

Semitauonic B decays at LHCb $\overline{B}{}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau \overline{v}_{\tau}$ and $\Lambda_{b}{}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}{}^{+} \tau \overline{v}_{\tau}$

Victor Renaudin LAL, Université Paris-Sud





cancelling:

Introduction

Standard Model (SM) is based on **Lepton Flavour Universality** but there are tensions between SM expectations and experimental results.

 \rightarrow Semitauonic B decays are a great tool to probe this discrepancy

• **Precise prediction** from SM using ratios with shared systematics

 $R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)} + \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)} + \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu})}$ $R(D) = 0.300 \pm 0.008 [1]$ $R(\Lambda_c) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_{\tau})}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu})}$ $R(D^*) = 0.252 \pm 0.003 [2]$ $R(\Lambda_c) = 0.333 \pm 0.010$ [3]

Background topology

 D^{*-} vertex

 $\approx B^0$ vertex

 D^{*-} vertex $\approx R^0$ vertex

 4σ

Signal topology

Vertex

Primar

• Abundant statistics

• Enhanced sensitivity to New Physics due to the mass of the τ lepton



The LHCb detector

The detector is a **single arm forward spectrometer** at LHC covering the pseudo-rapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$. It is optimised to study hadron decays containing b and c quarks.

Its key features are:

- Excellent vertex resolution: $\sigma_z = 100 \,\mu\text{m}, \sigma_{\text{IP}} = 20 \,\mu\text{m}$
- Momentum and mass resolution: $\Delta p/p = 0.6 \%$ @ 100 Gev/c²
- PID capabilities: good separation K- π and muon



Analysis workflow

Both analyses share the same strategy:

- Use of the hadronic decay $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- (\pi^0) v_{\tau}$
 - Allow the reconstruction of the τ vertex
 - Use of $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi\pi\pi$ as normalisation channel
- No semileptonic background but huge one coming from **prompt** $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi\pi\pi X$ decays:

Can be reduced by 3 orders of magnitude using vertex detachment

Vertex • Remaining background is made of double charm events:

• $B^0 \rightarrow D^*D_sX$, $B^0 \rightarrow D^*D^0X$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^*D^+X$

Results on hadronic R(D^{*})

The fit results give a branching fraction which is: BR($B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \tau v$) = (1.39 ± 0.09(stat) ± 0.12(syst) ± 0.06(ext)) % [4]

The signal purity increases with BDT • Using the HFLAV BR($B^0 \rightarrow D^* \mu \nu$): $R(D^*) = 0.285 \pm 0.019(stat)$ $\pm 0.025(syst) \pm 0.013(ext)$ Best statistical uncertainty for a single measurement.

• Using [5], the LHCb average of $R(D^*)$ is then: $R_{LHCB}(D^*) = 0.306 \pm 0.027$



- LHCb has three very good tools to limit this background:
- 3π dynamics
- **Isolation** criteria against charged tracks and neutral energy deposits
- **Partial reconstruction** in both signal and background hypotheses

A **Boosted Decision Tree** (BDT) is then trained to further reduce double charm background.



Finally, a **3D template fit is performed** using q^2 , τ lifetime and output of BDT.

Prospects on R(Λ_c **)**

The specificities of this analysis are: • The use of $\Lambda_{\rm h}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rm c}^{3}\pi$ as normalization channel • Λ_c is a baryon with a 1/2 spin and a shorter lifetime than the D⁰ meson • The use of the whole available dataset, taken at 7, 8 and 13.5 TeV (same luminosity but more event per fb⁻¹)

Λ_c		4	.32	\pm	0.	.07
ΛΤ	V	Λ	16	I	\cap	$\overline{00}$



• Impact on World Average: R(D*): $3.3\sigma \rightarrow 3.4\sigma$ from SM and $4.0\sigma \rightarrow 4.1\sigma$ adding R(D)



Ratio of yields for 2016 (13,5 TeV) and 2012 (8 TeV) years of data taking.



Conclusion

• $R(D^*)$ result using hadronic τ increases the WA tension with SM to 4.1 σ even though it is compatible with SM thanks to the low uncertainty. • The successful Run2 data taking leads to a 4x bigger dataset wich will contribute to reduce both statistical and systematic uncertainties !

- Several other analyses are already ongoing or planned to probe different spin structures
- $R(\Lambda_c)$ is ongoing and the same sensibility as $R(D^*)$ is expected.



References

- [1] Phys. Rev. D92, 054510 (2015)
- [2] Phys. Rev. D85, 094025 (2012)
- [3] Phys. Rev. D92, 034503 (2015)
- [4] LHCB-PAPER-2017-017, in preparation
- [5] Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 111803 (2015)