

# In-ice radio arrays for the detection of ultra-high energy neutrinos

Prof. Amy Connolly Oct. 12, 2018





# Outline

- Science motivation for in-ice radio arrays
- Radio Cerenkov
- Other techniques
- Future





#### **Science** motivation





#### astronomy



### High Energy Neutrino Astronomy

- Want to dig deep into this region here
- Where does
  astrophysical flux
  measured by IceCube
  cutoff?
- Measure astrophysical flux at ultra-high energies, from sources or cosmogenic







### fundamental physics



#### **Cross Sections**

20 Number of events SM 18 .....  $x_{min}=1, N_{D}=1, M_{D}=1 \text{ TeV}$  UHE neutrino-nucleon -···-·  $x_{min}$ =1,  $N_{D}$ =7,  $M_{D}$ =1 TeV 16 ----  $x_{min}=3$ ,  $N_{D}=7$ ,  $M_{D}=1$  TeV interactions probe \_\_\_\_ x<sub>min</sub>=1, N<sub>D</sub>=7, M<sub>D</sub>=2 TeV 14 center-of-mass 12 energies beyond LHC 10 Upward-going Down-going  $E_{\rm v} = 10^{18} \, {\rm eV}$ : 4 A. Connolly, R. Thorne,  $E_{\rm CM} = \sqrt{2m_N E_\nu} = 45 {\rm TeV}$ D. Waters, Phys.Rev. D83 2 (2011) 113009. 0 0.2 -0.20.4 0.6 0.8 0  $\cos \theta_{7}$ ED model predictions from J. Alvarez-Muniz and E. Zas,

Phys. Lett. B411, 218 (1997).

7



#### Real measurements now!

#### neutrino 0.8 $\sigma_v/E_v$ (10^{-38} cm^2/GeV) antineutrino -weighted combination - this result 0.6 0.4 0.2 accerterator data 0.0 2.5 3.5 6.5 5.5 4.5 1.5 $\log_{10}(E_v [GeV])$







#### **CERN COURIER**

Jan 15,2018

#### The case of the disappearing neutrinos

#### **IceCube Collaboration**









### The case for going beyond optical

- ~ 10 cosmogenic neutrinos / km<sup>2</sup> / year
- 10<sup>18</sup> eV: vN interaction length O(1000) km
- $\rightarrow$  0.01 neutrinos / km<sup>3</sup> / year
  - At most, we see 1/2 the sky
  - $\rightarrow$  0.005 neutrinos / km<sup>3</sup> / year
- Neutrinos from sources at a similar level

We need >100's of km<sup>3</sup> detection volumes



# Radio Cerenkov Technique

- Shower 20% charge asymmetry
- Cerenkov radiation
- Coherent for  $\lambda \gg 10$  cm

 $\rightarrow$  **RADIO** Power  $\propto E_{shower}^2$ 

Confirmed experimentally in sand, salt, ice: PRL 86, 2802 (2002); PRD 72, 023002 (2005); PRD 74, 043002 (2006); PRL 99, 171101 (2007)







#### Two classic approaches

#### Instrument the ice



#### View from a distance



Graphic: Oindree Banerjee

 Pure ice is low-loss for radio: field attenuation lengths ~1 km

12/57

#### The Ohio State University









### Askaryan Radio Array (ARA)









Credit: Mike Duvernois, ARA/NSF

13



#### ARIANNA - Moore's Bay



- ARIANNA designed for minimum power and remotely powered operation, surface design allows for access
- Observes cosmic rays



#### In-ice expansion - move down and left

- On EdN/dE plot
  - By expanding you move down
  - By reducing thresholds you move left





#### Reducing thresholds - move left





#### Phased array

 A. G. Vieregg, et al., JCAP 1602 (2016) no.02, 005.
 Calculate summed correlation in electronics before trigger decision

Newly deployed in ARA station
 5 last season





#### Figure credit: Eric Oberla Univ. of Chicago

5

15



#### In-ice expansion Next-Generation Radio Array (NGRA)

- Folks from existing in-ice arrays have been working together on combined proposed effort
- Intense discussions and optimizations ongoing
- Aiming for fast-approaching Dec 2018 deadline



### Science goals for Antarctic Radio In-Ice Array

- Science goals two-fold
  - Aim to overlap with IceCube's sensitivity near 10<sup>16</sup> eV
  - Explore new parameter space across broad energy range up to  $10^{20} \text{ eV}$
- CRs:
  - Don't aim for CR physics itself
  - measure CRs as background to neutrino searches
  - validation observe natural, radio impulses <sup>19</sup>



## Next-Generation Radio Array (NGRA) Designs being considered



- Prefer to choose one station for uniformity
- Depth up to 100 m
- Simulators working round the clock!





## Looking ahead





- K. de Vries et al. low energy (40 MeV) beam test
- TARA experiment in Utah (PI's D. Besson & J. Belz) attempted with air showers
- Looks like dense media needed
- Steven Prohira KU, starting CCAPP Fellow Fall 2018. Led SLAC beam test under DOE Office of Science Grad Fellowship

The Ohio State University

\*Speaker



#### RADAR Preliminary results shown at TeVPA



(left to right) Steven Prohira, Krijn de Vries, Jorge Torres Espinosa, Uzair Latif





# GENETIS (Genetically Evolving NEutrIno TeleScopes

- With Prof. Stephanie Wissel (Cal Poly), Research Scientist Kai Staats
- Using genetic algorithms (type of ML) to evolve detector designs for neutrino telescopes
- Starting with antenna designs u.osu.



**GENETIS Mini-Collaboration Meeting** April APS 2018

Heavy involvement from undergraduates

u.osu.edu/connolly/genetis



## GENETIS

 Lately a growth in genetically evolved antennas (mostly narrow band)

#### **GENETIS loop (now in action):**





ST5: A narrow-band antenna designed using genetic algorithms by NASA for satellite communications

[2] https://ti.arc.nasa.gov/m/pub-archive/1244h/1244%20(Hornby).pdf

 May be well suited to design antennas under tight constraints

u.osu.edu/connolly/genetis



## Summary

- Let's see what nature has in store above 10 PeV!
  - UHE astronomy at cosmic distances
  - Tests of fundamental physics
- Current experiments are expanding and reducing thresholds
- Watch for the development of many novel approaches

#### Thank you!



#### Backup slides