SEMICLASSICAL ORIGIN OF ASYMMETRIC FISSION — Nascent-Fragment Shell Effect in the Periodic-Orbit Theory — 1

K. Arita (Nagoya Inst. Tech., Japan) T. Ichikawa (Yukawa Inst. Theor. Phys., Japan) K. Matsuyanagi (RIKEN / YITP, Japan)

November 5–9, 2018 SSNET conference, Gif sur Yvette Introduction

Shell structure in the fission processes with the periodic-orbit theory

- Semiclassical theory of shell structure
- Prefragment shell effect relation to classical periodic orbits

Semiclassical analysis of shell structure in the fission processes

- Contribution of the truncated periodic-orbit family localized in the prefragment
- Potential energy surface as function of elongation and asymmetry
- Effect of prefragment magic numbers on the total shell energies

Summary

Introduction





- Asymmetries in fragment mass distribution (quantum shell effect)
- Heavier fragments have $A \approx 140$ independent on the parent species
 - · · · Shell effect of doubly-magic ¹³²Sn (Z = 50, N = 82)

□ Asymmetric fission in *n*-deficient Hg nuclei

Beta-delayed fission experiments for ¹⁸⁰Hg Andreyev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 252502 (2010)



- Asymmetry (main channel: ¹⁰⁰Ru+⁸⁰Kr) in spite of the stability of fragments in symmetric fission (⁹⁰Zr+⁹⁰Zr: Z = 40, N = 50)
- ♦ Absence of sufficient fragment shell effects for the asymmetry Contrary to expectation from the systematics · · · "New Type"

Theoretical approaches based on the realistic mean field models

• Fission saddles in 5-D potential energy sufrace by the shell correction method Ichikawa et al.

Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 024610.

¹⁸⁰Hg 5 $r_{\perp}(fm)$ 0 -5 Potential Energy (MeV) -5 0 5 10 15 20 0.2 0.1 (ftm-3 Mass Asymptot 0 0.0 c.0. 0.0 10 12 0.0 14

• Fully microscopic HFB with D1S effective interaction Warda et al.

Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 024601.



Significance of the deformed shell effect in the fission process What determines the shape stability of the prefragment ? > Previous approaches

using single-particle levels solved for the total mean-field potential Most of the wave functions are delocalized

- Unable to define the shell effect exclusively associated with each of the prefragments
- ➤ How can we do it within the mean-field approach ?

↓ Semiclassical periodic orbit theory

Shell structure in the fission processes with the periodic-orbit theory

□ Semiclassical theory of shell structures

Single-particle level density

$$g(E) = \sum_{n} \delta(E - E_n) = \operatorname{Tr} \delta(E - \hat{H}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dt e^{itE/\hbar} \int d\mathbf{r} K(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}; t)$$

Path integral representation of the transition amplitude K

$$K(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r};t) = \langle \boldsymbol{r}|e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}|\boldsymbol{r}\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}[\boldsymbol{r}(\tau)] \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{r},\dot{\boldsymbol{r}})d\tau\right]$$

Semiclassical evaluation of the integrals using stationary-phase method \rightarrow Contribution of classical periodic orbits (PO)

$$g(E) \simeq \bar{g}(E) + \sum_{PO} A_{PO}(E) \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}S_{PO}(E) - \frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{PO}\right) \quad \text{Trace Formula}$$
$$S_{PO}(E) = \oint_{PO} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot d\boldsymbol{r} \quad \text{(action integral)}$$

Trace formula for cavity potential model

$$g(k) \simeq \bar{g}(k) + \sum_{PO} A_{PO}(k) \cos\left(kL_{PO} - \frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{PO}\right) \quad k : \text{wave number}$$

- Structure in the level density fluctuation is build as the superposition of some regular structures associated with the classical periodic orbits
- Shorter orbit is responsible for gross structures
- Amplitude A_{PO} is related mainly to the degeneracy and the stability of the orbit



Prefragment shell effect — relation to classical periodic orbits Extraction of the prefragment contribution out of the total shell energy Classify the periodic orbits into 3 groups :

◆ localized in the prefragment 1 and 2 ◆ the others



Unambiguous definition of the prefragment shell effect by the contribution of orbits localized in the corresponding prefragment

 $\delta E(N) = \delta E_1(N) + \delta E_2(N) + \delta E_3(N)$

Category "3" · · · less degeneracy, generally more unstable and longer $\Rightarrow \quad \delta E_1, \ \delta E_2 \gg \delta E_3$

Semiclassical analysis of shell structures in the fission processes

Cavity potential model for the fission processes

- TQS (Three Quadratic Surfaces) cavity (infinite well) model
 5 shape parameters (elongation, neck width, prefragment mass asymmetry, prefragment deformations)
- Assume sphericity of the prefragments
 Fixed neck parameter to a typical value in realistic calculations
- Analyze shell structures as functions of elongation σ₁ and prefragment mass asymmetry α₂



Trace formula and Fourier analysis

$$g(k) = g_0(k) + \sum_{PO} A_{PO} \cos\left[\frac{1}{\hbar}S_{PO}(k) - \frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{PO}\right] \quad \text{(trace formula)}$$

$$S_{PO}(k) = \oint_{PO} \boldsymbol{p} \cdot d\boldsymbol{r} = \hbar k L_{PO} \quad (L_{PO}: \text{ orbit length})$$

$$F(L) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}k_c} \int dk \, g(k) e^{ikL} e^{-(k/k_c)^2/2}$$

$$\simeq F_0(L) + \sum_{PO} A_{PO} e^{-(L-L_{PO})^2 k_c^2/2} \quad \text{(peaks at } L = L_{PO})$$

(energy dependence of the amplitude is ignored here for simplicity) $F(L_{pt}) \simeq A_{pt}$ direct information on the semiclassical amplitude



8

9

Prefragment contribution to the deformed shell effect

Trace formula for the truncated spherical cavity K.A., arXiv:1806.06490, to appear in Phys. Rev. C Regular polygon orbits (p, t) with p vertices and t turns \cdots form 3-parameter families in the spherical cavity

Restriction of the parameters in the prefragments



Reduction factor f_p from the occupation volume of the parameter space

$$f_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\theta_{\rm A}}^{\theta_{\rm B}} \psi_p(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta$$

 $A_{pt} = f_p A_{pt}^{(\text{sph})} \rightarrow \text{considerable underestimation of the quantum results}$

Consideration of the end-point corrections (marginal orbits)

 $A_{pt} = f_p A_{pt}^{(\text{sph})} + A_{pt}^{(\text{marginal})} \rightarrow \text{quantum results are nicely reproduced}$

Periodic orbit: stationary point of the action along the closed trajectory $S(\mathbf{r}) = \oint_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{p} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$



3D, diameter

quantum •

Semiclassical shell energy compared with quantum

Symmetric shapes

* Trace formula nicely reproduces the quantum results by taking only the prefragment orbits into account

* Enhancement of shell effect with neck formation



* Modulations in shell oscillations

Interference between diameter and triangle orbit contributions



Asymmetric shapes

* Asymmetry switched on for $\sigma_1 = 2.0$ (around fission saddle)

* Quantum results are also nicely reproduced



- * Periodic orbits have different lengths in the two prefragments
- \Rightarrow Interference between heavy and light prefragments



□ Effect of prefragment magics on asymmetric fission

• Dominant contribution of triangle orbit (p, t) = (3, 1)

$$\delta E_i(N) \approx \mathcal{A}_{31}(k_F, R_i) \cos\left(k_F L_{31}(R_1) - \pi \mu_{31}/2\right)$$
$$\approx w_{31}^{(i)}(\sigma_1, \alpha_2, k_F) \delta E^{\text{sph}}(N_i, R_i), \quad w_{31}^{(i)} = \mathcal{A}_{31}^{(i)}/\mathcal{A}_{31}^{(\text{sph})}$$

Deformed shell energy in terms of two spherical fragments



Prefragment particle numbers $N_i \approx \left(\frac{R_i}{R_0}\right)^3 N$

Coefficients $w_{31}^{(i)}$ are obtained by the semiclassical formula

Spherical magic numbers : \cdots , 34, 58, 92, 138, \cdots



Symmetric shapes





Asymmetric shapes



- * Prefragment orbits dominate the shell effect as developing neck
- * Strong correlation between energy minima and prefragment magicks
- * Large shell effect at "double magick" for two prefragments

□ Effect of prefragment magics on the fission deformations

Asymmety α_2 which minimizes the shell energy for given elongation σ_1 \Rightarrow prefragment particle numbers N_1 , N_2 reduced from the prefragment radii R_1 , R_2

$$N_i \approx \left(\frac{R_i}{R_0}\right)^3 N$$

- N_1 keeps spherical magic
- Good comparison with the fragment mass distribution in acitinide region



Constant-action curve and the prefragment magics

The valleys in deformation space (σ_1, α_2) is described by the constant-action curve of the triangle orbits

$$k_F(N)L_{31}^{(i)}(\sigma_1,\alpha_2) - \frac{\pi}{2}\mu_{31} = (2n+1)\pi, \qquad (n=0,1,2,\cdots)$$
$$L_{31}^{(i)}(\sigma_1,\alpha_2) = \frac{(2n+1+\mu_{31}/2)\pi}{k_F(N)}$$

actinide region \Leftrightarrow cavity model with $(N, Z) \approx (150, 100)$



Valleys in the potential energy surface along the constant-action curve of the triangle orbit \Rightarrow semiclassical origin of the fission path

SUMMARY

□ Shell structures in fission processes with the TQS cavity model

- Prefragment shell effect defined by the POT
 Dominant contribution of the prefragment family with neck formation

 Enhancement of the prefragment shell effect mainly by the triangular orbit family
- ❑ Significance of the prefragment magics in determining the fission path in the potential energy surface ⇒ semiclassical origin of the asymmetric fission

Future subject

- Deformations of prefragments
 Quadrupoles and Octupoles cf. Scamps and Simenel, arXiv:1804.03337
- Application to more realistic mean-field model Two-center shell model, Woods-Saxon model