Search for the Standard Model $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays with the ATLAS detector at the LHC

Jean-François MARCHAND

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules

GDR Terascale - 31/03/2009

Introduction

- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ is one of the most promising discovery channels for a SM Higgs boson in low mass region (114 < $m_{\rm H} < 150 {\rm GeV}$)
- Has shaped the requirements for the EM calorimetry (together with $H \rightarrow 4e$ channel)



- Small branching ratio
 (≈ 2 ⋅ 10⁻³ for m_H = 120 GeV)
 BUT
 Simple signature
 Very good mass resolution
 (≈1.5GeV)
- \rightarrow Need good photon reconstruction/identification
- \rightarrow Need good $\gamma/{\rm jet}$ rejection
- \rightarrow Need proper conversion handling
- \rightarrow Need good photons direction measurement

What's new wrt previous studies?

- QCD higher order corrections are considered for both signal and background
- Contribution of fragmentation from hard partons to photons taken into account
- Inclusive analysis and diphoton production in association with jets considered
- Significance computed with max. likelihood fit compared to event counting
- Studies based on a realistic detector simulation of MC signal and background

Signal and background



Photon reconstruction and identification

Reconstruction and calibration

- Photon reconstructed from EM clusters (Barrel : 3×7 in $\eta \times \phi$ for converted photons, 3×5 for unconverted photons, EndCap : 5×5)
- Cluster position corrected for known systematic biases
- Energy reconstructed using longitudinal weights to correct :
 - \rightarrow Energy loss in front of the calorimeter
 - \rightarrow Longitudinal leakage
 - \rightarrow Energy loss outside the cluster
- Different weights for unconverted photons and converted photons

Identification and isolation

- $\bullet\,$ To reduce background from jets faking γ below irreducible background
- Identification with cut based method (on shower shape parameters)
 - \rightarrow Middle layer and hadronic calorimeter : Jet rejection with wide showers
 - \rightarrow Strips Fine segmentation : γ/π^0 separation
- Isolation (using tracks)



Conversion reconstruction

- MC studies : 57% of $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ events have ≥ 1 conversion ($R_{conv} < 800 mm$)
- 2 types of converted photons are used :



- Double track conversions → Reconstructed by a vertexing algorithm using 2 tracks with opposite charges as input
- Single track conversions → Separation of primary electron from conversion electron with the signal in the first pixel layer

• Reconstruction efficiency \approx 70% for conversions with $R_{conv} < 350 mm$



Pointing - Primary Vertex

- Precise measurement of the primary vertex position is very important to improve the Higgs mass resolution
- Iterative method to measure photons directions Linear fit using :
 - \rightarrow Multi-layer structure of the EM calorimeter

 \rightarrow Position of the conversion vertex used when possible

 \rightarrow And reconstructed primary vertex position computed by the tracker and selected among high luminosity vertices





Invariant mass and resolution

• Mass resolution is determined from an asymetric Gaussian fit $([-2\sigma, +3\sigma])$ on the invariant di-photon mass peak



• The relative mass resolution σ_m/m is close to 1.2% degrading by a few percent when 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ pileup is added

Inclusive analysis

- $0 < |\eta| < 1.37$, $1.52 < |\eta| < 2.37$ (motivated by offline photon identification and fake rate) \Rightarrow Also applied in H+1jet and H+2jets analysis
- $p_T^{\gamma_1} > 40 \text{GeV}, p_T^{\gamma_2} > 25 \text{GeV}$ (obtained from optimization studies)



K-factor applied :
$$K_{\gamma j} = 2.1$$
 and $K_{jj} = 1.3$

H+1jet analysis

Leading jet in $gg \to Hj$ and VBF tends to be harder and more separated from $\gamma\gamma$ than from background events

- $p_T^{\gamma_1} > 45 \text{GeV}, \ p_T^{\gamma_2} > 25 \text{GeV}$
- ≥ 1 hadronic jet with $p_{\mathcal{T}}{}^{\rm jet} > 20 {\rm GeV}$ in $|\eta| < 5$ (motivated by the ability to calibrate hadronic jets in ATLAS)
- $m_{\gamma\gamma jet} > 350 \text{GeV}$



H+1jet analysis

Leading jet in $gg \rightarrow Hj$ and VBF tends to be harder and more separated from $\gamma\gamma$ than from background events

- $p_T^{\gamma_1} > 45 \text{GeV}, \ p_T^{\gamma_2} > 25 \text{GeV}$
- ≥ 1 hadronic jet with $p_{\mathcal{T}}{}^{\rm jet} > 20 {\rm GeV}$ in $|\eta| < 5$ (motivated by the ability to calibrate hadronic jets in ATLAS)
- $m_{\gamma\gamma jet} > 350 \text{GeV}$



H+2jets analysis

- $p_T^{\gamma_1} > 50 \text{GeV}, p_T^{\gamma_2} > 25 \text{GeV}$
- > 2 hadronic jets with $p_T^{jet_1}$ > 40GeV, $p_T^{jet_2}$ > 20GeV in $|\eta| < 5$
- Jets in opposite direction : $\eta_1\eta_2 < 0$ (VBF process at LO produces 2 high p_T and relatively forward jets in opposite hemisphere)
- $\Delta \eta_{ii} > 3.6$

Pseudorapidity gap and invariant mass of signal jets tend to be significantly • $m_{ii} > 500 \text{GeV}$ f larger than those expected for background processes

- Photons in between tagging jets
- Central jet veto : $p_T > 20 \text{GeV}, |\eta| < 3.2$



H+2jets analysis

- $p_T^{\gamma_1} > 50 \text{GeV}, p_T^{\gamma_2} > 25 \text{GeV}$
- > 2 hadronic jets with $p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}_1}$ > 40GeV, $p_{\tau}^{\text{jet}_2}$ > 20GeV in $|\eta| < 5$
- Jets in opposite direction : $\eta_1\eta_2 < 0$ (VBF process at LO produces 2 high p_T and relatively forward jets in opposite hemisphere)
- $\Delta \eta_{ii} > 3.6$

Pseudorapidity gap and invariant mass of signal jets tend to be significantly • $m_{ii} > 500 \text{GeV}$ f larger than those expected for background processes

- Photons in between tagging jets
- Central jet veto : $p_T > 20 \text{GeV}, |\eta| < 3.2$



Mainly VBF

Expected cross sections :

| | Inclusive | H+1jet | H+2jets |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| $\sigma_{\rm sig}$ | 25.4 fb | 4.0 fb | 0.97 fb |
| $\sigma_{\sf bkg}$ | 947 fb | 49 fb | 1.95 fb |

in a mass window of $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 120 \pm 2 \text{GeV}$

S/B = 0.5

11 / 26

$H+E_T^{miss}$ and H+1 lepton from associated production

 $H + E_T^{miss} + 1$ lepton $H + E_T^{miss}$ d σ/ dM_{r/} [fb/GeV] 0.07 0.06 0.08 0.07 40/ dW^{1,1} [tp/Ge/] 0.06 0.08 Signal Signal ATLAS Irreducible bka ATLAS Irreducible bkg Reducible bkg Reducible bkg 0.04E 0.04 0.03E 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 Pio PID 145 150 115 120 125 130 135 140 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 150 M_{ry} [GeV] Myy [GeV] Signal : Mainly from $ZH \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma \gamma$ Signal : Mainly from $WH \rightarrow \ell \nu \gamma \gamma$ and $t\bar{t}H$

$S/B \approx 1.7$

 $S/B \approx 2$

Expected cross sections :

| | Inclusive | H+1jet | H+2jets | $H + E_T^{miss} + 1$ lepton | $H + E_T^{miss}$ |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| σ_{sig} | 25.4 fb | 4.0 fb | 0.97 fb | 0.126 fb | 0.072 fb |
| $\sigma_{\sf bkg}$ | 947 fb | 49 fb | 1.95 fb | 0.075 fb | 0.036 fb |
| $100 \pm 0(10) C M C M C M C M C M C M C M C M C M C $ | | | | | |

in a mass window of $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 120 \pm 2(1.8)$ GeV for H+ E_T^{miss} +1 lepton (for H+ E_T^{miss}

JF Marchand (LAPP)

GDR Terasccale - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in ATLAS - 31/03/2009

12 / 26

Different analysis - Summary



Maximum-likelihood fit

Unbinned extended multivariate maximum likelihood fit

 \to Takes the advantage of discrimination information from the kinematics and topological properties of $H\to\gamma\gamma$ decays



14 / 26

Maximum-likelihood fit

Categories used to split data into subsets

- Separate sub-population of events with different properties
- Different categories can have different values of PDF parameters or different PDFs altogether
- Gives finer-grained description of data / Increase significance / Reduces biases from correlations ⇒ Improves the accuracy of the likelihood model

Fit categories

- 3 η categories
- converted/unconverted photons categories
- 3 Higgs production categories : H + 0, 1, 2 jets



Discovery potential

• Expected signal significance for 10 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity (in a mass window of $\pm 1.4\sigma$ around m_H)

Based on event counting

| m_H (GeV) | Inclusive | H + 1 jet | H + 2 jets | Combined |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|
| 120 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| 130 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| 140 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.0 |

 \Rightarrow Combined significance is ${\approx}25\%$ higher than significance of inclusive analysis



JF Marchand (LAPP)

Conclusion

- Combined analysis for H+0jet, H+1jet and H+2jets improves the significance by ${\approx}25\%$ with respect to inclusive analysis
- Use of an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit has been studied to enhance the expected sensitivity
 ⇒ Enhances the significance by ≈ 40% with respect to inclusive analysis
- 3σ observation should be possible with integrated luminosity less than 10 fb^{-1}
- Many improvements since previous studies... and many areas are still going to be improved (conversions...)
- ⇒ And of course : work will be needed to understand the detector performance with first data...

More details in : Expected Performance of the ATLAS Experiment - Detector, Trigger and Physics

http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0512

JF Marchand (LAPP)

GDR Terasccale - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in ATLAS - 31/03/2009

BACKUP

The ATLAS detector



Inner detector





- $\bullet~$ L1 menu : 2EM13I $\rightarrow \geq$ 2 isolated electron or photon candidates with $E_T=13 GeV$
- L2 and EF : 2g17i Refine the analysis of L1

Efficiency for the 2g17i menu item to trigger on $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ events with $m_H = 120 \text{GeV}$ -Normalized with respect to the offline selection

| Trigger Level | 2g17i Trigger efficiency |
|---------------|--------------------------|
| L1 | 96±0.3 |
| L2 Calo | 95±0.4 |
| EF Calo | 94±0.4 |

- Efficiency loss mainly due to the calorimeter isolation at L1 which is not applied in the offline photon selection
- 2g17i should be usable upt to luminosities of 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹

MC event generation

Signal

- Events generated using PYTHIA : LO matrix element calculation for all processes
- MC@NLO also used to simulate gluon fusion process
- HERWIG also used to model VBF process

 \Rightarrow Full detector simulation used All generated samples used for signal are normalized to the NLO cross-sections taking into account only QCD corrections

Background

| Process | σ calculator | Cuts | σ (pb) | Full simulation # of events | Fast simulation # of events |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| $q\bar{q},qg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma x$ | ReBos/ DIPHOX | $80 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 150 { m GeV}$ $ ho_{T\gamma} > 25 { m GeV}, \eta < 2.5$ | 20.9 | PYTHIA/ALPGEN 200000/1300000 | ALPGEN 1670000 |
| $gg \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | ReBos | $80 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 150 \text{GeV}$ $p_{T\gamma} > 25 \text{GeV}, \eta < 2.5$ | 8.0 | PYTHIA 200000 | PYTHIA 850000 |
| γj | JETPHOX | $p_{T\gamma} > 25 { m GeV}$ | 180 · 10 ³ | PYTHIA 3000000 | ALPGEN 36700000 |
| ίί | NLOJET++ | $PT_{\gamma} > 25 GeV$ | 477 · 10 ⁶ | PYTHIA 10000000 | ALPGEN 37000000 |

Fit - Fitter used

Hfitter Performs unbinned extended maximum likelihood fits, arbitrary number of samples, categories and fit variables (based on RooFit)

Likelihood :
$$L = \prod_{c=1}^{n_{\text{cat}}} e^{-\overline{N}^c} \prod_{i=1}^{N^c} P_i^c$$

with
$$P_i^c = N_H f_H^c P_{H,i}^c + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{bkg}}} N_{B_j}^c P_{B_j,i}^c$$
 and $P_{U_j,i}^c = \prod_{k=1}^{n_{\text{var}}} \rho_U^c(x_{k,i})$ where $U = H, B_j$

$$\begin{array}{l} & N_{H}: \text{total number of } H \to \gamma \gamma \text{ events in sample sample} \\ c: \text{category with distinct properties } (\eta, p_T \text{ region, production mechanism...})} \\ f_{L}^{G}: \text{fraction of signal events in category } c \\ & N_{B_j}^{C}: \text{number of background event of type } j \text{ in category } c \\ & \overline{N}^{C}: \text{number of background types } \gamma/\text{jet, } 2\gamma+\text{jet, di-jet, ...} \\ & p_{U}^{C}(x_{k,j}): \text{ probability density for event } i \text{ in category } c \text{ of type } U \\ & \text{ for dscriminant variable } x_k \end{array}$$



Calorimeter granularity

| $\eta = 0$ $\int_{a_{1}}^{Cells in Layer 3} \int_{a_{1}}^{Cells in Layer 1} \int_{a_{$ | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|--|
| | $ \eta $ range | Cell η size | | Cell ϕ size | | |
| | | Layer 1 | Layer 2 | Layer 1 | Layer 2 | |
| Barrel | 0-1.4 | 0.025/8 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 1.4-1.475 | 0.025 | 0.075 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| EndCap | 1.375-1.425 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 1.425-1.5 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 1.5-1.8 | 0.025/8 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 1.8-2.0 | 0.025/6 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 2.0-2.4 | 0.025/4 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |
| | 2.4-2.5 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.1 | 0.025 | |

Granularity of layer 3 : $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.050 \times 0.025$

$H+E_T^{miss}$ and H+1 lepton from associated production

$H + E_T^{miss} + 1$ lepton

- Signal : Mainly from $WH \rightarrow \ell \nu \gamma \gamma$ and $t \overline{t} H$
- Background : Mainly from $t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$, $W\gamma\gamma$ where W decays to $\ell\nu$ and $W\gamma \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$ where the other photon is radiated by the electron or is a jet faking photon

$$H + E_T^{miss}$$

- Signal : Mainly from $ZH \rightarrow \nu \nu \gamma \gamma$
- Background : Mainly from $t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma\gamma$ and $W\gamma \rightarrow e\nu\gamma$ where the other photon is radiated by the electron or is a jet faking photon