R\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{R}^{\mu\nu}$$

 $U(1)_R$  symmetry unavoidable in supersymmetric fixed points (conformal theories): R charge part of the superconformal algebra If we know the R-charges, we know the central charge aHow do we get the R-charges  $R_i$ ? In a general SCFT

$$R(\text{chiral superfield}) = \frac{2}{3}D(\text{chiral superfield})$$

R charge  $\leftrightarrow$  anomalous dimension

For a free theory  $(D(\phi_{free}) = 1)$ 

$$R(\phi_{free}) = 2/3$$

For non-trivial SCFT the  $\beta$  functions must vanish:

• NSVZ  $\beta$  function is proportional to

$$T(G) + \sum_{i} T(r_i)(R_i - 1) = 0$$

- $T\ldots \mathrm{Dynkin}$  index
- $\beta$  function for superpotential Yukawa coupling  $y_a$  of

$$W = y_a \prod_i \phi_i^{q_{ia}}$$

is proportional to

$$\sum_{i} q_{ia} R_i - 2 = 0$$

Three possibilities:

- 1. # of constraints above bigger than number of chiral fields  $\rightarrow$  no SCFT
- 2. # of constraints above equal to number of chiral fields  $\rightarrow$  the solution to above equations unique and represents a possible candidate for CFT
- 3. # of constraints above smaller than number of chiral fields
  → one uses the above equations to express some R-charges with the others; then applies the *a*-maximization to calculate the remaining *R*-charges:

#### *a*-maximization:

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial R_i} = 0$$

This gives same number of equations than unknowns  $R_i$ .

Equations are quadratic so there can be several real solutions. One should choose the one with

$$\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial R_i \partial R_j}$$

all negative eigenvalues

The constraints can be enforced by Lagrange multipliers:

$$a = 2|G| + \sum_{i} a_{1}(R_{i}) + \lambda_{1} \left( T(G) + \sum_{i} T(r_{i})(R_{i} - 1) \right) + \sum_{a>1} \lambda_{a} \left( \sum_{i} q_{ia}R_{i} - 2 \right)$$

From

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda_a} = 0 \quad , \quad a = 1, \dots$$

we solve for  $R_i$  and plug in into a. This is the standard way for solving at the fixed point.

Another way (Kutasov) is:

First solve

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial R_i} = 0 \to R_i = R_i(\lambda)$$

and then plug in into a:

 $a_K = a(R_i(\lambda), \lambda)$ 

The interpretation now is different: at the fixed point again

$$\frac{\partial a_K}{\partial \lambda_a} = 0 \quad , \quad a = 1, \dots$$

and the result is the same as before.

But one can interpret  $a_K = a(R_i(\lambda), \lambda)$  as the *a* function along the flow with  $\lambda$  changing from  $\lambda_{IR}$  in IR to  $\lambda_{UV}$  in UV.

Example: perturbative SQCD with some matter (W = 0), only one Lagrange multiplier,  $\lambda_1$ :

$$a_{K} = 2(N_{c}^{2} - 1) + \sum_{i} a_{1}(R_{i}) + \lambda_{1} \left( N_{c} + \sum_{i} T_{i}(R_{i} - 1) \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial a_{K}}{\partial R_{i}} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad R_{i}(\lambda_{1})$$

All  $R_i(\lambda_1)$  (and so all anomalous dimensions) determined just by one function along the flow -  $\lambda_1(\mu)$ 

- in the UV  $\lambda_1 = 0$
- For small  $\lambda_1$  the theory is perturbative and one finds the 1-loop relation

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{g^2}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(g^4)$$

- one can repeat the calculation up to 3-loops getting agreement for the scheme independent part of the perturbative calculation of the anomalous dimensions
- the flow ends at IR CFT when (at some  $\lambda_1^*$ ) NSVZ vanishes:

$$T(G) + \sum_{i} T(r_i) \left( R_i(\lambda_1^*) - 1 \right) = 0$$

# Duality

Seiberg type dualities connect theories with different gauge group and field content but same flavor structure.

The original (and simplest) example is SQCD:

ELECTRIC MAGNETIC

$$SU(N_c) : \mathbf{g} \qquad SU(N_f - N_c) : \tilde{\mathbf{g}}$$
$$N_f * \left(Q + \tilde{Q}\right) \qquad N_f * (q + \tilde{q}) + N_f^2 * M$$
$$W = 0 \qquad W = \tilde{\mathbf{y}} q M q$$

- valid only in the IR (in the fixed point)
- valid only in the conformal window  $3N_c/2 \le N_f \le 3N_c$ (both electric and magnetic theories asymptotically free)
- quantum numbers of magnetic singlets  $M \sim \tilde{Q}Q$

R(M) = 2R(Q)

This follows from magnetic superpotential  $W\sim \tilde{q}qM$ 

2R(q) + R(M) = R(W) = 2

together with (at the fixed point)

$$2R(q) + 2R(Q) = 2\left(1 - \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}\right) + 2\left(1 - \frac{N_c}{N_f}\right) = 2$$

- at least one theory must be strongly coupled (no duality possible between two different gauge theories at weak coupling)
- duality of type strong  $\leftrightarrow$  weak (one weak, the other strong)

If a theory has a nontrivial UV fixed point and a nontrivial IR fixed point, and we know the duals of both of them, then reasonable that they are *dual in the whole flow* 

Consider the following simple example:

- 1. first at  $\mu \to \infty$  have SQCD with  $SU(N_c)$  and  $N_f + 1$  quarks in the conformal window  $(3N_c/2 < N_f + 1 < 3N_c)$ electric and magnetic theories different
- 2. run down to the IR, in the IR the usual duality between electric and magnetic theory
- 3. perturb the electric theory with a mass m for 1 quark pair; let this mass deep in the fixed point regime, duality still valid

$$W_E = m\tilde{Q}_{N_f}Q^{N_f}$$
$$W_M = mM_{N_f}{}^{N_f} + \tilde{q}qM \rightarrow \langle \tilde{q}_{N_f}q^{N_f} \rangle = -m$$

- 4. right above the mass  $(\mu \rightarrow m + 0$  this is now the **new UV**) we thus have duality between:
  - electric theory is  $SU(N_c)$  with  $N_f + 1$  quark pairs
  - magnetic theory is  $SU(N_f + 1 N_c)$  with  $N_f + 1$  quark pairs they are dual to each other (equivalent)
- 5. in the deep IR  $(\mu \rightarrow 0)$  (this is now the **new IR**) again (a new) duality
  - electric theory is  $SU(N_c)$  with  $N_f$  quark pairs
  - magnetic theory is  $SU(N_f N_c)$  with  $N_f$  quark pairs they are dual to each other (equivalent)

 $t \equiv \log\left(\mu/m\right)$ 

Duality valid at UV  $(t = 0 \text{ or } \mu = m)$  and IR  $(t = -\infty \text{ or } \mu = 0)$ .

We thus assume that duality between the electric and magnetic theory is valid in the whole interval  $-\infty < t < 0$   $(0 < \mu < m)$ 

	$\operatorname{IR}\left(t<0\right)$	$\mathrm{UV}\left(t>0\right)$
magnetic	$N_f$ flavours $\tilde{N}_f$ l	$\tilde{N}_f + 1$ flavours
theory	$N_c$ colours	$N_c + 1$ colours
electric	$N_f$ flavours	$N_f + 1$ flavours
theory	$N_c$ colours	$N_c$ colours

$$\tilde{N}_c \equiv N_f - N_c$$

## Magnetic theory

If we choose

$$N_f = 3\tilde{N}_c - 1$$

then the magnetic theory is weakly coupled

$$\beta_1 = 3\tilde{N}_c - N_f = 1$$

and we can calculate the flow perturbatively

$$\tilde{\alpha}_g \equiv \frac{\tilde{N}_c \tilde{g}^2}{(4\pi)^2} \quad , \quad \tilde{\alpha}_y \equiv \frac{\tilde{N}_c \tilde{y}^2}{(4\pi)^2}$$

Up to 2 loops

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_g(t) \\ \tilde{\alpha}_y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_g(t) & \tilde{\alpha}_y(t) \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_g(t) - \tilde{\alpha}_g(-\infty) \\ \tilde{\alpha}_y(t) - \tilde{\alpha}_y(-\infty) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M \dots$  perturbatively calculable  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $(\tilde{\alpha}_g, \tilde{\alpha}_y)(-\infty) \dots$  perturbatively calculable fixed points values This can be easily numerically solved

Borut Bajc



### Electric theory

What about the electric theory?

$$\alpha_g \equiv \frac{N_c g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \quad , \quad f(x) \equiv \frac{1}{1 - 2x}$$

Formally we can write the RGE:

$$\frac{d\alpha_g(t)}{dt} = -\frac{6}{N_c} \alpha_g^2(t) f(\alpha_g(t)) \underbrace{\left(N_c + N_f \left(R_Q(t) - 1\right)\right)}_{\text{NSVZ }\beta \text{ function}}$$

Problem: theory non-perturbative so we do not know  $R_Q(t)$  except in the fixed points (*R*-charges of *Q* in the conformal field theories)

$$R_Q(0) = 1 - \frac{N_c}{N_f + 1} \qquad (UV)$$
$$R_Q(-\infty) = 1 - \frac{N_c}{N_f} \qquad (IR)$$

How can duality help?

We need a physical quantity which have to be the same in the electric and magnetic theory.

What about the a central charge?

Remember, we had two ways to define it outside the fixed points:

1) Komargodski, Schwimmer: through dilaton-dilaton ( $\phi\phi$ ) scattering

$$a(\mu) = a_{UV} - \int_{\mu}^{\infty} d\mu \frac{\sigma_{\phi\phi\to\phi\phi}(\mu)}{\mu^3}$$

- since cross-section  $\sigma > 0 \rightarrow a(\mu)$  decreasing from UV to IR (*a*-theorem)
- since cross-section  $\sigma$  is a physical quantity, so is  $a(\mu)$

Non-perturbative electric  $a_{el}(\mu)$  and perturbative magnetic  $a_{mag}(\mu)$  should match along the whole flow

 $a_{el}(\mu) = a_{mag}(\mu)$ 

Good to prove *a*-theorem but not easy to calculate

### 2) Kutasov: with Lagrange multipliers

$$a_{el} = 2(N_c^2 - 1) + 2N_f N_c a_1(R_Q) - \lambda_g (N_c + N_f (R_Q - 1))$$

Maximisation:

$$\frac{da_{el}}{dR_Q} = 2N_f N_c a'_1(R_Q) - \lambda_g N_f = 0$$
$$\rightarrow \lambda_g = 2N_c a'_1(R_Q)$$

$$a_{el}(R_Q) = 2(N_c^2 - 1) + 2N_f N_c \left[ a_1(R_Q) - a_1'(R_Q) \left( R_Q - R_Q(-\infty) \right) \right]$$

Still we do not know what  $R_Q(t)$  along the flow is

No proof that Komargodski-Schwimmer and Kutasov definitions coincide.

However possible to prove they do coincide for simple cases We assume that they coincide in general

$$\to a_{el}(R_Q(t)) = a_{mag}(\tilde{\alpha}_g(t), \tilde{\alpha}_y(t))$$

r.h.s. perturbatively calculable  $\rightarrow R_Q(t)$ .

Then from formal RGE

$$\frac{d\alpha_g(t)}{dt} = -\frac{6}{N_c} \alpha_g^2(t) f(\alpha_g(t)) \left(N_c + N_f \left(\frac{R_Q(t)}{N_c} - 1\right)\right)$$
  
  $\Rightarrow \alpha_g(t)$  once we choose  $\alpha_g(0) < 0.0216$ 

#### Borut Bajc



#### Notice that

• magnetic  $\tilde{\alpha}_g$  decreasing towards IR (more perturbative) but

electric  $\alpha_g$  increasing towards IR (more non-perturbative)

 $\rightarrow$  strong-weak duality

• There is only one  $\alpha_g(0)$  which is correct, duality is exact only there.

Unfortunately we do not know which one.

# Conclusion

- $a_{KS}$  physical because uniquely connected to dilaton-dilaton cross-section
- $a_K$  defined along the flow as function of the *R*-charges (anomalous dimensions)
- some hint that  $a_{KS}(\mu) = a_K(\mu)$
- assuming it correct → explicit example of Seiberg duality in the whole flow (modulo one number)