Light neutralino DM with a very light Higgs for CoGeNT and DAMA/LIBRA data

Seodong Shin

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea Identification of Dark Matter 2010 29th Jul 2010, Montpellier, France

arXiv:1005.5131 with K.J. Bae and H.D. Kim

Outline

Introduction : Light DM CoGeNT and DAMA/LIBRA

Light DM in SUSY models.
 Heavy Higgs mediation scenario
 Light Higgs mediation scenario

Light Higgs in the MSSM

Light Higgs in the BMSSM

Right relic abundance

Conclusions

Light DM from CoGeNT

- @ arXiv:1002.4703
- Coherent Germanium Neutrino Technology
- Soudan, Minnesota
- Oltra-low noise Ge detector of 330g
- The recoil of the nuclei by the scattering off WIMP induces ionizations inside the detector.
- After 8 week exposure, CoGeNT announced the DM candidate signal of mass 7 11 GeV and $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-41} {\rm cm}^2$

Light DM from CoGeNT

@ arXiv:1002.4703



After 8 week exposure, CoGeNT announced the DM candidate signal of mass 7 – 11 GeV and $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-41} {\rm cm}^2$



	DATA listed top to bottom on plot
	DAMA/LIBRA 2008 3sigma, no ion channeling
_	WARP 2.3L, 96.5 kg-days 55 keV threshold
	CRESST 2007 60 kg-day CaW O4
	ZEPLIN III (Dec 2008) result
	CDMS: 2009 Ge XENON10 2007, measured Leff from Xe cube
	CDMS Soudan 200+2008 Ge ZEPLINUI(vr.3 with PMT upgrade) Proj. Sens.
	XENON100 projected sensitivity: 6000 kg-d, 5-30 keV, 45% eff.

http://dendera.berkeley.edu/plotter/entryform.html



To reconcile the DAMA and the other null experiments, channeling or inelastic DM.





Channeling effect : Light DM can be survived.



 $m_\chi \lesssim 10 ~{
m GeV}$



Light SM gauge singlet fermionic DM
 Y.G. Kim and SS, JHEP 0905, 036 (2009)

Light SM gauge singlet scalar DM
 S. Andreas, T. Hambye, M.H.G. Tytgat, JCAP 0810, 034

WIMPless modelJ. Feng and J. Kumar, PRL 101, 231301 (2008)

Light neutralino in an effective MSSM
 A. Bottino, F. Donato, N. Fornengo, S. Scopel

Right handed sneutrino in the μυ-SSM
 D. Cerdeno, C. Munoz, O. Seto, PRD 79, 023510 (2009)

Still, Tension with exp.

Experiments are getting more and more improved.

XENON 100 and CoGeNT arXiv:1005.0838, 1005.2615, 1005.3723

Discussed in Prof. Mckinsey's talk





Still, Tension with exp. Section Experiments are getting more and more improved. Cross Section [cm² DAMA XENON100, Lower 90% CL Leff, 4 pe XENON100, Lower 90% CL Leff, 3 pe -40 CoGeNT 3723 DAMA (with channeling) 10⁻⁴² Trotta et al. CMSSM 95% c.l. Trotta et al. CMSSM 68% c.1 CDMS 10^{-43} 2 XENON100 10^{-44} 10 10⁻⁴⁵ 12 14 16 18 1000 Energy [keVr] 10 100 Mass $[GeV/c^2]$



6





Aside from the scintillation efficiency, focus only S2

We can open up the allowed region.

 Considering the low energy background First bin in DAMA
 Some exponential background in CoGeNT
 S. Chang, J. Liu, A. Pierce, N. Weiner, I. Yavin, arXiv:1004.0697

Ambiguity in the experimental parameter or halo profile

Changing the fraction of channeling in DAMA CoGeNT and DAMA compatible? Need more research on the channeling Prof. Gelmini's talk based on arXiv:1006.3110

Light DM

Solution Focus on the optimistic side that the left signal region is from the DM scattering

- The channeling effect in DAMA/LIBRA is not so suppressed.
- DM of mass < 10 GeV (Conservatively, 4-7 GeV : <6 GeV is OK) $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-40} {\rm cm}^2$ This region is interesting to be analyzed.
- So it is meaningful to investigate the model of light DM of this parameter region.

 $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-40} \rm cm^2$

SM matters not enough : The evidence of new physics beyond the SM

We focus on SUSY which is one of the promising candidates of the new physics.

So we search the viable parameter space to explain light DM of 4-7 GeV and $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-40} {\rm cm}^2$ in SUSY models with minimal d.o.f

Two Higgs doublets (Hu, Hd realistically)
 Heavy CP-even neutral Higgs : H
 Light CP-even neutral Higgs : h
 (CP-odd Higgs A and two charged Higgs)

 $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}$ $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

 $ightarbox{tan}\beta = v_u/v_d$; $v^2 = v_u^2 + v_d^2$

Light DM in SUSY $\sigma_{\rm SI} = \frac{4m_r^2}{\pi} f_{p(n)}^2,$

 $f_{p,n} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} f_{T_q}^{(p,n)} a_q \frac{m_{p,n}}{m_q} + \frac{2}{27} f_{TG}^{(p,n)} \sum_{q=c,b,t} a_q \frac{m_{p,n}}{m_q},$

The WIMP and **s**-quark coupling is dominant in WIMP-nucleon scattering due to large $f_{T_s}^{(p,n)}$ J.R. Ellis, A. Ferstl, K.A. Olive, PLB481, 304 (2000)

The t-channel exchange of Hd-like CP-even Higgs is dominant in the WIMP-nucleon scattering. (Neglected scalar quark exchange since scalar quarks are usually heavier than Higgs to satisfy the collider bounds)

\$\sigma\$ \sigma\$ \sigma\$ osi : (Higgs - \$\sigma\$ quark)² (Higgs - \$\WIMP\$)² / \$M⁴\$
Two cases to obtain the large \$\sigma\$_si

(1) Hd-like Higgs : Heavy H, large tanβ
 (H : Heavy Higgs mediation)
 (tanβ)² (m^H)⁻⁴ term is dominant in σs1.

(2) Hd-like Higgs : Light h, small tanβ
 (h : Light Higgs mediation)
 (tanβ)² (mh)⁻⁴ term is dominant in σsi.

• σ_{sI} : (Higgs – s quark)² (Higgs – WIMP)² / M⁴ • Two cases to obtain the large σ_{sI}

(1) Hd-like Higgs : Heavy H, large tanβ
 (H : Heavy Higgs mediation)
 (tanβ)² (m^H)⁻⁴ term is dominant in σs1.

(2) Hd-like Higgs : Light h, small tanβ
 (h : Light Higgs mediation)
 (tanβ)² (mh)⁻⁴ term is dominant in σsi.

Constraints from the other experiments must be considered.

Heavy Higgs mediation

When H is not much heavier than h
 For large tanβ

$$\sigma_{\rm SI} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-40} \ {\rm cm}^2 \times \left(\frac{N_{13}}{0.4}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{200}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \ {\rm GeV}}{m_H}\right)^4$$

→ H : as heavy as ≥ 100 GeV tanβ ≥ 200

- The parameter space with such large tanß is highly constrained by the branching ratio of $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Onsidering the upper limit in H/A → $\tau^+\tau^-$, it
 is impossible to obtain such high $\sigma_{SI} \sim 10^{-40} \text{cm}^2$ E. Kuflik, A. Pierce, K. Zurek, arXiv:1003.0682

Heavy Higgs mediation

When H is not much heavier than h
 For large tanβ

$$\sigma_{\rm SI} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-40} \ {\rm cm}^2 \times \left(\frac{N_{13}}{0.4}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{200}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \ {\rm GeV}}{m_H}\right)^4$$

→ H : as heavy as ≥ 100 GeV tanβ ≥ 200

- The parameter space with such large tanß is highly constrained by the branching ratio of $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$
- Considering the upper limit in H/A →τ⁺τ⁻, it is impossible to obtain such high σ_{SI} ~ 10⁻⁴⁰ cm²
 E. Kuflik, A. Pierce, K. Zurek, arXiv:1003.0682
 Light Higgs mediation must be considered!

 h is H_d-like and m_h \ll m_H with moderate tan $\sigma_{\rm SI} \simeq 1.9 \times 10^{-40} \ {\rm cm}^2 \times \left(\frac{N_{13}}{0.3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10 \ {\rm GeV}}{m_b}\right)^4,$ \oslash This is obtained for $\alpha \simeq \beta$: LEP constraint. \oslash h : as light as O(10) GeV tanß can be O(1) LEP constraints on the Higgs must be
 considered since h is lighter than 114.4 GeV. (1) Higgsstrahlung $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow Zh$ (2) Associative production $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow hA$

 $R_{hZ} \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z^* \to Zh)_{\rm MSSM}}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z^* \to Zh)_{\rm SM}} = \sin^2(\alpha - \beta)$

 $R_{hA} \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z^* \to hA)_{\text{SUSY}}\mathcal{B}(h \to \bar{b}b)\mathcal{B}(A \to \bar{b}b)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z^* \to hA)_{\text{ref}}}$ $= \cos^2(\alpha - \beta)\mathcal{B}(h \to \bar{b}b)\mathcal{B}(A \to \bar{b}b)$

 $\operatorname{ref}: g_{ZhA} = g_{ZZh}^{\mathrm{SM}}$

(1) h and A mainly decay to bb
 m_h > 10 GeV

 $\iota)_{
m SM}$ $_{\rm SUSY}\mathcal{B}(h \to \overline{b}b)\mathcal{B}(A \to \overline{b}b)$ $\rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow hA)_{\rm ref}$ $\bar{b}b)\mathcal{B}(A o \bar{b}b)$

to $b\overline{b}$

 $\alpha \simeq \beta$ to satisfy the Higgsstrahlung constraint.

Then, $cos(\alpha - \beta) \approx 1$ in the associative production.

- $\alpha \simeq \beta$ to satisfy the Higgsstrahlung constraint.
- Then, $cos(\alpha \beta) \approx 1$ in the associative production.
- Ø Remind R_{hA} is proportional to B(h → bb)
- This is suppressed if h dominantly decays to the neutralino pair.

- $\alpha \simeq \beta$ to satisfy the Higgsstrahlung constraint.
- Then, $\cos(\alpha \beta) \approx 1$ in the associative production.
- This is suppressed if h dominantly decays to the neutralino pair. $\frac{\chi\bar{\chi}}{\chi}$

- $\alpha \simeq \beta$ to satisfy the Higgsstrahlung constraint.
- Then, $\cos(\alpha \beta) \approx 1$ in the associative production.
- Ø Remind R_{hA} is proportional to B(h → bb)
- This is suppressed if h dominantly decays to the neutralino pair. $\frac{\chi \overline{\chi}}{\chi}$

∞ m_h ≥ 2 m_X (~ 20 GeV) and low tanβ ≤ 3 may provide plausible parameter space.
 (tanβ ≤ 3 : C.E. Yaguna, PRD 76, 075017 (2007))

- (2) h mainly decays to τ⁺τ⁻
 m_h < 10 GeV
 - Solve No constraint from the associative production for mA ≤ 120 GeV.
 - Solution Strain A and a strain A strain A strain A strain A and a strain A and a strain A strain A and a strain A strain A strain A and a strain A strain

Strongest experimental bound $B(\Upsilon → h\gamma) < 10^{-5}$ → Most conservative Higgs mass bound

- (2) h mainly decays to τ⁺τ⁻
 m_h < 10 GeV
 - Solve No constraint from the associative production for mA ≤ 120 GeV.
 - Solution Instead, the constraint from the radiative Y decay Y→hY is on rise as well as the Higgsstrahlung sin(α-β)≃0.

Strongest experimental bound $B(\Upsilon → h\gamma) < 10^{-5}$ → Most conservative Higgs mass bound $m_h \gtrsim 8.9 \text{GeV}$

 Ø Plausible light Higgs 9 GeV ≤ mh ≤ 20 GeV Tree level Higgs mass matrix in the MSSM $\begin{pmatrix} M_Z^2 c_{\beta}^2 + m_A^2 s_{\beta}^2 & -(M_Z^2 + m_A^2) s_{\beta} c_{\beta} \\ -(M_Z^2 + m_A^2) s_{\beta} c_{\beta} & M_Z^2 s_{\beta}^2 + m_A^2 c_{\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ $c\beta = \cos\beta, \ s\beta = \sin\beta \ (H_d^0, H_u^0)$ basis

To obtain such light Higgs, m_A < M_z since M_z is fixed as ~ 90 GeV

or m_A << M_z : h is mostly down-type α ≃ π/2
 Not favored by invisible Z-width Z→hA

∞ m_A ≤ M_z : Maximal mixing α ≃ -π/4
 Not favored by the Higgsstrahlung since β is positive

or m_A << M_z : h is mostly down-type α ≃ π/2
 Not favored by invisible Z-width Z→hA

∞ m_A ≤ M_z : Maximal mixing α ≃ -π/4
 Not favored by the Higgsstrahlung since β is positive

Light Higgs mediation scenario cannot be realized in the MSSM!

 We consider additional correction to the tree level Higgs mass : BMSSM
 M.Dine, N. Seiberg, S. Thomas, PRD 76, 095004 (2007)

 $\begin{pmatrix} M_Z^2 c_{\beta}^2 + m_A^2 s_{\beta}^2 + 4v^2 \epsilon_1 s_{2\beta} + 4v^2 \epsilon_2 s_{\beta}^2 & -(M_Z^2 + m_A^2) s_{\beta} c_{\beta} + 4v^2 \epsilon_1 \\ -(M_Z^2 + m_A^2) s_{\beta} c_{\beta} + 4v^2 \epsilon_1 & M_Z^2 s_{\beta}^2 + m_A^2 c_{\beta}^2 + 4v^2 \epsilon_1 s_{2\beta} + 4v^2 \epsilon_2 c_{\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix}$

 We consider the BMSSM to realize the light Higgs mediation scenario with minimal contents. (without additional light d.o.f. such as NMSSM J.F. Gunion, D. Hooper, B. McElrath, PRD 73, 015011 (2006))

- Negative ε₂ correction effectively reduces m_A² in the MSSM to m_A²+4v²ε₂ so that very light h scenario can be realized without introducing light CP-odd Higgs.
- Positive ε₁ correction can reduce the off-diagonal Higgs mixing. When 4v²ε₁ ≥ (Mz²+mA²) sβcβ, we achieve α≤π/2 so that the Higgsstrahlung bound can be avoided.

FIG. 2. Numerical results of neutralino-nucleon scattering cross section in $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ plane. Solid(red) curves stand for scattering cross section, $\sigma = 10^{-40} \cdot 10^{-42}$ cm² for left panel and $\sigma = 10^{-39} \cdot 10^{-42}$ cm² for right panel from the bottom to the top. Dashed(black) curves stand for light Higgs mass, $m_h = 5 \cdot 25$ GeV from the bottom to the top. Dot-dashed(blue) lines stand for $\sin^2(\alpha - \beta) = 0.01$, the region between the lines is safe from the Higgsstrahlung constraint. Neutralino mass is $4 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{\chi} \leq 7$ GeV depending on parameters.

mh larger than 10 GeV may demand smaller tanβ < 3 to satisfy the constraint from RhA
 Large ε_{1,2} to satisfy σ_{SI} ~ 10⁻⁴⁰ cm² (>10%)

stand for scattering cross section, $\sigma = 10^{-40} \cdot 10^{-42}$ cm² for left panel and $\sigma = 10^{-39} \cdot 10^{-42}$ cm² for right panel from the bottom to the top. Dashed(black) curves stand for light Higgs mass, $m_h = 5 \cdot 25$ GeV from the bottom to the top. Dot-dashed(blue) lines stand for $\sin^2(\alpha - \beta) = 0.01$, the region between the lines is safe from the Higgsstrahlung constraint. Neutralino mass is 4 GeV $\lesssim m_{\chi} \lesssim 7$ GeV depending on parameters.

mh larger than 10 GeV may demand smaller tanβ < 3 to satisfy the constraint from RhA
 Large ε_{1,2} to satisfy $\sigma_{\rm SI} \sim 10^{-40} {\rm cm}^2$ (>10%)

Relic abundance

Since the neutralinos are very light ≈ 7 GeV, they annihilate only to light fermions at the freeze-out.

The neutralino is much lighter than A, scalar quarks, and Z-boson so that the dominant annihilation process is mediated by the CP-even Higgs h which is a P-wave process.

 ${\it { o} }$ Small tanß \sim 3 constrains the interaction of h to the SM fermions.

 Therefore, the resonant annihilation is the only possible process to obtain the right relic abundance.

Relic abundance

FIG. 3. Ωh^2 to m_{χ} with fixed tan $\beta = 3$ and $N_{13} = 0.3$ values. The allowed mass of the light CP-even Higgs is 9 GeV $\leq m_h \leq 10$ GeV. The magenta, blue, green lines denote the case $m_h = 9$ GeV, 9.5 GeV, 10 GeV respectively. The parameters are given to avoid the LEP or Υ decay constraints. The red region denotes the observed relic abundance satisfying (1). Therefore, we conclude that the physically consistent mass of our light WIMP is determined as 5 GeV $\leq m_{\chi} \leq 6$ GeV here.

Conclusions

Light DM of < 10 GeV and 10⁻⁴⁰ cm² is being focussed on these days.

We searched such reliable parameter space within SUSY models which is one of the promising candidates of the new physics beyond the SM (with the minimal number of contents)

We found that light H_d like Higgs mediation with low tanβ is viable

MSSM is not suitable for the light mediation : BMSSM is considered. From the constraints by other experiments such as LEP, Upsilon decay, we found reliable range for the mass of the light Higgs 9–10 GeV within the plausible parameter space of BMSSM.

The relic abundance of the light neutralino is obtained by the resonant process in the pair annihilation to give the right value observed by WMAP. Therefore, WIMP mass 5-6 GeV is viable. (Anyway survived : Dr. Sorensen's result)

Consequently, we succeeded to obtain the reliable parameter space for light DM consistent with CoGeNT and DAMA/LIBRA, without introducing additional light d.o.f.

Merci beaucoup!

Back-up slides

 \odot Grey : $B \rightarrow TV$

Back-up slide

Opsilon decay

 $\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon \to h\gamma) \approx 1.59 \times 10^{-4} \times z (0.928 - 0.302 z^{-1/2}) \tan^2 \beta$ $z = 1 - m_h^2 / m_{\Upsilon}^2$