

supernemo





1

How to build a radiopure detector ?

Frédéric Perrot IN2P3 – CENBG - Université de Bordeaux

Journée Matière Sombre, 30 novembre 2017, LPNHE, Paris

Outline

- NEMO experiments: tracko-calorimeter technique and main backgrounds
- Radiopurity strategies in SuperNEMO and related techniques used for material screening
- ✓ Illustration of some issues than may happen during the production. Quality procedures to track all the samples.
- \checkmark Installation of the detector in a clean environment

 \checkmark Conclusion

Main ingredients of a $\beta\beta$ experiment

- ✓ Mass of $\beta\beta$ isotopes and time → exposure in kg.year
- ✓ Efficiency
- ✓ Energy resolution
- ✓ Level of background
- ✓ Particle discrimination
- ✓ Time resolution



Sensitivity vs background



 \rightarrow Sensitivity to half-life and even more to the effective neutrino mass is dramatically depending on the level of background

NEMO: tracko-calorimetric technique



Particle identification capability





ββ event : 2 negative curved tracks
+ 2 hits in the segmented calorimeter
→ unique feature to identify the 2electrons !

- Full topological event reconstruction (vertex, energy, time of flight)
- Identification of α -particle, e[±] and γ -ray
- \rightarrow strong background suppression
- \rightarrow alpha particles are not a problem

Main backgrounds for ββ0v

Internal background (coming from the $\beta\beta$ source foil) From ²³²Th chain: ²⁰⁸Tl (Q_{β}=5.00 MeV) From ²³⁸U chain: ²¹⁴Bi (Q_{β}=3.27 MeV) > Q_{$\beta\beta$}(⁸²Se)=3 MeV

Scenario:

- β + electron from internal conversion
- β + electron from Möller diffusion
- β + electron from γ Compton

Radon in the tracking chamber

Internal background-like (mother nucleus of ²¹⁴Bi) when deposited on the source foil or on the first plan wires

External background (coming from the detector materials)

Scenario:

Not a problem

2 electrons

emitted from

the ββ foil

- double Compton from the same y in the foil @3 MeV
- Radiative neutron capture on Cu/Fe and pair production $e^+\!/e^-$ in the foil from the high energy γ





From NEMO-3 to SuperNEMO



Radiopurity strategies in SuperNEMO and related screening techniques

SuperNEMO radiopurity strategies

- Goal : to reach a « 0-background » level for the Demonstrator module
- Strategies :
 - Purification and measurement of the ⁸²Se ββ foil internal radiopurity at the level of 2-10 μBq/kg
 - \rightarrow development of the BiPo3 detector (+ ICP-MS)
 - ✓ Selection of radiopure internal materials to reach a Radon level of 150 µBq/m³ in the Tracker
 - \rightarrow development of several Radon facilities
 - ✓ Selection of radiopure materials at level of 0.2 to 200 mBq/kg → large screening process using low-background γ spectrometry with HPGe detectors

BiPo-3 detector

Goal: to measure ⁸²Se $\beta\beta$ foils at 2 μ Bq/kg level for ²⁰⁸Tl



 \rightarrow well-adapted to measure ²⁰⁸TI and ²¹⁴Bi activity in very thin materials

Natural radioactivity : our main ennemy!

✓ Primordial radioactivity: ²³⁸U, ²³²Th, ²³⁵U chains (+⁴⁰K)



Techniques for radiopurity screening

Pro and cons of three well-known techniques for radiopurity screening

Techniques	Mass sample	Sensitivity For U/Th (g/g)	Advantages	Limitations
ICP-MS*	Few mg	10 ⁻¹⁰ -10 ⁻¹²	High sensitivity, small mass	Limited to the head of the U/Th chains
Neutron Activation Analysis	10g to 1kg	10 ⁻¹² -10 ⁻¹⁵	Highest sensitivity	Limited to the head of the U/Th chains
Low-background γ spectrometry	10g to 1kg	10 ⁻⁹ -10 ⁻¹⁰	Multi-radionuclides analysis, full screening of the U/Th chains	Limited to γ emitters, Less sensitive

Unique technique to measure the activity of several radionuclides in the same chain \rightarrow allow to check if there is secular equilibrium in a given material

Secular equilibrium: example of ²³⁸U chain

- ✓ In some cases, the ²³⁸U chain is not be in secular equilibrium, i.e. ²³⁸U, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb/²¹⁰Po have not the same activity
- \rightarrow Need to measure several radionuclides along the Uranium chain



Secular equilibrium: example of ²³⁸U chain

- ✓ In some cases, the ²³⁸U chain is not be in secular equilibrium, i.e. ²³⁸U, ²²⁶Ra and ²¹⁰Pb/²¹⁰Po have not the same activity
- \rightarrow Need to measure several radionuclides along the Uranium chain



✓ ICP-MS: direct measurement of ²³⁸U activity

 ✓ Gamma spectrometry: indirect measurement of ²³⁸U activity using gamma's from ²³⁴Th because of secular equilibrium

Gamma spectrometry: indirect measurement of ²³⁸U activity using gamma from ²²⁶Ra

 $\rightarrow \gamma$ spectrometry able to measure the ratio A(^{234}Th)/A(^{226}Ra) and to check the secular equilibrium

Example : glass of 8" PMTs



 ✓ 10 glass bulbs from 8" Hamamatsu PMT have been crushed and measured for SuperNEMO (among 440 x 8"PMTs in total)

Activity (a.u.)



- ✓ factor of 2 between ²³⁸U activity and ²²⁶Ra activity
- \rightarrow no secular equilibrium in the Uranium chain
- \rightarrow need to be controlled precisely (could have been the opposite)

Secular equilibrium: example of ²³⁸U chain

✓ Bottom part of the ²³⁸U chain starting from ²²⁶Ra to ²¹⁰Pb

 Ratio of A(²²⁶Ra)/A(²¹⁰Pb) using the γ's from ²²⁶Ra & ²¹⁴Pb (186, 295 and 352 keV) and the low energy γ from ²¹⁰Pb (46 keV)

 \rightarrow also possible to control the secular equilibrium

γ 186keV



- Part of ²¹⁰Pb chain is not a problem for ββ0v decay with SuperNEMO:
 - ²¹⁰Pb: Q_β=63 keV
 - ²¹⁰Bi: Q_β=1.16 MeV
 - ²¹⁰Po: Q_α=5.4 MeV

 $\rightarrow\,$ may be an issue for $\beta\beta0\nu$ using a calorimetric approach and for dark matter searches

Facilities for low-background y spectrometry



PRISNA platform (Bordeaux)

- Building with 6 m.w.e
- Coaxial and well-type HPGe detectors





LSM platform (Modane)

- Underground lab. with 4800 m.w.e
- Coaxial and planar HPGe detectors



→ sensitivity for ²³⁸U/²²⁶Ra ~ 0.5 mBq/kg

17

Facilities for low-background y spectrometry

- ✓ At sea level (Bordeaux), muon flux mis ~10⁶ higher than at LSM, inducing a constant background
- Partially removed with concrete and muon veto





From sample to final decision-making

- \checkmark Every material has to be screened at least by γ spectrometry
- ✓ There is no *a priori* safe radiopure material !



PMT activity: our candle to make a decision

✓ SuperNEMO: external background is be dominated by the radioactivity of the 440 x 8"PMTs and 232 x 5"PMTs



- ✓ For all the materials screened by gamma spectrometry, their activity have been compared to the PMTs activity
- ✓ The radiopurity budget of a subsystem in SuperNEMO must be negligible or at least not exceed 10% of the PMT budget
- ✓ For critical materials, simulations have been performed to evaluate their contribution

8"PM

Example of pure iron for magnetic shield

✓ Example: 7 tons of pure iron used for PMT magnetic shield



✓ To fulfill the <10% activity PMT requirement, this lead to following limits :</p>

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{A}(^{40}\mathsf{K}) < \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{PMTs}}/(\mathsf{Iron\ mass}) \ge 1/10 &= 550.10^3 /\ 7000 \ge 0.1 &= 7.9 \ \mathsf{mBq/kg} \\ \mathsf{A}(^{226}\mathsf{Ra}) < 200.10^3 /\ 7000 \ge 0.1 &= 2.9 \ \mathsf{mBq/kg} \\ \mathsf{A}(^{232}\mathsf{Th}) < 125.10^3 /\ 7000 \ge 0.1 &= 1.8 \ \mathsf{mBq/kg} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow these limits can be (and have been) easily reached with HPGe detectors at LSM with selected radiopure iron

Example of critical materials for Tracker

Cathodic wires for tracking chamber



Copper rings for tracker cells





Critical materials for the calorimeter

Nylon film betwee tracker and calorimeter (Radon barrier)



Aluminized mylar for wrapping optical modules



Components for PMT base





The Radon 222 issue

- \checkmark Radon 222 (²²²Rn) is a noble gas with a relative long half-life (3.8) days) which decays into ^{214}Bi (Q_B=3.27 MeV)
- \checkmark For samples with large surface and/or low density, there is a risk of ²²²Rn emanation/diffusion even if the ²²⁶Ra activity is low (not observed by gamma spectrometry)



Radon origin in SuperNEMO

Goal : maximum activity of 0.15 mBq/m³ in the tracking chamber



Radon may have several origins:

- Rn impurities in the Tracker gas
- \rightarrow purification with a Rn trap
- Rn diffusion from the outside
- \rightarrow selection of tight and radiopure sealants
- \rightarrow installation of a Rn tent with flow of radon-free air (LSM facility)
- Rn emanation from internal materials
- \rightarrow Rn barrier between Calo and Tracker (nylon film)
- \rightarrow Selection of radiopure materials

Facility for Rn emanation measurements

- Development of a Rn emanation setup in order to screen the large material in contact with the tracking chamber
- A 710L large chamber in stainless steel for large samples to be qualified
- An electrostatic detector using a 70L stainless steel vessel and a PIN Si diode to perform alpha-spectrometry (sensitivity ~1-3 mBq/m³)







Principle of the measurement



Sensitivity of the Rn emanation setup



For 30 m² surface sample \rightarrow emanation rate < 12 Rn atoms/day (95% C.L.)

 \rightarrow Sensitity of the measurement improved with the surface of the sample 28

Examples of Radon emanation screening

Emanation of 30 x 5"PMTs





Emanation of 30 x 8"PMTs



Radon emanation rate is consistent with the background level of the setup and below 0.16 mBq/PMT for 5"PMTs and 8"PMTs

 \rightarrow totally negligible for SuperNEMO

 \rightarrow not the origin of the remaining Radon in NEMO-3

Examples of Radon emanation screening

45 m² of nylon film (radon barrier between Tarcker and Calorimeter)



 \rightarrow Radon emanation from nylon is negligible

 \rightarrow nylon foil is a safe material for SuperNEMO

Other facilities for Radon studies

Radon emanation of critical materials



Large emanation facility with V~700L well-adapted to large volume or surface samples (films, PMTs...)



Small emanation facilities with V~3L well-adapted to smaller samples with a higher sensitivity

Radon diffusion in the Tracker



Rn diffusion facility to select ultra tight barriers (nylon film, sealing) to prevent Radon diffusion in the Tracker

Rn tent and 'Rn-free' air to prevent diffusion into the Demonstrator module from normal air in the lab.

Radon Concentration Line to measure the final volumic activity in the Tracker



From sample to final decision-making



More than 300 samples measured by gamma spectrometry and few tens of samples by Radon emanation measurement !

Illustration of some issues than may happen during the production

Radiopurity of the glass for 8" PMTs



- The 10 PMTs are ranked according to the date of production: an increase of the ²²⁶Ra activity is observed
- ✓ After discussion with Hamamatsu, it appears that:
 - The glass manufacturer has changed during the production !! → very important to control all the batches of glass during production
 - In addition, it is possible that the oven or crucible may have been slightly polluted during the production of the glass bulbs \rightarrow very important to be in close contact with the company (if possible) 34

Sand blasting of iron beams

- ✓ In order to remove the roughness of the surfaces of Tracker beams, it has been decided by UK colleagues (researcher and engineers) to blast the iron beams with sand
- ✓ But the sand used (as it is often the case) was very radioactive, about 8 Bq/kg in ²²⁶Ra with a risk of Radon emanation : 180 mg/m² of this sand would have reached the limit of SuperNEMO requirement...
- ✓ We checked the Rn emanation on iron plates that were treated with the same sand blasting and the same cleaning procedure
- \rightarrow Fortunately, Rn was in limit of detection



 \rightarrow It is very important to have the maximum number of people in a low background collaboration aware of the radiopurity issues

Pin connectors: brass ou BeCu?

- ✓ Pin connectors have been screened during the production and not before the production of Tracker feedthroughs
- \checkmark A priori safe material with brass pins, delrin and stainless steel





24 pieces have been measured by gamma spectrometry at LSM

Results: U contamination and BeCu pins



- ✓ Uranium is coming from pins at the level of ~10 mBq/g
- ✓ Pins are not in brass but in copper-beryllium (CuBe) !! Material has not been carefully choosen...

\rightarrow risk of neutron production with high energy gamma emission $^{\rm 37}_{\rm 37}$

Neutrons and gamma issues

- The neutrons will have 2 origins here :
 - 1) Spontaneous fission SF of ²³⁸U (produces about 2 n/fission)
 - (Alpha,n) reactions on ⁹Be which is used to produce neutrons with isotopic sources (AmBe or PoBe)

 $\alpha + {}^{9}Be \rightarrow {}^{12}C^* + n$

This reaction also generates high energy gamma rays mainly at 4.44 which may be a major background for $\beta\beta0\nu$

• Neutrons are thermalized and captured by ⁵⁶Fe producing gamma rays of 7-9 MeV. They will produced e^{-}/e^{+} pair in the foil and mimic a $\beta\beta$ event if the curvature of the positron is not well-reconstructed

 \rightarrow simulations have shown that the gamma and neutrons background will not affect the sensitivity of the demonstrator

 \rightarrow but it would have been an issue for full SuperNEMO (100 kg)

Capacitors for PMT base

✓ Huge efforts have been put to screen and to finally select radiopure capacitors for 8"PMTs and 5"PMTs bases











Capacitors removed from 5"PMT base because too much radioactive

 \rightarrow It takes time to build a radiopure detector...

Quality procedure: traceability of a sample

- A request form for HPGe measurement has been established in the SuperNEMO collaboration : the goal is to have a well-identified sample with all the needed information
- When, who ?
 - Date of submission:
 - Submitter:

- Description and reference of the sample/material
 - Sample description/ Commercial name:
 - Chemical composition:
 - Manufacturer:
 - Supplier:
 - Reference (manufacturer):
 - Batch number:

Quality procedure: traceability of a sample (2/3)

- Where in the demonstrator? Total mass/surface?
 - System:
 - Sub-system:
 - Total expected mass/number in SN demonstrator:
 - Total expected surface in the SN demonstrator (critical for Rn emanation):
 - Which geometry/mass available for HPGe measurement?
 - Sample mass:
 - Sample geometry :
 - Already cleaned:
 - Already conditioned (in a Marinelli, plastic box, others):
 - If yes: precise

Quality procedure: traceability of a sample (3/3)

• Comments

- If sample was cleaned: could be a brief description of the cleaning process
- Other informations
- How it looks like?
 - A picture of the sample before or (better) after conditioning if it is still visible can be also attached to this file (can help for geometry considerations and to be sure that we are talking about the same sample!)



Examples



Request for a HPGe radiopurity measurement

Date of submission: 16.07.2014 Submitter: J.Pater

Sample description/ Commercial denomination: 50u diameter tracker cathode wire Chemical composition: stainless steel: 1.4301 X5crNi18-10 AISI304 Manufacturer: Trakus, Bergneustadt Koelner Str. 113, 51647 Gummersbach, Germany Supplier: steel supplied to Trakus from French source Reference (manufacturer): Trakus delivery no 2399011 Batch number: N/A

Sample mass: 794g Sample geometry: 50u-diameter (cathode) wire Already cleaned: yes Already conditioned (in a Marinelli, plastic box, others): Yes – wound onto small Marinelli bobbin No.4. Thickness of wire layer is about 3.8mm; see attached photograph.

System: tracker Sub-system: cathode and anode wires Total expected mass/number in the SN demonstrator: 0.57 kg Total expected surface in the SN demonstrator (critical for Rn emanation): 6.65m^2

Comments :

Expected mass in demonstrator taken from <u>R. Thompson</u> notes, Oct-2011. Expected surface area:

- anode wires : 18 * 113 wires, 2.92m long, diameter 40u = 0.75m²
- cathode wires: 114 *113 wires, diameter 50u=5.9m²



Request for a HPGe radiopurity measurement

Date of submission: 7/01/2014 Submitter: Mathieu Bongrand (LAL)

Sample description/ Commercial denomination: Nylon Film OPA25 Chemical composition: nylon Manufacturer: MF-Folien GmbH Supplier: MF-Folien GmbH - Kempten - Germany Reference (manufacturer): OPA25 Batch number:

Sample mass: 1106.7 g Sample geometry: strips between 80-90 mm wide and about 2 m long Already cleaned: produced in clean environment Already conditioned (in a Marinelli, plastic box, others): Delrin Bobbin - Marinelli 40

System: Calorimeter Sub-system: Radon tightness between calorimeter and tracker Total expected mass/number in the SN demonstrator: 3.5 kg Total expected surface in the SN demonstrator (critical for Rn emanation): ~ 120 m²

Comments :

The Delrin bobbin was previously cleaned by Manchester group. The nylon strips were cut in LAL clean room with a PMMA ruler and a cutter and rolled by pack of around 50 g around the bobbin. The bobbin is wrapped in PE film for transportation : to be removed for measurement.

Final installation of the detector in a clean environment

During the assembling of the detector

✓ Once the materials have been selected, they must be assembled in clean conditions/ clean environment in the different labs





 \checkmark The final installation must be also performed in a clean environment

During the installation of the detector

- ✓ For the installation of SuperNEMO, a home-made cleanroom has been built and installed at LSM
- ✓ Use of 12 laminar flow hoods, each flushing 680 m³/h of purified air from top to bottom to remove the dusts
- ✓ Renewing of the air once every 2 mn for a $300m^3$ cleanroom !



During the installation of the detector





ISO Cleanroom Standards

	Airborne Parl	borne Particulate Cleanliness Classes (by cubic meter):							
-	CLASS	Number of Particles per Cubic Meter by Micrometer Size							
		0.1 micron	0.2 micron	0.3 micron	0.5 micron	1 micron	5 microns		
-	ISO1	10	2						
	ISO2	100	24	10	4				
	ISO3	1,000	237	102	35	8			
	ISO4	10,000	2,370	1,020	352	83			
-	ISO5	100,000	23,700	10,200	3,520	832	29		
_	ISO6	1,000,000	237,000	102,000	35,200	8,320	293		
	ISO7				352,000	83,200	2,930		
-	ISO8				3,520,000	832,000	29,300		
	ISO9				35,200,000	8,320,000	293,000		





Conclusions

- ✓ The SuperNEMO tracko-calorimetric technique (source≠detector) is very powerful to identify and reject most of the backgrounds around 3 MeV → only ²⁰⁸TI and ²¹⁴Bi (²²²Rn) are dangereous
- Radiopurity strategy in SuperNEMO has consisted in developing a dedicated technique for each of the critical material (BiPo, radon emanation, gamma spectrometry)
- ✓ There is no safe material and ALL the materials entering in the construction has to be screened by low background gamma spectrometry : more than 300 samples measured
- ✓ Some issues always happen during the construction: every people involved in a low background experiment has to be aware of the radiopurity issues



Paris 1889, la pose du troisième étage de la Tour de Monsieur Eiffel.

SuperNEMO strategy

Ultra-critical materials: ββ⁸²Se source foils

 \rightarrow dedicated detector called BiPo3 to qualify their radiopurity at the level of few tens $\mu Bq/kg$

Critical materials: tracker and source frame materials in contact with the gas

 \rightarrow dedicated techniques to qualify their radiopurity by low-background gamma spectrometry and Radon emanation at the level of 0.2-20 mBq/kg

Nylon film (Radon barrier)

« Less » critical materials: calorimeter and surroundings materials

 \rightarrow dedicated techniques to qualify their radiopurity by low-background gamma spectrometry and Radon emanation at the level of 1-1000 mBq/kg

Critical materials for the source

PVA powder to be mixed with ⁸²Se powder



Acetron rollers for source foils holding (medical grade quality)







From sample to decision

- $\checkmark\,$ Reception and conditionning of the sample
- ✓ Depending on the mass of the sample and the sensitivity to be achieved, you choose the best container and the more sensitive HPGe detector
- $\checkmark\,$ Cleaning procedure and conditionning in clean bags
- ✓ Traceability: provider/batch number/reference code
- ✓ Measurement at Bordeaux or LSM
- ✓ Pre-analysis during the measurement
- ✓ Is it radiopure enough?