## QCD 2- and 3- point Green's functions: From lattice results to phenomenology



de Huelva





In collaboration with:

A. Athenodorou, D. Binosi, Ph. Boucaud, C. Mezrag, F. De Soto, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, S. Zafeiropoulos.

> José Rodríguez-Quintero Universidad de Huelva Spain

> > Infrared QCD; Paris, 8-10 November, 2017

Lattice two- and three-point Green's function

 $\mu,\nu=1$  $1 \le \mu < \nu$ 

x

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{a\mu\nu}^{abc}(q,r,p) &= \langle A_{a}^{a}(q) A_{\mu}^{b}(r) A_{\nu}^{c}(p) \rangle = f^{abc} \mathcal{G}_{a\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \\ \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(q) &= \langle A_{\mu}^{a}(q) A_{\nu}^{b}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^{2}) P_{\mu\nu}(q), \\ \widetilde{A}_{\mu}^{a}(q) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \sum_{x} \langle A_{\mu}(x+\hat{\mu}/2) \exp[iq \cdot (x+\hat{\mu}/2)] \lambda^{a} \\ A_{\mu}(x+\hat{\mu}/2) &= \underbrace{U_{\mu}(x) - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)}{2iag_{0}} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{U_{\mu}(x) - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)}{2iag_{0}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tree-level Symanzik gauge action} \\ S_{g} &= \frac{\beta}{3} \sum \left\{ b_{0} \sum_{x} \left\{ 1 - \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}(U_{x,\mu\nu}^{1\times 1}) \right\} + b_{1} \sum_{x} \left\{ 1 - \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr}(U_{x,\mu\nu}^{1\times 2}) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The gauge fields are to be nonperturbatively obtained from lattice QCD simulations and applied then to get the gluon Green's functions

 $\mu,\nu=1$  $\mu\neq\nu$ 

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different large volumes!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different beta and similar volume!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different beta and similar volume!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502





ArXiv:1704.02053 (PRD): Essentially, a scale setting problem!!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

Effective gluon mass increases with the number of flavours



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Effective gluon mass increases with the number of flavours



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$ .

Effective gluon mass increases with the number of flavours
 ... and decreases with the dynamical quark mass



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!

#### The ghost propagator

$$\dots \qquad \left(F^{(2)}\right)^{ab}(x-y) \equiv \left\langle \left(M^{-1}\right)^{ab}_{xy}\right\rangle, \ M(U) = -\frac{1}{N}\nabla \cdot \widetilde{D}(U)$$

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

 $\widetilde{D}(U)\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(U_{\mu}(x)\eta(x+\mu) - \eta(x)U_{\mu}(x) + \eta(x+\mu)U_{\mu}^{\dagger} - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)\eta(x)\right)$ 



Unquenched lattice ghost propagators!

Symmetric configuration:  $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = q\Gamma_{\alpha'\mu'\nu'}(q,r,p)\Delta_{\alpha'\alpha}(q)\Delta_{\mu'\mu}(r)\Delta_{\nu'\nu}(p),$  $G_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q, r, p) = T^{sym}(q^2) \lambda^{tree}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q, r, p) + S^{sym}(q^2) \lambda^{S}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q, r, p)$  $T^{\rm sym}(q^2)=g\,\Gamma_T^{\rm sym}(q^2)\,\Delta^3(q^2),$  $S^{\rm sym}(q^2) = g \,\Gamma_{\rm s}^{\rm sym}(q^2) \,\Delta^3(q^2).$  $\Gamma_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \Gamma_T^{sym}(q^2) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{tree}(q,r,p) + \Gamma_S^{sym}(q^2) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^S(q,r,p)$  $\lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\text{tree}}(q,r,p) = \Gamma_{\alpha'\mu'\nu'}^{(0)}(q,r,p)P_{\alpha'\alpha}(q)P_{\mu'\mu}(r)P_{\nu'\nu}(p).$  $\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_{\mu}(q) A^b_{\nu}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$  $\lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{S}(q,r,p) = (r-p)_{\alpha}(p-q)_{\mu}(q-r)_{\nu}/r^{2}.$ where  $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$ , implies directly that  $\mathcal{G}$  is totally transverse:  $q \cdot G = r \cdot G = p \cdot G = 0$ .

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{abc}(q,r,p) = \langle A_{\alpha}^{a}(q)A_{\mu}^{b}(r)A_{\nu}^{c}(p) \rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \quad g^{2} = r^{2} = p^{2} \text{ and } q \cdot r = q \cdot p = r \cdot p = -q^{2}/2;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{sm}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{abc}(q,r,p) = \langle A_{\alpha}^{a}(q)A_{\mu}^{b}(r)A_{\nu}^{c}(p) \rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Asymmetric configuration:} \\ q \rightarrow 0; r^{2} = p^{2} = -p \cdot r \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)$$

Symmetric configuration:  $\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(\mu^{2}) \,\Delta(q^{2}),$  $g^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = q^3 \frac{T^{\text{sym}}(q^2)}{[\Delta(q^2)]^{3/2}} = q^3 \frac{T_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2;\mu^2)}{[\Delta_R(q^2;\mu^2)]^{3/2}}$  $T_{R}^{\text{sym}}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(\mu^{2})T^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}),$ MOM renormalization prescription:  $T^{\rm sym}(q^2) = g \,\Gamma_T^{\rm sym}(q^2) \,\Delta^3(q^2),$  $\Delta_R(q^2; q^2) = Z_A^{-1}(q^2) \Delta(q^2) = 1/q^2,$  $T_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2; q^2) = Z_A^{-3/2}(q^2) T^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = g_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2)/q^6.$  $g^{sym}(\mu^{2})\Gamma^{sym}_{T,R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = \frac{g^{sym}(q^{2})}{\left[q^{2}\Delta_{P}(q^{2};\mu^{2})\right]^{3/2}}$  $\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$  $T^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = \left. \frac{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)} \right|_{\text{mass}},$ 

After the required projection and the appropriate renormalization, one can define a QCD coupling from the Green's functions, and relate it to the 1PI vertex form factor, in both symmetric...

$$\mathcal{G}^{abc}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \langle A^a_{\alpha}(q)A^b_{\mu}(r)A^c_{\nu}(p) \rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \qquad \text{Asymmetric configuration:} \\ q \to 0; r^2 = p^2 = -p \cdot r$$

$$\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(\mu^{2}) \Delta(q^{2}),$$

$$T_{R}^{\text{sym}}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(\mu^{2})T^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}),$$

$$g^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = r^{3} \frac{T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2})}{[\Delta(r^{2})]^{1/2}\Delta(0)} = r^{3} \frac{T_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2};\mu^{2})}{[\Delta_{R}(r^{2};\mu^{2})]^{1/2}\Delta_{R}(0;\mu^{2})}$$

$$MOM \text{ renormalization prescription:}$$

$$\Delta_{R}(q^{2};q^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(q^{2}) \Delta(q^{2}) = 1/q^{2},$$

$$T_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2};r^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(r^{2}) T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = \Delta_{R}(0;q^{2}) g_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2})/r^{4},$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(q) = \langle A_{\mu}^{a}(q)A_{\nu}^{b}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab}\Delta(p^{2})P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

$$g^{asym}(\mu^{2})\Gamma_{T,R}^{asym}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = \frac{g^{asym}(q^{2})}{\left[q^{2}\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2})\right]^{3/2}}$$

$$T^{asym}(r^{2}) = \frac{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}\Big|_{asym}$$

After the required projection and the appropriate renormalization, one can define a QCD coupling from the Green's functions, and relate it to the 1PI vertex form factor, in both symmetric and asymmetric kinematical configurations.

#### Multi-instanton background

The classical gauge field solution from a multi-instanton ensemble can be cast as the socalled *ratio ansatz* [E.V. Shuryak; Nucl.Phys.B302(1988)574]

$$g_{0}B_{\mu}^{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{2\sum_{i=I,A} R_{(i)}^{a\alpha} \overline{\eta}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \frac{y_{i}^{\nu}}{y_{i}^{2}} \rho_{i}^{2} \frac{f(|y_{i}|)}{y_{i}^{2}}}{1 + \sum_{i=I,A} \rho_{i}^{2} \frac{f(|y_{i}|)}{y_{i}^{2}}},$$

$$\overline{\eta}_{\mu\nu}, R_{(i)}^{a\alpha} \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{t} \text{ Hooft symbols and color rotation matrices}} P_{i} \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{t} \text{ Hooft symbols and color rotation matrices}} P_{i} \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{t} \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{t} \text{ Hooft symbols and color rotation matrices}} P_{i} \xrightarrow{} \mathbf{t} \xrightarrow{$$

f(z) is a shape function [f(0)=1] that might be eventually obtained by minimization of the action per particle for some statistical ensemble of instantons (classical background).

Then:  

$$g_0 B^a_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \sum_i R^{a\alpha}_{(i)} \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \frac{y^{\nu}_i}{y^2_i} \phi_{\rho_i}\left(\frac{|y_i|}{\rho_i}\right) \qquad \text{Bound}$$

D. Diakonov, V. Petrov; Nucl.Phys.B45386(1992)236 Boucaud et al.; Phys.Rev.D70(2004)114503

$$\phi_{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\rho z)}{f(\rho z) + z^2} \simeq \frac{1}{1 + z^2} & z \ll 1\\ \frac{f(\rho z)}{z^2} & z \gg 1 \end{cases}$$

The classical gauge field can be effectively accounted for by an independent pseudo-particule sum ansatz approach in both large- and low-distance regimes.

### Multi-instanton background

$$g_{0}^{m}G^{(m)}(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{N} W_{a_{1}...a_{m}}^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{m}} \langle g_{0}A_{\mu_{1}}^{a_{1}}(k_{1})...g_{0}A_{\mu_{m}}^{a_{m}}(k_{m}) \rangle$$

$$G^{(2)}(k^{2}) = \Delta(k^{2}); \ G^{(3)}(k^{2}) = T^{sym}(k^{2})$$

$$g_0 B^a_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \sum_i R^{a\alpha}_{(i)} \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \frac{y^{\nu}_i}{y^2_i} \phi_{\rho_i} \left(\frac{|y_i|}{\rho_i}\right)$$
$$\phi_{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\rho z)}{f(\rho z) + z^2} \simeq \frac{1}{1 + z^2} & z \ll 1\\ \frac{f(\rho z)}{z^2} & z \gg 1 \end{cases}$$

# Multi-instanton background Instanton density $g_{0}^{m}G^{(m)}(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{N} W_{a_{1}...a_{m}}^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{m}} \left( g_{0}A_{\mu_{1}}^{a_{1}}(k_{1}), g_{0}A_{\mu_{m}}^{a_{m}}(k_{m}) \right) = \frac{k^{2-m}}{m4^{m-1}} n \left( \rho^{3m}I^{m}(k\rho) \right)$ $G^{(2)}(k^{2}) = \Delta(k^{2}); G^{(3)}(k^{2}) = T^{sym}(k^{2})$ $g_0 B^a_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \sum_i R^{a\alpha}_{(i)} \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \frac{y^{\nu}_i}{y^2_i} \phi_{\rho_i}\left(\frac{|y_i|}{\rho_i}\right) \qquad I(s) = \frac{8\pi^2}{s} \int_0^\infty z dz J_2(sz) \phi(z)$ $\phi_{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\rho z)}{f(\rho z) + z^2} \simeq \frac{1}{1 + z^2} & z \ll 1\\ \frac{f(\rho z)}{z} & z \gg 1 \end{cases}$

# Multi-instanton background Instanton density $g_{0}^{m}G^{(m)}(k^{2}) = \frac{1}{N} W_{a_{1}...a_{m}}^{\mu_{1}...\mu_{m}} \left( g_{0}A_{\mu_{1}}^{a_{1}}(k_{1}) \cdot g_{0}A_{\mu_{m}}^{a_{m}}(k_{m}) \right) = \frac{k^{2-m}}{m4^{m-1}} n \left( \rho^{3m}I^{m}(k\rho) \right)$ $G^{(2)}(k^{2}) = \Delta(k^{2}); \ G^{(3)}(k^{2}) = T^{sym}(k^{2})$ $g_0 B^a_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = 2 \sum_i R^{a\alpha}_{(i)} \overline{\eta}^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} \frac{y^{\nu}_i}{y^2_i} \phi_{\rho_i}\left(\frac{|y_i|}{\rho_i}\right) / I(s) = \frac{8\pi^2}{s} \int^{\infty} z dz J_2(sz) \phi(z)$ $\phi_{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\rho z)}{f(\rho z) + z^2} \simeq \frac{1}{1 + z^2} & z \ll 1\\ \frac{f(\rho z)}{2} & z \gg 1 \end{cases}$ $\alpha^{sym}(k^2) = \frac{k^6}{4\pi} \frac{\left[G^{(3)}(k^2)\right]^2}{\left[G^{(2)}(k^2)\right]^3} = \frac{k^4}{18\pi n} \frac{\langle \rho^9 I^3(k\rho) \rangle^2}{\langle \rho^6 I^2(k\rho) \rangle^3}$





The asymptotic behavior at both the large- and low-momentum limits appears to be driven by **the fourth power of the momentum**, the result relying on a very general ground, irrespective of the details of the profile and its breaking of the scale independence.

#### Multi-instanton background



The asymptotic behavior at both the large- and low-momentum limits appears to be driven by **the fourth power of the momentum**, the result relying on a very general ground, irrespective of the details of the profile and its breaking of the scale independence. This is consistent with the low-momentum behavior obtained from the lattice gluon correlation functions!!!

#### Multi-instanton background



The asymptotic behavior at both the large- and low-momentum limits appears to be driven by **the fourth power of the momentum**, the result relying on a very general ground, irrespective of the details of the profile and its breaking of the scale independence. This is consistent with the low-momentum behavior obtained from the lattice gluon correlation functions!!!

The Wilson flow  $B_{\mu}(t, x)$  of an SU(N) gauge field is defined by [M. Luescher; JHEP02(2010)071]

 $\partial_t B_{\mu} = D_{\nu} G_{\nu\mu}$ 

where  $t = a^2 \tau$  is the so-called flow time and

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, B_{\nu}]$$
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, \cdot]$$

with the initial condition  $B_{\mu}(0,x) = A_{\mu}(x)$ . Then, the expansion in terms of  $A_{\mu}(x)$  gives at tree-level:

$$B_{\mu}(t, x) = \int d^{4} y K(t; x - y) A_{\mu}(x)$$
$$K(t; x) = \frac{e^{-x^{2}/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{2}}$$

The Wilson flow has been proven to be an useful tool to deprive the lattice gauge fields from their short-distance (UV) quantum fluctuations.

The Wilson flow  $B_{\mu}(t, x)$  of an SU(N) gauge field is defined by [M. Luescher; JHEP02(2010)071]

$$\partial_t B_{\mu} = D_{\nu} G_{\nu\mu}$$

where  $t = a^2 \tau$  is the so-called flow time and

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, B_{\nu}]$$
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + [B_{\mu}, \cdot]$$

with the initial condition  $B_{\mu}(0,x) = A_{\mu}(x)$ . Then, the expansion in terms of  $A_{\mu}(x)$  gives at tree-level:

$$B_{\mu}(t, x) = \int d^{4} y K(t; x - y) A_{\mu}(x)$$
$$K(t; x) = \frac{e^{-x^{2}/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{2}}$$

#### Table 1

Estimates for the densities, obtained as explained in the text, for the different flow times, also expressed in physical units. For this to be done, according to [27], we have defined  $\sqrt{8t_0} = 0.3$  fm, whence  $t_0 = a^2 \tau_0 = 0.0113$  fm<sup>2</sup> and  $t = \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} t_0$ . At  $\tau = 4$ , in the unquenched case, the characteristic diffusion length is so small that quantum fluctuations have not been properly removed yet.

	τ	$t/t_0$	$n  ({\rm fm}^{-4})$
Quenched	4	6.84	
	8	13.7	
	15	25.6	
Unquenched	4	2.34	
	8	4.70	
	15	8.84	

The Wilson flow has been proven to be an useful tool to deprive the lattice gauge fields from their short-distance (UV) quantum fluctuations.



The Wilson flow has been proven to be an useful tool to deprive the lattice gauge fields from their short-distance (UV) quantum fluctuations.



instanton detection:  $\sigma_p/\bar{\rho} \sim 0.17 - 0.22$  [D.A. Smith, M.J. Teper; PRD58(1998)014505]

The Wilson flow has been proven to be an useful tool to deprive the lattice gauge fields from their short-distance (UV) quantum fluctuations. The main features observed in the gluon correlations obtained with lattice flown gauge fields can be well described within the multi-instanton approach framework.





$$0 = \frac{k^4}{18\pi n} \times \begin{cases} 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\delta\rho^2}{k^2\bar{\rho}^4}\right) \\ 1 + 48\frac{\delta\rho^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(k^2\delta\rho^2, \frac{\delta\rho^4}{\bar{\rho}^4}\right) \end{cases}$$

#### Table 1

Estimates for the densities, obtained as explained in the text, for the different flow times, also expressed in physical units. For this to be done, according to [27], we have defined  $\sqrt{8t_0} = 0.3$  fm, whence  $t_0 = a^2 \tau_0 = 0.0113$  fm<sup>2</sup> and  $t = \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} t_0$ . At  $\tau = 4$ , in the unquenched case, the characteristic diffusion length is so small that quantum fluctuations have not been properly removed yet.

	τ	$t/t_0$	$n  ({\rm fm}^{-4})$
Quenched	4	6.84	3.5(1)
	8	13.7	1.75(4)
	15	25.6	0.98(5)
Unquenched	4	2.34	-
	8	4.70	6.8(5)
	15	8.84	3.0(2)

The Wilson flow has been proven to be an useful tool to deprive the lattice gauge fields from their short-distance (UV) quantum fluctuations.

The main features observed in the gluon correlations obtained with lattice flown gauge fields can be well described within the multi-instanton approach framework.



Let's then focus (again) on the symmetric case: the form factor appears to change its sign at very deep IR momenta and show then a zero-crossing. This appears to happen below ~0.2 GeV.



Let's then focus (again) on the symmetric case: the form factor appears to change its sign at very deep IR momenta and show then a zero-crossing. This appears to happen below ~0.2 GeV.



Let's consider now the asymmetric case: the results are much noisier (surely because of the zeromomentum gluon field in the correlation function), although there appear to be strong indications for the happening of the zero-crossing.







After leg amputation, the 1PI form factor for the tree-level tensor shows clearly the zero-crossing. Tthe trend is the same for both Wilson and tlSym actions and symmetric and asymmetric configurations.
### The zero-crossing of the three-gluon vertex

A.C Aguilar et al.; PRD89(2014)05008

#### **DSE-based explanation:**



The zero-crossing of the three-gluon vertex A.C Aguilar et al.; PRD89(2014)05008 Ph. Boucaud et al.; PRD95(2017)114503

#### **DSE-based explanation:**



A logarithmic divergent contribution at vanishing momentum, pulling down the 1PI form factor and generating a zero crossing, can be understood with a DSE analysis.











### The three-gluon running coupling:



A final remark on some work in progress: the UV domain gives direct access to the strong running coupling in a particular scheme that can be properly translated to MS. combining different Green's functions, a reliable prediction can be obtained!!!

ETMC Nf=2+1+1

#### Use Rainbow-Ladder truncation:

$$(\longrightarrow \bigcirc )^{-1} = (\longrightarrow )^{-1} + \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g}(k^2)} \mathfrak{g}(k^2)$$



$$\begin{split} S^{-1}(p) &= Z_2 \left( i \gamma \cdot p + m^{\text{bm}} \right) + \Sigma(p) \,, \\ \Sigma(p) &= Z_1 \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_\mu S(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \Gamma_\nu(q,p), \end{split}$$



#### Use Rainbow-Ladder truncation:

$$(\longrightarrow \bigcirc)^{-1} = (\longrightarrow)^{-1} + \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g}(k^2)} \mathfrak{g}(k^2)$$



$$S^{-1}(p) = Z_2 (i\gamma \cdot p + m^{\text{bm}}) + \Sigma(p),$$

$$\Sigma(p) = Z_1 \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \Gamma_{\nu}(q,p),$$

$$4 \pi I(k^2) \frac{1}{k^2} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^2} \right)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}$$



#### Use Rainbow-Ladder truncation:





#### Use Rainbow-Ladder truncation:



#### Use Rainbow-Ladder truncation:







Beyond Rainbow-Ladder truncation:





Beyond Rainbow-Ladder truncation:









Beyond Rainbow-Ladder truncation: One-gluon exchange effective kernel + Tree-level quark-gluon vertex

 $\mathcal{G}(k^2)$ 





Universal (process-independent) contribution: originates entirely from the gauge sector

Fundamental quantities: PT-BFM propagators/vertices satisfy Abelian-like Slavnov-Taylor (ST) identities

How to get them?

use the PT algorithm Cornwall, Papavassiliou, PRD 40 (1989)

### Apply the PT to the quark-gluon vertex one loop result:



 $\Gamma_{\rm P}$ 

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\mu\nu} = (k_2 - k_1)^{\alpha} g^{\mu\nu} + 2q^{\nu} g^{\alpha\mu} - 2q^{\mu} g^{\alpha\nu}$$
$$\Gamma^{\alpha\mu\nu}_{\rm P} = k_1^{\mu} g^{\alpha\nu} - k_2^{\nu} g^{\alpha\mu} \quad \bullet \text{ longitudinal moments}$$

trigger elementary Ward identities



### Quark's gap equation: RGI interaction

Allot pieces to different Green's functions construct  $\widehat{\Delta}$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ 



Crucial all-order equivalence: PT=BFM yields Feynman rules for systematic calculation

 $\widehat{\Delta} \sim \frac{1}{q^2 [1 + bg^2 \log q^2/\mu^2]}; \qquad b = 11 C_A/48 \pi^2$ 

 Absorbs all the RG logs as the photon in QED

### An additional equivalence holds: antiBRST+BRST=BFM

plethora of symmetry identities, in particular BQ identities

D. Binosi, Quandri, PRD88(2013)

$$\Delta(q^2) = [1 + G(q^2)]^2 \widehat{\Delta}(q^2)$$

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\mu\nu}(q) &= & \mu \sum_{\nu} \nu + & \mu \sum_{\nu} \nu \\ &= G(q^2)g_{\mu\nu} + L(q^2)\frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} \end{split}$$

- G special PT-BFM function: determined by ghost-gluon dynamics
- Combination 1+G appears in all BQIs fundamental non-Abelian quantity
- G is related (Landau gauge) to the ghost dressing: use ghost gap equation to constrain 1+G, L

 $F^{-1}(q^2) = 1 + G(q^2) + L(q^2)$ 



### Quark's gap equation: RGI interaction

Convert vertices/propagators into PT-BFM ones

new RG invariant combination appears

 $\widehat{d}(k^2) = \alpha(\mu^2)\widehat{\Delta}(k^2;\mu^2)$ 

#### Use symmetry identity to identify the interaction strength

A.C Aguilar, D. Binosi, J. Papavassiliou, J. R-Q, PRD90(2009) D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLb742(2015)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(k^2) &= k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) \\ &\widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha(\mu^2) \Delta(k^2; \mu^2)}{[1 + G(k^2; \mu^2)]^2} \end{aligned} = \left[ \frac{1}{1 - L(q^2) F(q^2)} \right]^2 \alpha_T(q^2) \ . \end{aligned}$$

#### 1+G and L determined by their own SDEs under simplifying assumptions:

$$\begin{split} 1 + G(p^2) &= Z_c - g^2 \int_k \left[ 2 + \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}, \\ L(p^2) &= -g^2 \int_k \left[ 1 - 4 \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}. \\ F^{-1}(q^2) &= Z_c - 3 \ g^2 \int_k \left[ 1 - \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}. \end{split}$$



Ŕ

- Main source of uncertainties: needs assumptions on ghost vertex behavior
- Parametrized by δ∈[0,1] lower bound (δ=0): 1/F=1+G

### Top-down vs. Bottom-up approaches



D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)



### Top-down vs. Bottom-up approaches







D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

### Top-down vs. Bottom-up approaches





D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)





Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009



Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

A running strong coupling in a particular scheme (Taylor), well-known  $\alpha_T(k^2) = \lim_{a \to 0} g^2(a)k^2 \Delta(k^2; a) F^2(k^{2in}, a)$ erturbation







Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:



D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

$$L(p^2) = -g^2 \int_k \left[ 1 - 4 \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}.$$



D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009






































Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:



A divergent ghost-loop contribution to the gluon vacuum polarization in its DSE, which can be manifestly traced back from the zero-crossing of the three-gluon vertex

A.C. Aguilar et al,. PRD89(2014)05008 A.K. Cyrol et al. PRD94(2016)054005 Ph. Boucaud et al,. PRD95(2017)114503



Let us now carefully examine the RG Interaction:



D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)



Let us first carefully examine the RG Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = \frac{\alpha_0}{m_0^2} \approx \frac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)



Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

k<sup>2</sup> [GeV<sup>2</sup>]

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 





Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 





Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = rac{lpha_0}{m_0^2} pprox rac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^2) = \frac{\Delta(k^2;\mu^2)}{\Delta(0;\mu^2)m_0^2}$$



Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = rac{lpha_0}{m_0^2} pprox rac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^2) = rac{\Delta(k^2;\mu^2)}{\Delta(0;\mu^2)m_0^2}$$

Extract the (process-independent) coupling Using the quark gap equation

$$\Sigma(p) = Z_2 \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \Gamma_{\nu}(q,p),$$



Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = rac{lpha_0}{m_0^2} pprox rac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^2)=rac{\Delta(k^2;\mu^2)}{\Delta(0;\mu^2)m_0^2}$$

Extract the (process-independent) coupling Using the quark gap equation

$$\Sigma(p) = Z_2 \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} 4\pi \widehat{\alpha}_{\rm PI}(k^2) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k^2) \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \widehat{\Gamma}^a_{\nu}(q,p)$$



Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = \frac{\alpha_0}{m_0^2} \approx \frac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^2) = rac{\Delta(k^2;\mu^2)}{\Delta(0;\mu^2)m_0^2}$$

Extract the (process-independent) coupling Using the quark gap equation

g  

$$\Sigma(p) = Z_2 \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} 4\pi \widehat{\alpha}_{\rm PI}(k^2) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k^2) \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \widehat{\Gamma}^a_{\nu}(q,p)$$

$$\widehat{\alpha}(k^2) = \frac{\widehat{d}(k^2)}{\mathcal{D}(k^2)}$$

D. Binosi, C. Mezrag, J. Papavassiliou, J.R-Q, C.D. Roberts, arXiv:1612.04835



Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient  $\hat{d}(0)$ 

$$\widehat{d}(0) = rac{lpha_0}{m_0^2} pprox rac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^{2}) = \frac{\Delta(k^{2}; \mu^{2})}{\Delta(0; \mu^{2})m_{0}^{2}}$$
Extract the (process-independent) coupling  
Using the quark gap equation
$$\Sigma(p) = Z_{2} \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} 4\pi \widehat{\alpha}_{\mathrm{PI}}(k^{2}) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k^{2}) \gamma_{\mu}S(q)\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}^{a}(q, p)$$

$$\widehat{\alpha}(k^{2}) = \frac{\widehat{d}(k^{2})}{\mathcal{D}(k^{2})} \xrightarrow{k^{2} \gg m_{0}^{2}} \mathcal{I}(k^{2})$$

D. Binosi, C. Mezrag, J. Papavassiliou, J.R-Q, C.D. Roberts, arXiv:1612.04835



$$\widehat{lpha}(k^2) = rac{\widehat{d}(k^2)}{\mathcal{D}(k^2)} \xrightarrow[k^2 \gg m_0^2]{} \mathcal{I}(k^2)$$



•Parameter free completely determined from 2-points sector

- •No Landau pole physical coupling showing an IR fixed point
- •Smoothly connects IR and UV domains no explicit matching procedure
- •Essentially non-perturbative result continuum/lattice results plus setting of single mass scale (from the gluon)

•Ghost gluon dynamics critical enhancement at intermediate momenta



 Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)



- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_1^p(x,k^2) - g_1^n(x,k^2) \right] = \frac{g_A}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_1}(k^2) / \pi \right]$$

- g<sub>1</sub><sup>p,n</sup> spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge



- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- $g_1^{p,n}$  spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes





- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- $g_1^{p,n}$  spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes



 Equivalence in the perturbative domain reasonable definitions of the charge

 $\alpha_{g_1}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.14\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$  $\widehat{\alpha}_{PI}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.09\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$ 

- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- g<sub>1</sub><sup>p,n</sup> spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes





 Equivalence in the perturbative domain reasonable definitions of the charge

 $\alpha_{g_1}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.14\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$  $\widehat{\alpha}_{PI}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.09\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$ 

 Equivalence in the non-perturbative domain highly non-trivial (ghost-gluon interactions)

- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- g<sub>1</sub><sup>p,n</sup> spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes





 Equivalence in the perturbative domain reasonable definitions of the charge

 $\alpha_{g_1}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.14\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$  $\widehat{\alpha}_{PI}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.09\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$ 

- Equivalence in the non-perturbative domain highly non-trivial (ghost-gluon interactions)
- Agreement with light-front holography model for α<sub>g1</sub>
   Deur, Brodsky, de Teramond, PPNP 90 (2016)

- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable
   Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[ g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[ 1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- g<sub>1</sub><sup>p,n</sup> spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- $g^A$  nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes



D. Binosi, C. Mezrag, J. Papavassiliou, J.R-Q, C.D. Roberts, arXiv:1612.04835