



LISA status: ESA, Consortium, LISAFrance

Antoine Petiteau

Journée LISAFrance APC - France 12-13 October 2017

THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SPECTRUM



THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SPECTRUM



History of LISA

- ▶ 1978: first study based on a rigid structure (NASA)
- ▶ 1980s: studies with 3 free-falling spacecrafts (US)
- ▶ 1993: proposal ESA/NASA: 4 spacecrafts
- ▶ 1996-2000: pre-phase A report
- ► 2000-2010: LISA and LISAPathfinder: ESA/NASA mission
- ▶ 2005: Start of LISAFrance by P. Binetruy
- ▶ 2011: NASA stops => ESA continue: reduce mission
- ► 2012: selection of JUICE L1 ESA
- > 2013: selection of ESA L3 : « The gravitational Universe »

LISA

- Laser Interferometer Space Antenna
- ▶ 3 spacecrafts on heliocentric orbits and distant from few millions kilometers (2.5 millions km in the proposal L3)
- ► Goal: detect relative distance changes of 10⁻²¹: few picometers



LISA data



LISA Data Analysis - A. Petiteau - ETH Zurich - 6 September 2017

- Exchange of laser beam to form several interferometers
- Phasemeter measurements on each of the 6 Optical Benches:
 - Distant OB vs local OB
 - Test-mass vs OB
 - Reference using adjacent OB
 - Transmission using sidebands
 - Distance between spacecrafts

Noises sources:

- Laser noise : 10⁻¹³ (vs 10⁻²¹)
- Clock noise (3 clocks)
- Acceleration noise (see LPF)
- Read-out noises





- Exchange of laser beam to form several interferometers
- Phasemeter measurements on each of the 6 Optical Benches:
 - Distant OB vs local OB
 - Test-mass vs OB
 - Reference using adjacent OB
 - Transmission using sidebands
 - Distance between spacecrafts

Noises sources:

- Laser noise : 10⁻¹³ (vs 10⁻²¹)
- Clock noise (3 clocks)
- Acceleration noise (see LPF)
- Read-out noises



LISA

- Spacecraft (SC) should only be sensible to gravity:
 - the spacecraft protects test-masses (TMs) from external forces and always adjusts itself on it using micro-thrusters
 - Readout:
 - interferometric (sensitive axis)
 - capacitive sensing





LISA

- Spacecraft (SC) should only be sensible to gravity:
 - the spacecraft protects test-masses (TMs) from external forces and always adjusts itself on it using micro-thrusters
 - Readout:
 - interferometric (sensitive axis)
 - capacitive sensing





LISAPathfinder

- Basic idea: Reduce one LISA arm in one SC.
- LISAPathfinder is testing :
 - Inertial sensor,
 - Drag-free and attitude control system
 - Interferometric measurement between 2 free-falling test-masses,
 - Micro-thrusters





LISAPathfinder

- Basic idea: Reduce one LISA arm in one SC.
- LISAPathfinder is testing :
 - Inertial sensor,
 - Drag-free and attitude control system
 - Interferometric measurement between 2 free-falling test-masses,
 - Micro-thrusters







LISAPathfinder timeline

► 3/12/2015: Launch from Kourou

g

- ▶ 22/01/2016: arrived on final orbit & separation of propulsion module
- ▶ $17/12/2015 \rightarrow 01/03/2016$: commissioning
- ▶ $01/03/2016 \rightarrow 27/06/2016$: LTP operations (Europe)
- ▶ $27/06/2016 \rightarrow 11/2016$: DRS operations (US) + few LTP weeks
- ▶ $01/12/2016 \rightarrow 31/06/2017$: extension of LTP operations





LISAPathfinder timeline

- ► 3/12/2015: Launch from Kourou
- ▶ 22/01/2016: arrived on final orbit & separation of propulsion module
- ▶ $17/12/2015 \rightarrow 01/03/2016$: commissioning
- ▶ $01/03/2016 \rightarrow 27/06/2016$: LTP operations (Europe)
- ▶ $27/06/2016 \rightarrow 11/2016$: DRS operations (US) + few LTP weeks
- ▶ $01/12/2016 \rightarrow 31/06/2017$: extension of LTP operations

Last command: 18/07/2017





LISAPathfinder timeline

- ► 3/12/2015: Launch from Kourou
- ▶ 22/01/2016: arrived on final orbit & separation of propulsion module
- ▶ $17/12/2015 \rightarrow 01/03/2016$: commissioning
- ▶ $01/03/2016 \rightarrow 27/06/2016$: LTP operations (Europe)
- ▶ $27/06/2016 \rightarrow 11/2016$: DRS operations (US) + few LTP weeks
- ▶ $01/12/2016 \rightarrow 31/06/2017$: extension of LTP operations

Last command: 18/07/2017

First results

Results

M. Armano et al. PRL 116, 231101 (2016)



V

History of LISA

- ▶ 1978: first study based on a rigid structure (NASA)
- ▶ 1980s: studies with 3 free-falling spacecrafts (US)
- ▶ 1993: proposal ESA/NASA: 4 spacecrafts
- ▶ 1996-2000: pre-phase A report
- ► 2000-2010: LISA and LISAPathfinder: ESA/NASA mission
- ▶ 2005: Start of LISAFrance by P. Binetruy
- ▶ 2011: NASA stops => ESA continue: reduce mission
- ► 2012: selection of JUICE L1 ESA
- ▶ 2013: selection of ESA L3 : « The gravitational Universe »
- ► 2015-2016: success of LISAPathfinder + detection GWs



History of LISA

- ▶ 1978: first study based on a rigid structure (NASA)
- ▶ 1980s: studies with 3 free-falling spacecrafts (US)
- ▶ 1993: proposal ESA/NASA: 4 spacecrafts
- ▶ 1996-2000: pre-phase A report
- ► 2000-2010: LISA and LISAPathfinder: ESA/NASA mission
- ▶ 2005: Start of LISAFrance by P. Binetruy
- ▶ 2011: NASA stops => ESA continue: reduce mission
- ► 2012: selection of JUICE L1 ESA
- ▶ 2013: selection of ESA L3 : « The gravitational Universe »
- > 2015-2016: success of LISAPathfinder + detection GWs



The LISA Proposal

LISA Laser Interferometer Space Antenna

A proposal in response to the ESA call for L3 mission concepts

Lead Proposer Prof. Dr. Karsten Danzmann

https://www.lisamission.org/ proposal/LISA.pdf

2 Science performance

The science theme of The Gravitational Universe is addressed here in terms of Science Objectives (SOs) and (MRs) are expressed as linear spectral densities of the Science Investigations (SIs), and the Observational Re- sensitivity for a 2-arm configuration (TDI X). quirements (ORs) necessary to reach those objectives. etc. The majority of individual LISA sources will be biis the square root of this quantity, the linear spectral origin are also considered. density $\sqrt{S_b(f)}$, for a 2-arm configuration (TDI X). In

the following, any quoted SNRs for the Observational Requirements (ORs) are given in terms of the full 3arm configuration. The derived Mission Requirements

The sensitivity curve can be computed from the in-The ORs are in turn related to Mission Requirements dividual instrument noise contributions, with factors (MRs) for the noise performance, mission duration, that account for the noise transfer functions and the sky and polarisation averaged response to GWs. Requirenary systems covering a wide range of masses, mass ra-ments for a minimum SNR level, above which a source tios, and physical states. From here on, we use M to re- is detectable, translate into specific MRs for the obserfer to the total source frame mass of a particular system. vatory. Throughout this section, parameter estimation The GW strain signal, h(t), called the waveform, to- is done using a Fisher Information Matrix approach, gether with its frequency domain representation $\hat{h}(f)$, assuming a 4 year mission and 6 active links. For longencodes exquisite information about intrinsic param- lived systems, the calculations are done assuming a eters of the source (e.g., the mass and spin of the in- very high duty-cycle (> 95%). Requiring the capabilteracting bodies) and extrinsic parameters, such as inclination, luminosity distance and sky location. The curacy sets MRs that are generally more stringent than assessment of Observational Requirements (ORs) re- those for just detection. Signals are computed accordquires a calculation of the Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SNR) ing to GR, redshifts using the cosmological model and and the parameter measurement accuracy. The SNR parameters inferred from the Planck satellite results, is approximately the square root of the frequency in- and for each class of sources, synthetic models driven tegral of the ratio of the signal squared, $\tilde{h}(f)^2$, to the by current astrophysical knowledge are used in order sky-averaged sensitivity of the observatory, expressed to describe their demography. Foregrounds from asas power spectral density Sh(f). Shown in Figure 2 trophysical sources, and backgrounds of cosmological



Figure 2: Mission constraints on the sky-averaged strain sensitivity of the observatory for a 2-arm configuration (TDI X), $\sqrt{S_b(f)}$, derived from the threshold systems of each observational requirement.

017

LISA concept in the proposal

- ▶ 3 arms, 2.5 km
- Launch Ariane 6.4
- Propulsion:
 - micro-prop: cold gaz
 - prop. module
- Frequency band:

 $\begin{array}{ll} 100 \ \mu \mathrm{Hz} \leq f \leq 0.1 \, \mathrm{Hz} & \mathrm{req.} \\ 20 \ \mu \mathrm{Hz} \leq f \leq 1 \, \mathrm{Hz} & \mathrm{goal} \end{array}$

Noise budget:

- Acceleration => LISAPathfinder
- Interferometric Measurement System

ESACall2016 v1.2:ASD noise in relative frequency fluctuation all [sum] acceleration ro carrier OPN carrier Unmod carrier ro TM OPN TM Unmod TM fluctuation (Hz^-1/2) ro Ref OPN Ref Unmod Ref ro sideband OPN sideband Unmod sideband backlink frequency TDI laser 10.5 ASD noise in relative 10.54 10.3 10-3 10-4 10-3 10-2 Frequency (Hz)

$$S_a^{1/2} \le 3 \cdot 10^{-15} \frac{\mathrm{m \, s}^{-2}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Hz}}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{0.4 \,\mathrm{mHz}}{f}\right)^2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{f}{8 \,\mathrm{mHz}}\right)^2}$$

-1/2

$$S_{\rm IFO}^{1/2} \le 10 \cdot 10^{-12} \overline{\sqrt{\rm Hz}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{f}\right)}$$

 $2 \,\mathrm{mHz}$

Sensitivity





Response of the detector to GWs



GW sources



Characteristic strain amplitude

GW sources



See talk from Chiara Caprini



- 6 x10⁷ galactic binaries - 10-100/year SMBHBs - 10-1000/year EMRIs large number of Stellar Mass BH binaries (LIGO/Virgo) - Cosmological backgrounds

 10^{0}

LISAFrance - 12/10/2017

LISA Consortium



LISA Consortium



<7

LISA at ESA

- ▶ 25/10/2016 : Call for mission
- ▶ 13/01/2017 : submission of «LISA proposal» (LISA consortium)
- ▶ 8/3/2017 : Phase 0 mission (CDF 8/3/17 → 5/5/17)
- ► 20/06/2017 : LISA mission approved by SPC
- ▶ 8/3/2017 : Phase 0 payload (CDF June → November 2017)
- ► 2018→2020 : competitive phase A : 2 companies compete
- ▶ $2020 \rightarrow 2022$: B1: start industrial implementation
- ► 2022-2024 : mission adoption
- During about 8.5 years : construction
- ► 2030-2034 : launch Ariane 6.4
- ▶ 1.5 years for transfert
- ▶ 4 years of nominal mission
- Possible extension to 10 years



GW observations !

ESA Phase 0 mission

- ▶ 13 Concurrent Design Facility from March to May 2017
- Conducted by ESA with few members of the consortium
- Drivers: thermal stability/range, mechanical stability, mass, power, data rate, volume, integration, …
- Several studied options:
 - Propulsion: chemical (CP) / electrical (EP & EP+)
 - Micro-propulsion: cold-gas (CP & EP)/ electrical (EP+)
 - Communication,
 - Shape,
 - Launch strategies, orbits,



LISA international: ESA, Consortium - A. Petiteau - LISAFrance - 12/10/2017

ESA Phase 0 mission



СР

314.8

0.0

315

1115

200

3244

0

EP

190.2

80.7

271

148

240

1881

0

EP+

4.4

170.6

175

117

20

1522

0





ESA Phase 0 Payload

- From June to November
- Conducted by Payload Coordination Team with ESA
- Support of ESA CDF
 - => Write the Payload Definition Document:
 - System requirements
 - Architecture
 - Budgets
 - Commissioning
 - Communications
 - Control
 - Critical items
 - Data
 - Electrical
 - Environment
 - Failure modes

- Subsystems:
 - Laser
 - Diagnostics
 - Gravitational Reference Sensor
 - Mechanisms
 - Optical Bench
 - Telescope
 - Constellation Acquisition Sensor
 - PhaseMeter



ESA next steps

- 1. Payload Definition Document (PDD)
- 2. ESA appointed the Science Study Team: responsible for the Science Requirement Document (SRD):
- 3. SRD + PDD => input to the Mission Requirement
 Document (MRD)
- 4. MRD is used to defined the ITT: invitation to industries All these steps need to be done by december 2017
- 5. March 2018: start of phase A

ESA Next steps



 Mission Definition/Consolidation/Selection/Adoption Review, Payload Definition Doc, Experiment Interface Doc.

ESA Team(s)

- Project Study Scientist: Paul McNamara
- Project Study Manager: Martin Gelher
- ► CDF: Diego Escorial Olmos + ESA experts
- Science Study Team:
 - LISA Europe:
 - K. Danzmann (Germany)
 - M. Colpi (Italy)
 - P. Jetzer (Switzerland)
 - M. Hewitson (Germany)
 - G. Nelemans (Neederland)
 - A. Petiteau (France)
 - C. Sopuerta (Spain)
 - H. Ward (UK)
 - Bill Weber (Italy)

- External:
 - N. Tanvir
 - J. Hjorth
- LISA US:
 - K. Holley-Bockelmann
 - D. Shoemaker
- Observers:
 - O. Jennrich (ESA)
 - I. Thorpe (NASA)
 - R. Sambruna (NASA)

LISA Consortium



LISA Consortium

Board (executive board):

- K. Danzmann (DE),
- B. Schutz (DE),
- C. N. Man(FR),
- W. J. Weber (IT), G. Mueller (US),
- M. Colpi (IT),
- G. Domenico (CH), G. Nelemans(NL),
- P. Jetzer (CH),

- A. Vecchio (UK),
- H. Ward (UK),
- A. Petiteau (FR), D. Shoemaker(US),
 - N. Cornish (US),
 - S. L. Larson (US),

 - C. F. Sopuerta (E),

- A. Hornstrup (DK),
- T. Hertog (BE),
- Z. Frei (HU),
- V. Cardoso (PT),
- R. Church (SE),
- L. Caramete (RO)

Payload Coordination Team => in place

- M. Hewitson (AEI), B. Weber (UTN), E. Fitzimons (Glasgow), G. Heinzel (AEI), H. Halloin (APC), N. Dinu-Jaegger (ARTEMIS), G. Mueller(Florida), L. Ferraioli (ETH), J. Livas (NASA), ...
- ► Ground Segment Coordination Team => in progress
- Science Coordination Team => to be done but already strong Cosmology Working Group (C. Caprini et al.)

NASA ?



- "Confortable" as be junior partner, ESA leading the mission
- Contribution to the ESA mission: payload and non-payload
- 2 roles: member of the consortium (funding & hardware) and direct partner to ESA
- NASA LISA Study Team:
 - science case (decadal 2019), science advisor, communication (NASA science community <=> consortium)
- 5 technologies (telescope, laser, phase meter, CMS, microthrusters) + mission contribution => decision on final contribution 2024 or before



French contributions

- Main contributions (deliverable):
 - Assembly Integration Verification and Test of part of the payload [talk from Nicoleta Dinu-Jaeger]
 - Performance Control [talk from Nicoleta Dinu-Jaeger]
 - Data Processing Center [talk from Maude Le Jeune]

Others:

- LISA Pathfinder [talk from Joseph Martino]
- LISA Data Challenge [talk from Stas Babak]
- Simulations [talk from Jean-Baptiste Bayle]
- Science: cosmology, astrophysics, fundamental physics [talks this afternoon]

LISA DPC



Unknown sources

François Arago Centre

Conclusion

- After the success of LISAPathfinder and GW detection, LISA consortium submitted a proposal approved by ESA SPC
- ► LISA official started at ESA: phase 0 until dec. 2017 then phase A:
 - Good technological readiness due to decades of development
 - => accelerated process (at the moment)
 - Payload definition in progress & discussion on the ground segment
- ► LISA Consortium is evolving to a more "solid" structure:
 - Definition of coordination teams
 - LISA community is growing very quickly
- French (potential) contributions identified: detailed def. in phase A
 => Need for a French organisation/consortium: LISAFrance
 - => this meeting!

Thank you