

Atom-interferometric gravito-inertial sensors:

Ongoing and future projects

Remi Geiger

SYRTE laboratory, Paris Observatory

Workshop on future instruments for gravity-based earthquake early warning

APC, Paris, 10th January 2017









- Principle of a cold atom inertial sensor (reminder)
- \rightarrow Interferometer transfer function
- \rightarrow Sensitivity curve
- Some ongoing and future projects in France
 - Gravimeter and gradiometer at SYRTE
 - MIGA (large scale gradiometer)

Simplified principle of a cold atom sensor



Use free falling atoms to read the phase of a laser linked to the accelerated frame

 \rightarrow Measurement of distance in units of laser wavelength



Number of graduations
$$\sim \frac{aT^2}{\lambda_{laser}}$$

Orders of magnitude :

- $T \sim 100 \ ms$; $\lambda \sim 0.5 \ \mu m$;
- Resolution on the distance $\sim \lambda/100$ (SNR = 100)
- → Acceleration sensitivity ~ $10^{-7} m. s^{-2} / \sqrt{Hz}$

Concept similar to a free-falling corner cube gravimeter

Principle of Atom Interferometry



- Analogy with a Mach-Zehnder optical interferometer
- Use laser pulses to coherently manipulate a matter-wave



Two photon transitions







aser phase difference imprinted on the atoms $\varphi = \phi_1 - \phi_2 = \vec{k}_{eff} \cdot \vec{r}(t)$ $+ \varphi \downarrow \qquad |e, p + \hbar \vec{k}_{eff}\rangle - \varphi \downarrow$ $|f, \vec{p}\rangle \qquad - \varphi \downarrow$

Interferometer building blocks





Interferometer phase





Simple picture of the AI : sampling of the atomic trajectory by the lasers at 3 different times.

Measurement of the phase difference





Sensitivity function (transfer function)

Response of the atom interferometer to an instantaneous change of (laser) phase



Cheinet et al, IEEE 57, 1141 (2008)

Observatoire

Systèmes de Référence Temps-Espace

SYRTE

Sensitivity function (transfer function)



Phase sensitivity function in Fourier space: $H(\omega) = 4\sin^2(\frac{\omega T}{2})$

Acceleration transfer function :

$$\varphi(t) = k_{eff} x(t) \rightarrow \ddot{\varphi}(t) = k_{eff} a(t) \rightarrow H_a(\omega) = \frac{k_{eff}}{\omega^2} H(\omega) = k_{eff} T^2 sinc^2(\frac{\omega T}{2})$$



Al gradiometer



- Measurement of the differential phase between 2 physically separated AIs
- Gradiometer signal = $\phi(X) \phi(X + L) = k_{eff}T^2(a(X) a(X + L))$



• Position noise of the retro-reflecting mirror is common \rightarrow rejection of Δx_2 .

Shot noise limit for a gradiometer

Shot noise limited phase sensitivity for each interferometer:

 \dot{N}_{at} =Cold atom flux

 $\eta =$ squeezing parameter ($\eta < 1$ for sub shot noise detection)

Gravity gradient sensitivity: $S_{\Gamma}(\omega) = \frac{2\eta/\dot{N}_{at}}{\left(nLk_{eff}T^2sinc^2(\omega T/2)\right)^2}$

n = number of two photon transitions

L = baseline (distance bewteen the two atom clouds)



$$S_{\phi}(\omega) = \frac{\eta}{\dot{N}_{at}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{rad}^2}{\mathrm{Hz}}\right)$$





- Principle of a cold atom inertial sensor (reminder)
- \rightarrow Interferometer transfer function
- \rightarrow Sensitivity curve
- Some ongoing and future projects in France
 - Gravimeter and gradiometer at SYRTE
 - MIGA (large scale gradiometer)

Cold-atom gravimeter (2003 \rightarrow)





Cold-atom gravimeter (2003 \rightarrow)





Transportable gravimeter





Sensitivity, accuracy





P. Gillot et al, Metrologia 51, L15-L17 (2014)

Sensitivity, accuracy





Accuracy : $4 \mu Gal$ (Louchet-Chauvet et al, NJP 13, 065025 (2011))



SYRTE atomic gradiometer (2016 \rightarrow)

Langlois et al, Phys. Rev. A 96, 053624 (2017)

AtoM Interferometry dual Gravi-GradiOmeter: AMIGGO



AtoM Interferometry dual Gravi-GradiOmeter: AMIGGO



SYRTE gradiometer target sensitivity







The MIGA project :

Matter wave laser Interferometric Gravitation Antenna

References

- *R. Geiger et al, arXiv:1505.07137 (2015)*
- B. Canuel et al, Proceedings SPIE, <u>arXiv:1604.02072</u>(2016)

The MIGA project



- 10 years (2013 2023), 9 M€, 13 research institutes, 2 companies
- Goal : precision gravity measurements with Atom Interferometry (AI)
- Design and realization of an instrument for 2 applications:
- 1. Monitoring of underground mass distributions
 - \rightarrow Applications: geophysics, hydrology
- 2. Test setup for applications of AI to gravitational wave (GW) detection



Overview of the MIGA project

Implementation site

- Low noise underground laboratory
- Site of (hydro)-geological interest







MIGA geometry





MIGA main subsytems



- LP2N (Talence, PI): vacuum systems, coordination of the project
- SYRTE (Paris) : cold atom source and detection system, AI expertise
- ARTEMIS (Nice): cavity mirror suspensions, GW detection expertise
- μQuans (Talence): laser systems
- LSBB (Rustrel): tunnels & site management, geophysics expertise



MIGA installation at LSBB : mid 2018

MIGA target sensitivity





MIGA vacuum system (L2PN)





Al sensors

Cold atom source (SYRTE)



STISSENTER DAVENIR

 10^8 atoms at 2 μ K launched at 4 m/s

MIGA : status and perspectives



- First cold atom source delivered by SYRTE to LP2N (June 2015)
- Beginning of the digging of the MIGA galleries at LSBB (Spring 2018)
- MIGA installation at LSBB in 2020
- MIGA commissioning and data runs: 2021-2023
- Plans for a design study of a larger infrastructure at European scale (ELGAR).

Conclusion



- Technology developped for more than 15 years at SYRTE
- Metrological expertise, industrial transfer (µQuans company)
- Large-scale French ongoing project: MIGA (PI: LP2N laboratory)

Requirements for an early-warning Earthquake system ?

- Gravimeter: need to resolve < 1 nm/s² in 100-300 s
- \rightarrow seems difficult currently with an atomic gravimeter (vibration limit)
- Gradiometer: specifications are within reach, but needs technology development
- Other experiments of potential interest: gyroscope-accelerometer ?
- \rightarrow Combine two-axis acceleration and rotation data: interesting ?

Thank you for your attention

AN AD IN A REAL PROPERTY AND INTA REAL PROPERTY AND INTA REAL PROPERTY AND INTA REAL PROPER

A

A



Extra slides

Perspectives



• Industrial transfer (e.g. muquans in France; AO Sense in California)



- Long term stability : $4 \times 10^{-10} g$; accuracy: few $10^{-9} g$; market : geophysicits
- 15 years of academic research + 5 years of development

4-light pulse gyroscope







37

Scale factor of the gyroscope



$$\Phi_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \left(\vec{g} \times \vec{\Omega} \right) T^3$$

Sagnac area :
$$A = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\hbar k_{eff} T^3 g}{M}$$

800 ms interrogation time \rightarrow **11** cm² Sagnac area 1 rad. s⁻¹ rotation signal \rightarrow 5 × 10⁶ rad phase shift

Experimental setup





- 4×10^7 Cesium atoms @ 1.2 µK launched vertically at 5 $m. s^{-1}$
- Relative alignement of the beams < 3 μrad
- Mitigation of vibration noise
- \rightarrow passive isolation platform (>0.4 Hz)
- ightarrow noise rejection with classical sensors

I. Dutta, PhD Thesis

Rejection of vibration noise





Vibration isolation platform



Demonstration of a cold atom sensor without dead times

Dutta et al., PRL 116, 183003 (2016)

Dead times in quantum sensors



• Sequential operation of cold atom interferometers



Dead times \rightarrow (inertial) noise aliasing (Dick effect) + loss of information \rightarrow prevent from reaching the full potential of atom interferometers

Continuous (zero dead time) sensor

l'Observatoire SYRTE

Joint interrogation scheme: prepare the cold atoms and operate the AI in parallel







State of the art of atomic gyroscopes

Gyroscope stability

Short term stability still limited by residual vibration noise

	$nrad.s^{-1}.Hz^{-1/2}$
Current short term	40
Measured detection noise contribution	8
Quantum projection noise (10% contrast)	2.5
Measured laser noise contribution	4

Higher bandwith sensor

Interleave 3 joint interrogation schemes \rightarrow Tc = 2T/3 = 266 ms (~4 Hz cycling frequency)

Higher bandwith sensor

Improved short term stability : $30 nrad. s^{-1}. Hz^{-1/2}$

4-light pulse atom interferometer

$$\Delta \varphi = \varphi_1 - 2\varphi_2 + 2\varphi_3 - \varphi_4$$

B. Canuel et al., PRL 97, 010402 (2006)

Title

