## The GRETINA tracking code

- Intro, 'review'
- How to use
- Few new developments:
- efirst function
- elast function
- Pipe data



#### Torben Lauritsen, ANL Amel Korichi, CSNSM

# Tracking 101: determining the interaction sequence and how 'good' a gamma ray is



FOM < ~0.6-0.8 considered GOOD

FOM > ~0.8 considered BAD (Compton events)

Note: Single interactions cannot be tracked

Cluster, then find interaction sequence Evaluate scattering <u>angle</u> <-> <u>energy</u> consistency with the <u>Compton scattering formula</u>:



 We find the interaction sequence
We evaluate how 'good' the gamma rays is (BTW: We re-scale to CC energy before tracking)

#### FOM: a measure of how well the interaction angles and interaction energies follow the Compton scattering formula for the interaction points in a gamma ray. Typical spectrum of FOM values:



\*ALWAYS\* You have to choose: good P/T or good efficiency or A compromise for the data at hand



Figure 6: The (P/T) ratio vs. photopeak efficiency curves for GRETINA (ANL setup), with 7 closed-packed modules, when a clustering angle of 20° is used. The lower curve includes single interactions (wsi) and the upper curve is obtained without these interactions (nsi).

4

## **Selected Chat file options:**

./trackMain \ track\_GT.chat \ GTDATA/mode2.dat \ GTDATA/mode1.gtd > GTDATA/trackMain.log BTW: We can handle AGATA data too!

$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{dtwin} & 30 \leftarrow (10) \\ \text{target x 0} \end{array}$	nsec units)	recluster1 0.01 0.1 3 10 0.90
target_y 0 target_z 0 CCcal CCenergy.cal useCCEnergy clusterangle 1 20 clusterangle 30 20	GTAG1 →	nprint 20 • singlehitmaxdepth 23 1.9 18.5 1.0 0.000 0.59 • 8.000 10.17 10.00 10.01
enabled "0-180" trackingstrategy 1.0		16.3 20.0
trackingstrategy 2 0 trackingstrategy 3 0 trackingstrategy 4 0		There are many more options! Here we just show the basic ones
trackingstrategy 5 0 trackingstrategy 6 5 ggtt trackingstrategy 7 5 ggg trackingstrategy 8 5 ggg	tt tttt ttttt	<u>We add mode1 data to</u> <u>the mode 2 data!!!!</u>

## Some functions in ANL tracking code

- Single interaction range [GTAG1]
- GTAG1 Splitclusters: try to split clusters that have a bad FOM into two gamma rays that have good FOMs. [SUMMED LINES!?]
  - Combine clusters: try to combine that have bad FOMs into one gamma rays that has a good FOM
  - Recluster: split gamma rays with bad FOM decreasing the clustering angle. [TBD: can go the other way too]
  - Matchmaker: combine two single interaction gamma rays into one gamma ray with a good FOM [tricky!]
  - PairProd: TBD

We can execute these functions iteratively until we have made the best out of the data we were given <u>The problem:</u> sometimes we make the wrong call because the experimental data is not perfect (i.e., we accidentally destroy what were actually good gamma rays)

#### What is new?

J. van der Marel and B. Cederwall. Backtracking as a way to reconstruct Compton scattered gamma rays. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A, 437:538, 1999.

> See if adding a <u>penalty</u> if the last interaction is not in the range from ~10 to ~300keV helps, [elast function]

I. Piqueras, F. A. Beck, E. Pachoud, and G. Duchene. A probabilistic γ-ray tracking method for germanium detectors. *Nucl.Instrum.Methods Phys.Res.*, A516:122, 2004.

> Add a <u>penalty</u> if the first interaction point does not have the largest energy deposite, if the energy is larger than ~500keV, [efirst function]

$$^{12}C(^{84}Kr[394MeV], 4n)^{92}Mo$$

Look at 2064 keV line of in-beam experimental data. v/c~8.2%, so first interaction can be gauged by the Doppler correction

#### elast # +-- lowest accepted energy (MeV) function: # | +-- highest accepted energy (MeV) # | +- penalty factor elast 0.050 0.300 1.5

As a function of the penalty factor, resolution and peak area:



Tue Mar 20 08:27:32 2018

... does not seem to help us



Tue Mar 20 08:41:00 2018

Function seems to help us find the first interaction point better :) ~5% better energy resolution, ~5% more peak area for the same FOM cut

Tue Mar 20 08:38:57 2018

#### Can now pipe data through the tracker



## **Conclusions and future**

- Not many changes to the GT tracking code since last
- But tried the new efirst and elast functions
- elast does not seem to work. Maybe the same problem as with backtracking which also does not work so well? Is the assumption wrong or is it bad data?
- The efirst function appears to work. It effectively trivializes the tracking at high energy; but so be it. The function and the functional form of the penalty needs to be <u>optimized</u> and we need to make sure it does not hurt us in other energy regions
- Piping data may help us speed up the tracking.
- We still need to add tracking of pair production to the code.
- Try other FOM measures?