FITXS: A fast burn-up scheme based on the fitting of one-group cross-sections

Máté Halász, Máté Szieberth

Budapest University of Technology and Economics Institute of Nuclear Techniques

halasz@reak.bme.hu

9 July 2018







Contents

Introduction

The FITXS method

Selection of fitting parameters

Cross-section database preparation

Cross-section fitting procedure

Fitting results and verification

Summary







Introduction

Time evolution of the fuel composition (Bateman equations):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{j\neq i} \left(\sigma_{ji}\Phi + f_{ji}\lambda_j\right)N_j(t) - \left(\sigma_i^a\Phi + \lambda_i\right)N_i(t)$$

Difficulty: $\sigma = \sigma(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n), \quad \Phi = \Phi(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n)$

Common approximations:

- ▶ burn-up tables
- precalculated average cross-section sets
- ▶ parametrized cross-sections (e.g. burn-up dependent cross-section sets)

The first two methods are possibly inaccurate when the fuel composition changes greatly (e.g. Pu and/or MA multirecycling)



The FITXS method

Common parameters used for cross-section parametrization:

- ▶ fuel burn-up or irradiation time
- ▶ initial ²³⁵U enrichment
- ▶ initial Pu content in the case of MOX
- ▶ etc.

Main idea: parametrization of one-group cross-section as functions of the <u>detailed</u> fuel composition (atomic densities of 15-20 nuclides)

- 1. selection of fitting parameters
- 2. preparation of the cross-section databases
- 3. cross-section fitting

$$\sigma(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i N_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n a_{ij} N_i N_j$$



Selection of fitting parameters

Fitting parameters were selected based on their contribution to the total reaction rates in preliminary core calculations and fuel cycle studies.

Component	GFR2400		EPR MOX	
	Parameter	Rel. reaction	Parameter	Rel. reaction
		rate $(\%)$		rate $(\%)$
U	^{234}U	0.43	^{234}U	0.02
	^{235}U	0.43	^{235}U	0.75
	$^{236}\mathrm{U}$	0.35	$^{236}\mathrm{U}$	0.03
	$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	43.1	$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	23.3
Pu	²³⁸ Pu	2.26	²³⁸ Pu	0.86
	²³⁹ Pu	36.0	²³⁹ Pu	35.8
	240 Pu	7.80	240 Pu	15.0
	241 Pu	2.54	241 Pu	12.5
	242 Pu	0.73	242 Pu	2.72
MA	²³⁷ Np	1.59	²³⁷ Np	0.07
	^{239}Np	0.04	^{239}Np	0.01
	241 Am	2.62	241 Am	1.59
	^{242m}Am	0.34	^{242m}Am	0.08
	^{243}Am	0.85	^{243}Am	1.16
	244 Cm	0.34	^{242}Cm	0.01
	245 Cm	0.21	244 Cm	0.22
FP	Total FP	0.33	Total FP	5.84
			135 Xe	0.76
			^{149}Sm	0.51
Total		99.9		99.9

Preparation of fast reactor cross-section databases



- $\blacktriangleright\,$ three-dimensional SCALE 6.0 KENO-VI core models
- ▶ inner (middle) and outer fuel regions with different Pu content
- ▶ two-step homogenization (elementary cell + fuel assembly)



Preparation of fast reactor cross-section databases

Random sampling of the fuel composition:

- ▶ 10-25% Pu, 0-10% MA, rest U
- ▶ average fission product composition
- actinide isotopic composition also randomly sampled
- ▶ preliminary k_{eff} fitting for rejection method (k_{eff} between 0.9 and 1.15)



Preparation of thermal reactor MOX cross-section databases



- ▶ three-dimensional SCALE 6.0 KENO-VI fuel assembly models with reflective BCs
- ▶ MOX fuel with average pin composition
- ▶ Goal: analysis of fast reactor Pu recycling in thermal reactors



Preparation of thermal reactor MOX cross-section databases

Random sampling of the fuel composition:

- ▶ 5-12% Pu, 0-1% MA, rest U
- ▶ fission product composition fitted as 4th order polynomial function of the burn-up
- $\blacktriangleright~^{135}\mathrm{Xe}$ and $^{149}\mathrm{Sm}$ sampled with uniform composition
- ▶ linear decrease assumed for boric acid concentration



BME NTI

Cross-section fitting procedure

The following second-order polynomials were fitted on the cross-sections (and the $k_{\rm eff}$):

$$\sigma(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i N_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n a_{ij} N_i N_j$$

Applying the above equation on every fuel composition in the database:

$$\mathbf{M}\underline{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & N_1^{(1)} & N_2^{(1)} & \dots & N_{n-1}^{(1)} N_n^{(1)} \\ 1 & N_1^{(2)} & N_2^{(2)} & \dots & N_{n-1}^{(2)} N_n^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & N_1^{(m)} & N_2^{(m)} & \dots & N_{n-1}^{(m)} N_n^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1,n} \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\sigma}$$

The least squares solution can be obtained by solving the Gaussian normal equation:

$$(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{M})\underline{a} = \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\underline{\sigma}$$

Provided that the columns of M are linearly independent:

$$\underline{a} = \mathbf{M}^{+} \underline{\sigma} = (\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\sigma}$$

Fitting results

▶ The fitted polynomials describe (n,f) and (n, γ) cross-sections and the k_{eff} with average relative error around 0.1%.



3rd Fuel Cycle Workshop

Fitting results

Typical fitting errors are in the order of magnitude of the Monte Carlo uncertainties (but not below).



3rd Fuel Cycle Workshop

Fitting results

 Typical fitting errors are in the order of magnitude of the Monte Carlo uncertainties (but not below).





Verification

Comparison of burn-up calculations with FITXS and SCALE 6.0 cross-sections:



▶ Very good agreement between FITXS and SCALE 6.0 results for fast reactors



3rd Fuel Cycle Workshop

Verification

Comparison of burn-up calculations with FITXS and SCALE 6.0 cross-sections:



 Acceptable agreement between FITXS and SCALE 6.0 results for MOX fuel assemblies



Further development plans

- ▶ alternate fitting methods (for thermal MOX fuel assemblies)
- ▶ cross-section database preparation with pure deterministic calculations
- ▶ perform fittings for reactivity coefficients
- optimization of the fitting domain







Preliminary results with neural network fitting

Preliminary fitting with neural networks performed on the GFR2400 database based on:

B. Leniau et al. "A neural network approach for burn-up calculation and its application to the dynamic fuel cycle code CLASS". Annals of Nuclear Energy 81 (2015), pp. 125-133.

- ▶ tanh sigmoid transfer function, Levenberg-Marquardt training
- (n,f) and (n,γ) cross-sections: 2 hidden layers of 16 and 12 neurons
- ▶ (n,2n) and (n,3n) cross-sections: 1 hidden layer of 16 neurons



BME NTI

Preliminary results with neural network fitting

Preliminary fitting with neural networks performed on the GFR2400 database based on:

B. Leniau et al. "A neural network approach for burn-up calculation and its application to the dynamic fuel cycle code CLASS". *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 81 (2015), pp. 125-133.

 Good agreement between closed fuel cycle simulation results with polynomial fitting and neural networks



Summary

- ▶ The FITXS method is based on the fitting of one-group cross-sections as functions of the detailed fuel composition.
- ▶ Gen-IV fast reactor and Gen-III thermal reactor MOX burn-up models were developed.
- ▶ The fitted polynomials describe important cross-sections and the k_{eff} with relative error well below 1%.
- Results of the FITXS burn-up models showed good agreement with SCALE 6.0 burnup results.
- ▶ The models were integrated in the SITON v2.0 code developed at MTA EK and another simulation program developed at BME NTI.
- ▶ Possibilities for improvement are investigated in the case of thermal reactors.

Related publications:

Máté Halász, Máté Szieberth, Sándor Fehér. "FITXS: A fast and flexible burn-up scheme based on the fitting of one-group cross-sections". Annals of Nuclear Energy 104 (2017), pp. 267-281.

Áron Brolly, Máté Halász, Máté Szieberth, Lajos Nagy, Sándor Fehér. "Physical model of the nuclear fuel cycle simulation code SITON". Annals of Nuclear Energy 99 (2017), pp. 471-483.

Acknowledgement

Early stages of this research were funded by the GoFastR project in the 7th Euratom Framework Programme of the European Union under contract No. 249678.

The work also received funding in the frame of VKSZ_14-1-2015-0021 Hungarian project supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund.





