Probing the expansion of the universe using gravitational wave standard sirens at LISA

> Chiara Caprini APC Paris



LISA

space-based interferometer performing direct GW detection

LISA Pathfinder | launch Dec 2015, operations 2016-2017



one LISA arm reduced in one spaceship

<u>test the ability to</u> <u>put two masses</u> <u>in free fall</u>: measure the differential acceleration among them

Armano et al, PRL 116, 231101 (2016)

LISA chronology

- 1980s 2011: joint NASA/ESA proposal
- 2011: NASA withdraws, ESA continues with descoped mission NGO (two arms)
- 2012: ESA selects JUICE for L1
- 2013: ESA selects LISA scientific theme for L3: "the gravitational universe"
- 2013 2016: studies of different configurations and refinement of the scientific case
- 25/10/2016: ESA call for mission
- 13/01/2017: LISA Consortium submits the LISA proposal (3 arms), APPROVED BY ESA
- 3/2017 fall 2017: ESA Phase 0 study
- beginning 2018 2019: ESA Phase A study (with industries)
- 2019 2020: preparation of industrial implementation
- 2021: ESA mission adoption
- 8.5 years: mission construction
- around 2030 2034: launch (Ariane 6)
- nominal mission duration 4 years, tested extension up to 10 years
- cost: 1050 M€

LISA configuration

frequency range of detection: $10^{-4} \,\mathrm{Hz} < f < 1 \,\mathrm{Hz}$



very best angular resolution for high SNR sources: 1deg²

What LISA measures

1. The <u>gravitational wave strain</u> from the inspiral and merger of compact binaries : it encodes information on the binary parameters

$$h(t) \sim 2 \frac{\Delta L}{L}$$
 $\mathcal{M}_c, d_L, t_c, \eta, \Phi_c, \phi$

LISA target : • BH binaries, massive (high SNR) and LIGO-like

- galactic binaries
- Extreme Mass Ratio Inspirals



What LISA measures

2. the stochastic background of gravitational waves

the superposition of sources that cannot be resolved individually

- binaries too numerous and with too low SNR to be identified
- signals from the early universe with too small correlation scale (typically horizon at the time of production) with respect to the detector resolution

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} = \frac{\rho_{\rm GW}}{\rho_c} = \frac{\langle \dot{h}_{ij}\dot{h}_{ij}\rangle}{32\pi G\,\rho_c} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{f} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{\rm GW}}{\mathrm{d}\ln f}$$

energy density power spectrum

What LISA measures



LISA AND COSMOLOGY:

some results from the LISA cosmology working group (Germano Nardini, CC)

the stochastic GW background from primordial sources: test of early universe and high energy phenomena

use of GW emission from binaries to probe the background expansion of the universe : test of acceleration

GW emission by compact binaries can be used as SuperNovae Ia (standard candles) to test the content of the universe





GW emission by compact binaries + redshift by an EM counterpart can be used to probe the distance-redshift relation

$$h_{+}(t) = \frac{4}{d_{L}(z)} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{\pi f}{c}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1 + \cos^{2} \imath}{2} \cos[\Phi(t)]$$
$$h_{\times}(t) = \frac{4}{d_{L}(z)} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{\pi f}{c}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \cos \imath \sin[\Phi(t)]$$

 $\mathcal{M}_c = (1+z)M_c$

redshifted chirp mass

$$d_L(H_0, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_M, w_0, w_a)$$

GW emission by compact binaries + redshift by an EM counterpart can be used to probe the distance-redshift relation

no distance ladder

GW emission by compact binaries + redshift by an EM counterpart can be used to probe the distance-redshift relation

no distance ladder

but no redshift either!

GW emission by compact binaries + redshift by an EM counterpart can be used to probe the distance-redshift relation

$$h_{+}(t) = \frac{4}{d_{L}(z)} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{\pi f}{c}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1 + \cos^{2} \imath}{2} \cos[\Phi(t)]$$
$$h_{\times}(t) = \frac{4}{d_{L}(z)} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{c}}{c^{2}}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{\pi f}{c}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \cos \imath \sin[\Phi(t)]$$

 $\mathcal{M}_c = (1+z)M_c$

redshifted chirp mass

$$d_L(H_0, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_M, w_0, w_a)$$

Standard sirens: analogous to SNIa, but

GW emission by BH binaries provides a direct measurement of luminosity distance up to high redshift:



Standard sirens: analogous to SNIa, but GW emission by BH binaries provides a direct measurement of luminosity distance up to high redshift: but it needs to be combined with an independent

measurement on the redshift : 😒



- the rate and redshift distribution of MBH binary events are obtained from numerical simulations (three different models - PopIII, heavy seeds with delay, heavy seeds without delay)
- Fisher matrix code to calculate SNR, the error on d_L and on sky localisation from inspiral (conservative) or inspiral+merger and ringdown (optimistic)
- Select events with SNR>8 and sky localisation < 10 deg²
- Add weak lensing and peculiar velocities errors to d_L
- model of the counterparts :
 - detected directly in the optical by LSST
 - detected first in the radio by SKA and then in the optical by E-ELT
 - add extra redshift error if photometric



worst case scenario regarding MBHB formation model and sky localisation <u>best case scenario</u> regarding MBHB formation model and sky localisation

LISA alone :

Planck alone :

 $\Omega_M = 0.3 \pm [0.05, 0.03]$ $h = 0.67 \pm [0.02, 0.01]$

 $\Omega_M = 0.308 \pm 0.0012$ $h = 0.678 \pm 0.009$

most optimistic scenario for MBHB formation gives an independent measurement of the Hubble parameter to 1%

LISA FIXING Ω_M :

 $h = 0.67 \pm [0.006, 0.004]$

• independent constraint

• 0.6% in best case

Dynamical Dark Energy

too few events at low redshift to get a good measurement

$$w_0 = -1 \pm [0.3, 0.1]$$

 $w_a = 0 \pm [1.5, 0.8]$

SNIa + Planck + BAO : (Betoule et al 2014)

Euclid forecast :

 $w_0 = -0.957 \pm 0.124$ $w_a = -0.336 \pm 0.552$

 $\Delta w_0 = 0.02$ $\Delta w_a = 0.1$

Dynamical Dark Energy

too few events at low redshift to get a good measurement

these constraints can get better using also other LISA sources

credits: N. Tamanini

the identification of the redshift can be done with statistical methods

credits: N. Tamanini

credits: N. Tamanini

LISA can provide competitive constrains on models where dark energy starts to contribute at early times

Early Dark Energy

 Ω_{de} fraction of dark energy at early times

 $z_e \quad {\rm redshift\ up\ to\ which\ dark} \ {\rm energy\ contributes}$

Planck alone : $\Delta \Omega_{de} = 0.0036$

but only if it contributes up to decoupling, otherwise the measurement quickly degrades

CC and N. Tamanini arXiv:1607.08755

Interacting Dark Energy

$$\dot{\rho}_{dm} + 3H\rho_{dm} = Q \qquad \text{two models for the interaction}$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{de} + 3H(1+w_0)\rho_{de} = -Q \qquad Q = \epsilon_1 H\rho_{dm} \text{ and } Q = \epsilon_2 H\rho_{de}$$

redshift when the interaction turns on : z_i

Conclusions

- GW can be a powerful mean to probe late-time background cosmology
- the measurement of the luminosity distance is clean
- in the case of LISA, using MBHB one needs an independent em counterpart to get the redshift, but one can have sources up to redshift 7
- LISA using MBHB + Planck can provide a below 1% measurement of H_0
- LISA using MBHB can also offer constraints on models where the DE starts to play a role at high redshift
- using lower redshift sources, it is possible to improve constraints on DE and get a probe of the universe acceleration fully independent on em emission