

#### Cosmological Simulations of spiral galaxies Dark Matter detection aspects

Indirect Dark Matter searches towards the sun with neutrinos







Supervisors: Emmanuel Nezri (LAM) Vincent Bertin (CPPM)

Arturo Núñez-Castiñeyra

Collaborators: Julien Lavalle (LUPM) Martin Stref (LUPM) Thomas Lacroix (LUPM)

#### Uncertainties in Dark Matter distribution features

Standard Assumptions:

- Mass profile = NFW (DMO motivated)
- Phase space distribution = Maxwellian Distribution





...Often used as input for dark matter detection limits and theoretical predictions but not really agreeing with galactic dynamics and/or cosmological simulations...



Mao et al.(arxiv:1210.2721)





# z=6.04 dm

#### Simulations

- 1. Gravity (Poisson-Vlasov equation)
- 2. Hydro or gas dynamics (Euler equations) Sub resolution physics
- 3. Star formation physics (State of the art SF-scheme)
  - 4. Turbulence
  - 5. Feedback (SN, UV, AGN)

Numerical methods: Particle mesh method, Tree algorithms, parallelization, Adaptative mesh refinement, **Ramses Code**, Zoom-in Technique...

#### Núñez-Castiñeyra et al. in prep.



#### Cosmological Zoom-in hydrodynamical simulations

Zoom 4

Zoom 3 Zoom 2

Slice of Zoom cubic box

of 25 Mpc



25 Mpc cube DM Only Unzoomed cosmological simulation 25 Mpc cube, DM + Baryons "Zoom in" cosmological Initial conditions MUSIC

(R. Teyssier 2002)

(Hann & Abel 2011)



~ 200 kpc Rvir , DM + Baryons Zoomed Final halo and galaxy





#### **Probing star formation schemes**

Classic star formation:

- Local gas density
- Gas temperature

based on observations constrains (the Schmidt law)

Two different star formation schemes

Turbulent star formation:

- Local gas density
- Gas Temperature
- Local gas turbulence

based on Federrath et al. 2010 work on turbulence in molecular clouds

Núñez-Castiñeyra et al. in prep.

RUBIN, FORD, AND THONNARD



#### **Dark Matter profiles**

Different SF ↔ different galaxy morphology↔ different DM profile

Compression, feedback  $\rightarrow$  core/cusp

- Resulting Numerical galaxies will depend on hydrodynamics but specially on subgrid physics
  - DM profile depend on subgrid physics (star formation, SN feedback)

No definite answers in SF scheme and SN feedback (hot topic in Galaxy evolution community) meaning no definitive answer on DM mass profile from simulations.

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha}}}$$

 $\Phi^{^{source}}_{\gamma,\nu,\bar{p},(e^+)}\propto\rho^2_{DM}$ 

Detection: strong consequence on the flux.



Parameters Simulation	log(ρ) log(M <sub>☉</sub> kpc³)	r <sub>s</sub> (kpc)	α	β	γ
DMO	6.56	24.9	1.0	3.0	1.0
Classic SF	8.93	3.42	0.8	3.1	0.03
Turbulent SF	9.51	2.85	0.6	3.1	0.06

#### Local Dark Matter

# Phase space distribution

what is the shape of the f(v) in the solar neighbourhood

Solution of isothermal assumption for the DM halo

Test agreement with simulations (Mao, Tsallis distributions) and galactic dynamics (Eddington inversion)

Dark disc disfavoured by recent hydro simulations.

Work in progress for eddington inversion in collaboration with LUPM



## Application: DM capture by the Sun







(A. Gould 1987) (Garani & Palomares-Ruiz 2017)





Direct Detection: High velocity tail



800

800

Direct Detection: High velocity tail

#### Conclusions

• No definite answers in SF scheme and SN feedback (hot topic in Galaxy evolution community) meaning no definitive answer on DM mass profile from simulations.

- DM velocity distributions are influenced by Baryons-DM interactions and galactic morphology.
- 10% effect on DM capture by the sun with f(v) extracted from simulations. Similar effect is expected on DM Direct Detection.

Publications in prep.

Perspectives:

- Apply to ANTARES Sensitivity and Direct Detection
- Estimate gamma emissions from numerical galaxies (DM+background)

#### Thanks

## Back up

#### Ramses (Adaptative Mesh Refinement)

Solve gas dynamics in eulerian way.

