Feedback from the Workshops and Data **Sprints** LSST@Europe3

Compiled: NAW / 20180615

1



Workshop 7A Stars/MW

Mini-surveys and user-generated products Conveners: Istvan Dekany and Johanna Jurcsik



Local Volume, Milky Way, Stars & Planets

L3 Requirements, Deep Drilling Fields, Minisurveys

Main question to the community:

What are priority issues and requirements concerning User Generated data products, DDFs, and minisurveys with an emphasis on early science?



TALKS

- 1. Introduction: WFD strategies, L3, DDFs, minisurveys István Dékány
- 2. Prospecting for LMXB Periods with LSST Michael Johnson
- 3. Stellar Variability in Crowded Fields Massimo Dall'Ora
- 4. Pulsating stars as population tracers and distance indicators: Theoretical-Observational comparison llaria Musella
- 5. Discussion



Prospecting for LMXB Periods with LSST Michael Johnson

- Low mass X-ray binaries are key objects for understanding the physics of compact objects.
- LMXBs are RARE: ~ 200 such objects known in the MW.
- Only ~ 20 dynamically confirmed black hole masses.
- ~ 90% of LMXBs reside in the Galactic plane.

LSST observations are desired to improve the census of LMXBs.

Issue: WFD strategy avoids the Galactic plane.

Simulations of period recovery for various survey strategies: 17 < r < 24, $9 \min < P < 200$ days

Results:

Original mini-survey strategy for the Galactic plane is *insufficient* for a reasonable census.

At least an 'astro_sim_01_1004'-like strategy is required.





Conservative assumption: 1300 LMXBs in MW.

Observing Strategy	Total Number of Observations	Period Recovery (%)	Period Recovery in the Milky Way (%)	Period Recovery in the Milky Way (No. of Systems)
Minion_1016	180	43	11	143
Minion_1016j	180	43	11	143
Minion_1020	540	95	35	455
astro_lsst_01_1004	661	97	36	468



Stellar Variability in Crowded Fields Massimo Dall'Ora

- LSST observations of crowded fields is expected for MW science.
- Create metrics to characterize pipeline, based on the recovery of observables: periods, magnitudes, astrometric precision.
- Identify the optimal available code for the science requirements (primarily pulsating stars) and optimize it if necessary.



<u>**Tests:**</u> DECam images from the MW plane, DAOPHOT algorithm, *r* band, ~50 epochs, existing deep census of variables (OGLE).

Results:

Good variable star recovery rate for amplitudes >0.05 mag.

Hint:

Early time series would be desirable for optimization of crowded field photometry.





Pulsating stars as population tracers and distance indicators: Theoretical-Observational comparison Ilaria Musella

- Pulsating variables are important for tracing stellar populations at various ages, and study the SFH of systems in the Local Volume.
- Theoretical input needed for constraining stellar parameters, and better understand how observables depend on chemical composition.
- Highlights from the theoretical framework of nonlinear convective pulsation models.

Ongoing work: theoretical predictions in the LSST filter system.

Proposed minisurvey:

Fields with all sorts of classical pulsators with good coverage from Gaia and other surveys (where?).

Goals:

- inter-calibrate LSST, Gaia, etc. data
- test depth and completeness of LSST
- optimally translate different diagnostics and theoretical tools into LSST passbands









The problem of sampling in case of multi-periodicity J. Jurcsik, I. Dékány

- Classical pulsators (Cepheids, RR Lyrae stars) are primary targets of LSST.
- Multi-periodicity of classical pulsators ignored in evaluation of LSST strategies.
- · 10-20% of classical Cepheids are multimodal.
- > 50% of RR Lyrae stars are multi-periodic.

- The "baseline universal cadence" is suboptimal for multi-periodic light curves.
- <u>Suggestion</u>: Consider alternative sampling (cadence) as a baseline survey strategy. Detailed evaluation of rolling cadences is suggested.







Hints & feedback from session.

- Large interest Milky Way science with LSST: higher priority desired for MW plane.
- Inclusion of MW plane in main survey suggested (fewer filters?)
- Mitigate source confusion by constraints on seeing.
- Accurate crowded-field photometry should be doable.
- Early DDF/minisurvey with many visits suggested for inter-calibration of diagnostic tools of puls. variables, and for testing completeness.
- Consideration of rolling cadences suggested in order to improve the sampling of multi-periodic variable stars.



Workshop 7B cosmology

Mini-surveys and user-generated products Convener: Johan Comparat (MPE)



Mini-surveys

Fruitful discussions on what are mini-surveys, deep drills.

In the session, we explored the following possible mini-surveys :

- South ecliptic pole area
 - Euclid synergy. M. Jarvis, R Bowler.
 - eRosita synergy. A. Merloni.
 - Magellanic clouds science. M. Cioni.
- SN micro-lensing. S. Huber.
- Gravitational wave event follow-up. T. Tyson.

11

User-generated products

- Many proposed products !
 - Modelling intra-cluster light: diffuse component. S. Brough, L. Kelvin
 - Morphological classification of galaxies using unsupervised machine learning. S. Kaviraj
 - Zoo classification. L. Kelvin
 - Atmospheric transmission model for each exposure. S. Dagoret-Campagne
 - Surface brightness fluctuation. Michele Cantiello.

Aspects about data + software + computing time to be discussed individually.



Session 8 LSST Software Tutorial

Conveners : Jim Bosch, Robert Lupton

LSST Software Tutorial

Hosted on google Europe= Funded through <u>GCP</u> <u>Research Credits</u> Program) :

https://lsp-demo.lsst.codes/nb

10 nodes 40vCpus - 50+ sessions

Some slowness on Firefly display

Some 503 -not confirmed GitHub mail

Some 504 - a bit of a mystery to be investigated.

Overall successful







Cast

Jim Bosch - Excellent Notebook

Behind the scenes - Adam Thornton (Jupyter/Kubernetes), Simon Krughoff (Everything), David Shupe (Firefly) .. and many others.

In the room :

Hsin-Fang Chiang - Running Tutorial, Notebook testing, Robert Lupton = WIlliam O'Mullane helping

Workshop 12A (exo)Planets and Asteroids

Convener: Mikael Granvik (U Helsinki)



Presentations

Bryce Bolin: APO time-resolved color photometry of highly elongated interstellar object 11/'Oumuamua

• 1-10 interstellar objects expected to be discovered annually by LSST (Cook+ 2016)

Sebastien Bouquillon: Gaia GBOT and asteroids

- 50% of all asteroids new discoveries when going 0.5-1 mag fainter than current surveys
- automated pipeline that produces milliarcsec astrometry

Markus Hundertmark: Lessons learned from microlensing – advanced alert filtering and rapid response

- 15 minute sampling rate allows detection of Earth-mass planets
- false-positive rate may be larger than anticipated and depends on filter change rate



Discussion items

- needs and plans for alert brokers
 - microlensing follow-up groups have experience with alert brokers
- simulations needed for white paper
 - no time for discussion
- thoughts/ideas for minisurveys
 - no time for discussion
- plans for user-generated data products
 - no time for discussion
- 2x15s exposures vs 1x30s exposure
 - not necessarily bad, but needs more thought
- pros and cons with rolling cadence
 - difficult to assess due to lack of details (how sparse is sparse?)



Workshop 12B Galaxies

Conveners: Manda Banerji and Joe Mohr



Galaxy Science Splinter

Talks given:

Maurilio Pannella: Galaxy Evolution Studies before and after LSST Peter Hatfield: Small Scale Clustering in Large Galaxy Surveys

Discussion items:

Strengthen communication among SWGs GalaxiesSC collaboration with DESC on simulations? Can DESC share their sims? Are they adequate for testing GalSWG algorithms and requirements?

Multi-wavelength needs:

NIR data (e.g., VISTA surveys, Euclid) CRUCIAL for galaxy science Radio, X-ray, spectroscopy allow additional science



Galaxy Science Splinter

- Discussion items: (continued)
 - Multi-wavelength collaborations
 - Allow to develop "organically" or attempt to organize/prepare?
 - "Open Skies" vision drives LSST, but not all other collaborations
 - MOUs needed in some cases? (Euclid, eROSITA, ??)
 - Are there tools that will be needed for the science that aren't being built?
 - Possibility: Requirements gathering on project by project basis



Session 13A: LSST/Gaia sprint

Conveners : Alcione Mora, Wlliam O'Mullane

Day 1 - Gaia Archive examples

Mora worked through some examples with Gaia archive and Topcat. Especially showing of the <u>epoch propagator</u>.

See also https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1W pFOYC0cXrDXMjyCyM-LES0SKaa5APnL



Session 13B: LSST/Euclid sprint

Conveners : Eric Aubourg & Jason Rhodes

- We discussed the Euclid/LSST complementarity, focusing on science and not politics: on which topics and how can we make joint analyses.
- We had several presentations on deblending, shape measurement, Euclid ground segment and LSST, and photo-zs and SEDs.
- We discussed the interest of a deblending challenge, of sharing joint Euclid-LSST simulations.

Friday morning, two working groups formed on:

- a) Deblending and shape measurement (led by Catherine Heymans)
- b) Photo-zs and SEDs (led by Matt Jarvis)



LSST+Euclid deblending for weak lensing

Goal : quantify shape measurement accuracy for blended objects (detection)



TODO list - P(Δmag,Δr) from Joachim's simulations

LYON | FRANCE | JUNE 11-15

- similar input LSST+Euclid-like GalSim catalog
- get in touch/coordinate with BTF

A. Boucaud, C. Doux, X. Er, J. Harnois-Deraps, C. Heymans, R. Schuhmann, C. Roucelle, F. Virieux

Combining photometry to improve photo-zs and SED fitting

- Hydro Sims replicate real structures of galaxies (Horizon-AGN Clotilde)
- SAMS probably not great for this
- Real data HST (CANDELS/GOODS) + HSC etc
- Initially on full-depth LSST
- Test existing algorithms close links to deblending!

TPHOT – Maurillio & Corentin

Tractor – Kristina Nyland & Mark Lacy

LAMBDAR – Angus Wright (Aaron)

Convolve to poorest seeing and do multi-aperture photometry

All as a function of ground-based seeing

- Test with limited set of template fitting code (EAZY, LePhare, BPZ, new code)
- Are spectral templates representative of the real galaxies
- Sub-sample simulation on likelihood of having a spectroscopic redshift is zp vs zs better or worse?
- Metrics for photo-zs follow DESC photo-z metrics (Schmidt et al. in prep)
- Calibration between LSST & Euclid
- Impact for individual galaxies vs total dN/dz



Workshop 14A Stars and the Milky Way

Conveners: Cristina Chiappini and Laszlo Kiss



Presentations

- 1. Short gamma-ray burst afterglows and kilo novae: perspectives with LSST (Paolo D'Avanzo)
- 2. Simulations of the LSST stellar content: Milky Way and Magellanic Clouds (Giada Pastorali)
- 3. Exploiting M-type star properties to discover young clusters (Loredana Prisinzano)
- 4. The K2 RR Lyrae survey (Robert Szabo) the bias arising from light curve variability is yet to be studied for the LSST sampling.



Topic List (more tailored to LSST potential)

- Can MW studies constrain the nature of DM? (Ibata on Monday streams)
- Need for large coverage of MW with 6D+[Fe/H](+[X/Fe])+Age
- The importance of the innermost regions/Bulge/Bar so far "giants view" + Cepheids + RRLyrae (Gaia+LSST). Subgiants @ d > 5kpc? Microlensing?
- How to best spectroscopically follow-up these regions for greatest science impact? So far Gaia focused should extend to LSST
 - Ø 4MOST+LSST: disk/Bulge -> preferred deep field?
 - Ø 4MOST+WEAVE+LSST: halo/outer-disk
- Structures on velocity maps -> strong constraints on Bar/spirals -> so far "local+" need to extend towards inner/far-away regions with Gaia precision but d > 6 kpc from us
- GaiaNIR ideas main drivers how much are the missing 98% of stars in the Gaia data crucial for the complete picture of the MW?
- New European funding opportunities: e.g. FP9 (Horizon Europe) resources shall be used by new consortia, which may be formed through the helps of these kind of meetings and sessions.



Workshop 14B Cosmology

Conveners: Phil Marshall and Rachel Mandelbaum



Fabrice Feinstein - Millical: fitting star mags using GAIA & AuxTel Simon Huber - Time Delay Measurement of SLSNe Ia with LSST Le Plan Mickael Rigault - Astrophysical Bias in SN Cosmology Pierre-François Léget - Cosmic Shear and the LSST PSF Jonathan Blazek - Projects in the TJP Working Group Emille Ishida - PLASTICC Peter Hatfield - GPz: Machine Learning Photometric Redshifts Jim Chiang - Data Release Production for the DESC Dominique Fouchez - DESC DRP SN/SL Processing Mariana Penna-Lima - Cluster Mass Estimation Cécile Roucelle - Deblending with Deep Learning Éric Aubourg - LSST-Euclid Coordination Elizabeth Swann - Spectroscopic Follow-up of LSST SN & Host Galaxies Philippe Gris - Cadence optimization for supernovae in LSST Joachim Harnois-Deraps: Cosmological Simulations for Weak Lensing+ Nicolas Regnault : what can we learn about LSST from SNIa Hubble diagram residuals Catherine Heymans: Accurate Non-linear power spectra for modified gravity theories

DESC

Our goal for this session is to make plans for DESC projects, focusing on improving the connections between groups with Europe as well as between Europe and the rest of the world.

1600 - 1630 CEST	Les Publicites: Speakers have 1-3 slides per person, to advertise your project and attract interest from your colleagues. What do you need help with? What opportunities can you offer? Audience: take notes on who you want to talk to!	
1630 - 1730 CEST	Discussion: Go find the people you might like to work with, ask them about their projects, make some plans. Speakers: fill out your slide at the end of the deck.	
1730 - 1800 CEST Les Celebrations: Speakers, or designates, te group what your plan for the next 12 months is, your one slide at the end of the deck.		



Fabrice Feinstein - Improve error model using DC2, emulate star catalogs, visit SLAC Simon Huber - Coordinate with TIDES, test time delay accuracy in SN WG's cadence sims Mickael Rigault - Astrophysical Bias in SN Cosmology Pierre-François Léget - Cosmic Shear and the LSST PSF Jonathan Blazek - Modified gravity "beyond wCDM", test numcosmo & CCL in TJPCosmo Emille Ishida - PLAsTiCC launches in late summer (practise <u>here</u>), prepare to publish Peter Hatfield - investigate GPz cluster-finding, check n(z) accuracy for cosmology Jim Chiang - Exercise new communication channels with DM, to unblock pipeline dev team Dominique Fouchez - Make DC2 Run 1.2p DIAObject lightcurves, study efficiency/photom Mariana Penna-Lima - Cluster Mass Estimation Cécile Roucelle - Deblending with Deep Learning Eric Aubourg - Execute projects defined in data sprint workshop Elizabeth Swann - 4MOST simulation upgrades in Sept, join Obs Strategy Task Force Philippe Gris - Develop tools and metrics to estimate the best cadences (white paper call) Joachim Harnois-Deraps: Many ideas with Katrin & Salman, use SLICS in DES to practise? Nicolas Regnault : coordinate with 4MOST (z precision & efficiency) - perform simulations Catherine Heymans: Build emulator of NL matter power spectra in MG into TJPCosmo

34

Next Steps

- Feedback content to relevant LSST Science Collaborations
- Some common themes synergies in defining observing strategies
 - Cadence variable stars / SN
 - Alert brokers GW/EM counterparts / microlensed planets
- Prepare for the LSST June White Paper Call
 - Into the bulge, the disk
- Software requirements on interoperability
 - LSST/Gaia towards a common approach in access to lightcurve photometry
 - LSST/Euclid -
- Follow up via the LSST Science Collaborations or in the near term engage with the LSST@Europe attendees at <u>ast-lsst-lyon18@lists.cam.ac.uk</u>

