PROBING THE QUARK-GLUON PLASMA WITH HARD PROBES

Zaida Conesa del Valle IPN Orsay (CNRS/IN2P3 — Université Paris Sud)

25e Congrès Général de la Société Française de Physique SFP (Nantes, 8—12 of July 2019)



25^e Congrès Général de la Société Française de Physique



QCD matter under extreme conditions



- ho_{B} = 1, T ~ 0
 - Nuclei
- ρ_B ↑ , T ~ 0
 - Neutron star cores
- ρ_B = 0, Τ↑
 - $T_c \sim 150-170 MeV$, $\epsilon_c \sim 0.3-0.8 GeV/fm^3$
 - Cross-over transition
 - Quark gluon plasma

"When the energy density ε exceeds some typical hadronic value (~ 1 GeV/fm³), matter no longer exists of separate hadrons (protons, neutrons, etc), but as their fundamental constituents, quarks and gluons. Because of the apparent analogy with similar phenomena in atomic physics we may call this phase of matter the QCD (or Quark Gluon) plasma." E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rept. 61 (1980) 71

"Above T_c, the medium consists of deconfined quarks and gluons. We emphasize that deconfinement does not imply the absence of interaction – it is only the requirement to form color neutral bound states that has been removed." H. Satz, J.Phys.G32:R25 (2006)

The Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP)



- ho_{B} = 1, T ~ 0
 - Nuclei
- *ρ*_B ↑ , T ~ 0
 - Neutron star cores
- ρ_B = 0, T↑
 - $T_c \sim 150-170 MeV$, $\epsilon_c \sim 0.3-0.8 GeV/fm^3$
 - Cross-over transition
 - Quark gluon plasma

"When the energy density ε exceeds some typical hadronic value (~ 1 GeV/fm³), matter no longer exists of separate hadrons (protons, neutrons, etc), but as their fundamental constituents, quarks and gluons. Because of the apparent analogy with similar phenomena in atomic physics we may call this phase of matter the QCD (or Quark Gluon) plasma." E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rept. 61 (1980) 71

"Above T_c, the medium consists of deconfined quarks and gluons. We emphasize that deconfinement does not imply the absence of interaction – it is only the requirement to form color neutral bound states that has been removed." H. Satz, J.Phys.G32:R25 (2006)

In (2+1) lattice-QCD calculations: $T_c = (154 \pm 9) \text{ MeV}, \epsilon_c = (0.34 \pm 0.16) \text{ GeV/fm}^3$, Ordinary matter: $\epsilon_{nuclear matter} \simeq 0.15 \text{ GeV/fm}^3, \epsilon_{nucleon} \simeq 0.45 \text{ GeV/fm}^3 \text{ if } R_{nucleon} \simeq 0.8 \text{ fm}$

[Bazavov et al, Phys.Rev.D 90 (2014) 094503; Ding et al, Quark-Gluon Plasma 5 (2015)]

Hard probes in heavy-ion collisions

- Hard (large Q²) probes production in vacuum is well controlled experimentally and theoretically (pQCD)
- **Produced early** (short formation time t~1/Q~0.1fm/c), before the QGP is formed, they experience the full evolution of the system
- "tomographic" probes of the hottest and densest phase of the collision



Hard probes as control experiment.

A look at W and Z boson production.



E. Masson talk later (photons)

W/Z as medium-blind references

- The standard model allows precise calculations involving electroweak bosons,
 - sensitive to quark (u,d,s) PDF
 - large enough abondance at the LHC
- Medium-blind references in a QGP:
 - they do not interact strongly, allow binary scaling test
 - bremsstrahlung of their decay leptons by interaction with the medium is negligible
 - sensitive to nuclear Parton Distribution Functions (nPDFs)

$$R_i^A(x,\mu_f) = \frac{f_i^A(x,\mu_f)}{A f_i^{nucleon}(x,\mu_f)}, \quad f_i = q, \bar{q}, g$$



EPPS16: Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77: 163 nCTEQ15: PRD 93 (2016) 085037 nDSSZ'11: PRD85 (2012) 074028 KA15 (NNLO): PRD 93 (2016) 014026 HKN'17: PRC 76 (2007) 065207 NNPDF: arXiv:1811.05858



6

W/Z in pPb collisions



Good sensitivity to quark nPDFs

ALICE, JHEP 02 (2017) 077 CMS, Phys. Lett. B 759 (2016) 36, PLB 750

- Z results show some tension appearing at large rapidities
- W-decay lepton charge asymmetry: deviation from expectations, scaling properties
 - Excess of W- in backwards hemisphere (Pb-going): well beyond EPS09.
 - Requires weakening of assumed isospin symmetry?

Z.Conesa del Valle

Including W/Z pPb LHC data on nPDFs



W boson y distribution from CMS

- Reweighting procedure used to include W/Z pPb data on nCTEQ15
 - Improvements after reweighting
 - However, strange PDF not fitted independently in nCTEQ15
 - Need to include data in global analysis and open up strange PDF
- W/Z data are sensitive to the heavier quark flavours (specially s PDF)
 ⇒ information on flavour decomposition
- Improving the nuclear corrections with heavy-ion data can help to reduce proton PDF uncertainties (flavours)



Constraints from PbPb data?



Hard probes as medium sensitive observables.

A look at charged-particle and heavy-flavour production.



R. Katz talk later (quarkonia)

Parton energy loss in the QGP

- In heavy-ion collisions, partons that traverse the QGP can lose energy in the QGP:
 - radiative energy loss
 - collisional energy loss
- Medium-induced gluon radiation
 - Depends on:
 - Casimir (colour) coupling factor: 4/3 for quarks, 3 for gluons
 - Medium transport coefficient

 gluon density and momenta
 - In-medium path length
 - Dead cone (mass) effect: Debye in vacuum, gluon radiation suppressed at θ < m_Q/E_Q



 $\langle \Delta E \rangle \propto \alpha_{\rm s} C_{\rm R} \hat{q} L^2$

Cassimir factor, transport coefficient [R.Baier et al(BDMPS), Nucl. Phys. B483 (1997) 291.]

$$\hat{q} \equiv m_D^2 / \lambda = m_D^2 \, \rho \, \sigma$$

Debye mass ~ gT, ρ medium density, σ cross section



[Dokshitzer and Kharzeev, Phys. Lett. B519 (2001) 199]

Parton energy loss is:

- Sensitive to the energy density of the system
- Different partons may have different energy loss
 - $\cdot \quad \Delta E_g > \Delta E_{u,d} > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$

Jet quenching

- Hard probes (high momentum particles, jets, heavy flavours) originate from the fragmentation of high momentum partons (large Q²) produced by the initial hard scatterings
 - well controlled experimentally & theoretically (pQCD),
 - back-to-back correlation in vacuum.
- In a QGP: jet quenching:
 - Suppression of high momentum hadrons,
 - Color and mass dependent suppression (look at different species), QCD
 - Modification of azimuthal correlations; jets escape only if they are produced near the edge and are directed outwards.





Probing the QGP with hard probes

Suppression of high-p_T hadrons at RHIC



Suppression of high-p_T hadrons



- Larger suppression at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV than at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
- Characteristic p_T dependence reproduced by models (fragmentation, relative energy loss)
- Evidence for parton energy loss and large medium density at the LHC

Suppression of back-to-back jets



Constraining transport properties



JET, Phys. Rev. C 90, 014909 (2014)

- Five different models considered (CUJET, MARTINI, McGill-AMY, HT-M, HT-BW)
- RHIC and LHC data on single hadron suppression constrain energy loss models
- For the highest temperatures (most central collisions)

- Consistent results with LO pQCD and NLO AdS/CFT SYM results
- Full MonteCarlo (hydro, quenching...) and a systematic comparison with all observables is required

Colour dependence of parton energy loss



- Strong suppression of high-p_T D-meson (increasing with centrality)
- Very similar D-meson and charged-particle R_{AA} from $p_T{\sim}8$ to 100 GeV/c
 - Similar rise above 10 GeV/c
- Consistent with colour charge dependence of parton energy loss

Mass dependence of parton energy loss

In central collisions, for $p_T>6$ GeV, non-prompt J/ ψ (CMS) are less suppressed than prompt D mesons, albeit the difference on the b/c average p_T .

Caveats: <p_T> B/D hadrons ≠ b/c quarks fragmentation of b/c ⇒ Need models

non-prompt J/ψ with b-Eloss non-prompt J/ψ with c-Eloss D mesons with c-Eloss

With this selection: •B <p_T> ~ 11 GeV •D <p_T> ~ 10 GeV Energy loss mass dependence, $\Delta E_b < \Delta E_c$?

[BAMPS: J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 124152; Phys. Lett. B 717 (2012) 430] [WHDG: J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 124114] [Vitev: R. Sharma, I. Vitev and B. W. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C80 (2009) 054902; Y. He, I. Vitev and B. -W. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 713 (2012) 224]

Consistent with the expectations of mass dependent parton energy loss

[Djordjevic: private comm (ALICE)]

Azimuthal anisotropy

$$E\frac{d^3N}{d^3p} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{d^2N}{p_t dp_t dy}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{v_n}}{\cos\left(n\left(\phi - \Phi_{RP}\right)\right)}\right)$$

Elliptic flow = $v_2 = 2^{nd}$ Fourier component

- Boosted momentum emission wrt. reaction plane
- Gases explode into vacuum uniformly in all directions.
- Liquids flow violently along the short axis and gently along the long axis.
- We can observe the medium and understand if it is more liquid-like (non-zero v_2) or gas-like.

Azimuthal anisotropy at the LHC

 Charged particle vn have the potential to constrain initial-state fluctuations, transport parameters and path-length dependence of parton energy loss at high pT.

The significant magnitude of anisotropic flow is interpreted as evidence of the formation of a strongly-coupled system, which can effectively be described as a fluid with very **low** shear viscosity to entropy-density ratio (ŋ/s)

Positive D-meson v₂ in mid-central Pb-Pb collisions indicates participation of charm quark in the collective motion

ALICE Charged pions JHEP 1809 (2018) 006 Charged particles JHEP 07 (2018) 103

Hadronisation via coalescence

- Influence of hadronisation via coalescence:
 - modify the momentum distribution of heavy-flavour hadrons (radial flow 'bump')
 - enhance the elliptic flow (light-parton contribution)
 - modify the hadrochemistry: D_s , Λ_c enhancement

Strange / non-strange charmed hadrons

- A hint of a higher D_{s^+} (c,s-bar) / D^0 (c,u-bar) ratio in Pb-Pb (0-10% and 30-50%) than in pp collisions up to $p_T = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$.
- Similar p_T trend as predicted by theoretical models of charm-quark transport in a hydrodynamically expanding medium

TAMU: Phys. Lett. B 735, 445 (2014) Catania: Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78: 348 PHSD: Phys. Rev. C 93, 034906 (2016)

Baryon-to-meson ratio

Z.Conesa del Valle

Probing the QGP with hard probes

Charm meson vs. models

Charm meson vs. models

- Models able to reproduce v₂ favour diffusion coefficient $2\pi ID_s(I)$ in the range 1.5-7 at T_c with a corresponding thermalisation time τ_{charm} =3-14 fm/c.
- Powerful constraints by considering complementary observables (R_{AA} and v₂ of non-strange D and D_s⁺) over wide p_T ranges and in different centrality classes.
- Efforts ongoing from theorists and experimentalists to infer medium properties.

Summary

- Hard (large Q²) probes: "tomographic" probes of the hottest and densest phase of the collision.
- W/Z production are sensitive to the flavour composition of (n)PDFs.
 - In Pb-Pb collisions: average integrated yield per nucleon collision well described considering nPDFs (not with only PDFs).
 - More precise data required to constrain the nPDF (in particular at large y and the impact parameter dependence).
- Charged particle production:
 - Evidence for parton energy loss and large medium density at the LHC.
 - Evidence of the formation of a strongly-coupled system, which can effectively be described as a fluid with very low shear viscosity to entropy-density ratio (η/s).
- Heavy flavours:
 - Both 'collisional' and radiative energy loss play a role.
 - Measurements consistent with a dependence of the radiative energy loss with the **parton colour and mass**.
 - Low p_T charm quarks participate to the **collective motion** of the system.
 - **Coalescence** also seems to play a role at the hadronisation stage in the heavy flavour sector.
- Powerful constraints by considering complementary observables (RAA, V2,... of different particle species) over wide pT ranges and in different centrality classes.
- Many interesting results from run-II data, awaiting for the larger luminosity run-III.

Disclaimer

- Only a (biased) selection of results has been shown... and focused on measurements in Pb-Pb collisions.
- But there're many interesting results in pp and p-Pb data as well.
 In particular, recent measurements in small systems show intriguing features, that in Pb-Pb collisions are interpreted as a signature of the QGP.

S. Porteboeuf plenary talk Wednesday

Thanks for your attention!!