

# Towards a Massive Directional Dark Matter Detector

### Neil Spooner, University of Sheffield



Proceedings of the First International Workshop on the Identification of Dark Matter (Sheffield 8-11 September 1996)

by Spooner, Neil (Edits)

Assessment of the progress in indentifying dark matter was the objective of the first "International Workshop on the Identification of Dark Matter", in particular to consider what techniques, both observational and experimental, are being used, how successful they are and what new techniques will ... Show more

### Change currency List price: €135,09 Our price €122,09

You save: €13,00 (10%)

Ships from UNITED KINGDOM

Add to Cart



### CYGNUS Cooperation links most groups interested in directionality

- Interest in directional detection rapidly increasing
- DRIFT (US-UK), MIMAC (France), (CAST), NEWAGE (Japan), DMTPC (US), Emulsions (Japan), Micromegas (Spain); Theory groups....





Cooperation on join document towards scale-up

> The Case for a Directional Dark Matter Detector

International Journal of Modern Physics A Vol. 25, No. 1 (2010) 1–51 International Journal of Modern Physics A Vol. 25, No. 1 (2010) 1–51 © World Scientific Publishing Company



THE CASE FOR A DIRECTIONAL DARK MATTER DETECTOR AND THE STATUS OF CURRENT EXPERIMENTAL EFFORTS

S. AHLEN.<sup>1</sup> N. AFSHORDI.<sup>23,31</sup> J. B. R. BATTAT.<sup>+,15</sup> J. BILLARD.<sup>11</sup> N. BOZORGNIA.<sup>3</sup> S. BURGOS.<sup>21</sup> T. CALDWELL.<sup>15,22</sup> J. M. CARMONA.<sup>12,13</sup> S. CEBRIAN, <sup>12,13</sup> P. COLAS,<sup>4</sup> T. DAFNI, <sup>12,13</sup> E. DAW, <sup>26</sup> D. DUJMIC, <sup>15</sup> A. DUSHKIN,<sup>5</sup> W. FEDUS,<sup>15</sup> E. FERRER,<sup>4</sup> D. FINKBEINER,<sup>6</sup> P. H. FISHER,<sup>15</sup> J. FORBES,<sup>21</sup> T. FUSAYASU,<sup>16</sup> J. GALAN,<sup>12,13</sup> T. GAMBLE,<sup>26</sup> C. GHAG,<sup>5</sup> I. GIOMATARIS,<sup>4</sup> M. GOLD,<sup>18</sup> H. GOMEZ,<sup>12,13</sup> M. E. GOMEZ,<sup>7</sup> P. GONDOLO,<sup>29</sup> A. GREEN,<sup>21</sup> C. GRIGNON,<sup>11</sup> O. GUILLAUDIN,<sup>11</sup> C. HAGEMANN,<sup>18</sup> K. HATTORI,<sup>10</sup> S. HENDERSON,<sup>15</sup> N. HIGASHI,<sup>10</sup> C. IDA,<sup>10</sup> F. J. IGUAZ,<sup>12,13</sup> A. INGLIS,<sup>1</sup> I. G. IRASTORZA,<sup>12,13</sup> S. IWAKI,<sup>10</sup> A. KABOTH,<sup>15</sup> S. KABUKI,<sup>10</sup> J. KADYK,<sup>14</sup> N. KALLIVAYALIL,<sup>15</sup> H. KUBO,<sup>10</sup> S. KUROSAWA,<sup>10</sup> V. A. KUDRYAVTSEV,<sup>26</sup> T. LAMY.<sup>11</sup> R. LANZA.<sup>15</sup> T. B. LAWSON.<sup>26</sup> A. LEE.<sup>15</sup> E. R. LEE.<sup>18</sup> T. LIN.<sup>6</sup> D. LOC MARTOFF.27 F. MAY MONROE.18 White Paper B. MORG NAKAMURA.10 M. NAKAM 14.10 K. NIWA 1 S. M. I PUSHKIN.21 M. ROBIN 0.7 T. SAHIN.18 112 authors R. SAN SAWANO.10 G. SC EN-IFFT.21 (AHASHI.10 N. J. C A. TAKEDA,<sup>28</sup> T. TANIMORI,<sup>10</sup> K. TANIUE,<sup>10</sup> A. TOMAS,<sup>12,13</sup> H. TOMITA,<sup>1</sup> K. TSUCHIYA,<sup>10</sup> J. TURK,<sup>18</sup> E. TZIAFERI,<sup>26</sup> K. UENO,<sup>10</sup> S. VAHSEN,<sup>14</sup> R. VANDERSPEK,<sup>15</sup> J. VERGADOS,<sup>8</sup> J. A. VILLAR,<sup>12,13</sup> H. WELLENSTEIN,<sup>2</sup> I. WOLFE,<sup>15</sup> R. K. YAMAMOTO<sup>15</sup> and H. YEGORYAN<sup>15</sup> <sup>1</sup>Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA <sup>2</sup> Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453, USA <sup>3</sup> University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA <sup>4</sup>CEA Saclay, Cédex, France <sup>5</sup> University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, UK <sup>6</sup>Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02140, USA <sup>7</sup> Universidad de Huelva, Campus El Carmen, 21071 Huelva, Spain <sup>8</sup> University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Gr 451 10, Greece <sup>9</sup> Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba, Japan <sup>10</sup> Kyoto University Kitashirakawa-oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan <sup>11</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie

Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologi Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P9,

CYGNUS2011, June 8-10, Aussois, France

## **DM-TPC** Future

- Going underground to WIPP with 20 lt detector now constructed ( $CF_4$ )
- Cubic meter design underway Attention to radiopurity, material selection, high-purity copper, non-thoriated welds Higher vacuum, more stable gain PMT signal 3D track recon, worm veto





### NEWAGE Kyoto University – Japan

 $CF_4$  filled 3D imaging gaseous TPC detector using micro-pattern pixellated readout ('µ-PIC')



Key device: Kyoto designed µ-PIC readout – 400µm resolution





 $CF_4$  gas @ 0.2 bar (aiming for 0.05 bar soon) Now running in Kamioka

Aiming for 1m<sup>3</sup> detector operating @ kamioka by 2013

PLB 654 (2007) 58 (Miuchi e*t.a*l.) Preprints: physics/0701085

# MIMAC

### MIcro-tpc MAtrix of Chambers

LPSC (Grenoble) CEA-Saclay (IRFU) IRSN (Cadarache) (D. Santos et al.)



 $CF_4$  and  $CF_4 + CHF_3$  filled 3D imaging gaseous TPC detector using micro-TPC Developing 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup> pixelized anode

<sup>19</sup>F Recoil (~40 keV), 50 mbar CF4 + CHF3 (30%)



# DRIFT IIa-e progress....

- Low threshold potential (< 3 keV, S-recoil)
- Directional signatures (and 3D reconstruction)
- Head-tail (sense) is feasible, and verified by theory
- Radon backgrounds (RPR) understood reduced
- Fiducialisation via +ve ions looks to work
- Thin cathode works
- Neutron backgrounds understood
- Stable and safe operation with  $CS_2$  and  $CF_4$
- Competitive SD WIMP-P limits <u>with directionality</u>

B. Morgan, A.M. Green and N.J.C. Spooner, Phys Rev D71 (2005) 103507
P. K. Lightfoot, N. J. C. Spooner et al., Astropart. Phys. 27 (2007) 490
S. Burgos et al., Astropart. Phys. 28 (2007) 409
N.J.C. Spooner, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 76 (2007) 11101
E. Tziaferi et al., Astropart. Phys. 27 (2007) 326
K. Pushkin et al., (2008) arXiv:0811.4194
S. Burgos et al., Nucl. Instrum. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 584 (2008) 114
S. Burgos et al., Nucl. Instrum. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A600 (2009) 417
S. Burgos et al., Astroparticle Physics 31 (2009) 261
P. Majewski, D. Muna, D.P. Snowden-Ifft, N.J.C. Spooner (2009) arXiv:0902.4430

## See previous talks BIG PROGRESS in the last 2 years





Ready to design scale-up to DRIFT III - 25m<sup>3</sup>

## DRIFT IId status

**SD WIMP-proton Limits** with 30-10 CS2+CF4 and a 47.4 day exposure e+02 Preliminary DRIFT-IId latest COUP NAIAD 1e+01 WIMP-proton SD cross section (pb) **KIMs** e+00 e-01 No compromise on directional sensitivity needed to achieve this e-02 10 50 100 500 5000 50000

WIMP Mass (GeV)

### NEXT

x40-50 reduction in background (RPR) expected with thin cathode

i.e. ~ 0.02 pb assuming remaining RPRs distributed the same

This would take 2000 days live time

DRIFT II is now volume limited.... not background limited

Ready to design scale-up to DRIFT III - 25m<sup>3</sup>

## DRIFT III Concept Larger size with lower cost

- Modular design to allow approach to ton-scale
- DRIFT-III module of 4 kg 24 m<sup>3</sup> (DRIFT-II: 1m<sup>3</sup>, 0.14 kg CS<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>3</sup>)
- One DRIFT-III module well suited to Boulby
- Large number fits 30m x 150m DUSEL "Standard Lab Module" or new tunnel excavation at Boulby
  - advantages of no cryogenics
  - multiplexed electronics
  - neutron shielding

cost split evenly:

- vacuum vessel
- electronics
- gas system
- NB: current cost of complete DRIFT II module (with shielding) ~ \$80K Extrapolation gives ~\$250K for DRIFT III module (with shielding)



# **DRIFT III Directionality**

How Many WIMPs Needed to see a directional signal?

Green & Morgan 'PRD '08, arXiv:0711.2234 Green & Morgan, Astropart. Phys '07, astro-ph/0609115 Morgan & Green, PRD '06, astro-ph/0508134 Morgan, Green & Spooner, PRD '05, astro-ph/0408047

difference from baseline configuration  $N_{90}$  $N_{95}$ 7 11 none  $E_{\rm T} = 0 \ {\rm keV}$ 2113no recoil reconstruction uncertainty 59  $E_{\rm T} = 50 \text{ keV}$ 57  $E_{\rm T} = 100 \text{ keV}$ 3 5S/N = 108 14 S/N = 11727S/N = 0.199 1703-d axial read-out 81 1302-d vector read-out in optimal plane, raw angles 18 262-d axial read-out in optimal plane, raw angles 1100 16002-d vector read-out in optimal plane, reduced angles 1218 2-d axial read-out in optimal plane, reduced angles 190270

Conclusion from directional analysis: positive identification using a diurnal signal can occur with a few 10s of events using only information only x and z with head-tail information

go for

3D with head-tail

discrimination

### $\Delta Z/\Delta X$ + Head-Tail

assuming optimal position sensitivity

### **DRIFT II result...** DRIFT II Head-Tail Results

<u>Experiment</u>: S. Burgos et al., Astroparticle Physics 31 (2009) 261 <u>Theory</u>: P. Majewski, D. Muna, D.P. Snowden-Ifft, N.J.C. Spooner (2009) arXiv:0902.4430





## DRIFT II result...

### (1) Rn Emanation Facility

Facility built to assess radon emanation and allow reduction



Sample	Fill gas	Emanation	Humidity	Raw result	Adjusted result
(Emanating into vacuum)		time (days)	(%)	(Bq/m <sup>3</sup> )	(Rn atoms.s <sup>-1</sup> )
RG58 coax cables (72m)	Dry N2	12.5	24	9.4 +/- 0.7	0.36 +/- 0.83
Electronics boxes	Dry N2	12	37	1.5 +/- 0.3	0.05 +/- 0.01
Ribbon cables	Dry N2	6.5	23	10.1 +/- 0.7	0.50 +/- 0.03
Grouping Boards	Dry N2	10	37	0.3 +/- 0.2	< 0.02 *
Single core & thin coax cables	Dry N2	7	19	1.3 +/- 0.3	0.04 +/- 0.02
Field cage parts	Dry N2	7	33.3	0.6 +/- 0.2	<0.03 *
				Total	0.95 +/- 0.05



- Main offenders = Ribbon cables and Coax. cables
- Total of items measured = 0.95 + 0.05 Rn atoms.s<sup>-1</sup>:



### **DRIFT II result...** (2) Nitric cleaning developed

Facility built to remove MWPC and Cathode background events attributed to recoils of <sup>210</sup>Pb plated out on the detector. Likely region for buildup of <sup>210</sup>Pb is on the cathode wires.



Johanna Turk (University of New Mexico)

- Mark Pipe (University of Sheffield )
- **Kirill Pushkin** (Occidental College)



Now applied same cleaning procedure to the MWPC grid and anode wires.

# **DRIFT II result...** (3) Thin cathode developed

Use of multi-panel 0.9µm thick DRIFT cathode



cathode tested at full voltage (32.5kV)



Latest data shows expected ~x30 reduction in RPRs

### **DRIFT II result...** (4) Z-fiducialisation developed

Z-fiducialisation by +ve ion detection demonstrated to give  $\Delta T$  measurement



### DRIFT Neutrons (1) (external from rock)

### Neutrons are next most important background

16

Recoil rates expected in a single DRIFT II module due to neutrons from the surrounding rock calculated using modified SOURCES

### Assumptions

Simulated energy spectrum from spontaneous fission and alpha-n in NaCl in the surrounding rock due to U (60 ppb) and Th (130 ppb) contamination.

**Result** Nuclear recoil rates in DRIFT II module at 10–50 keV

Unshielded:  $2.67 \times 10^3 / yr$ With 40 g cm<sup>-2</sup> CH<sub>2</sub>:  $1.10 \times 10^{-2} / yr$ 

### 10-6 107 <sup>-</sup>lux (neutrons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> keV<sup>-1</sup>) edae of CH 10-8 10-9 10-10 10-11 10 a/cm<sup>2</sup> CH 10-12 20 a/cm<sup>2</sup> CH 10-13 10-14 40 g/cm<sup>2</sup> CH 10-15 10-16 10 100 1000 Energy / keV

### Conclusion:

Salt is low in U, Th so fission is low but alpha-n reactions are high, on balance not much different to "normal" rock Rock neutrons easy to shield with  $CH_2$ 

# DRIFT Neutrons (2) (internal from detector)

# Neutrons are next most important background

Extensive study with Monte Carlo simulation of fission and alpha-n reactions - production of neutrons via modified SOURCES

Assumptions DRIFT II construction materials 1 ppb U, 1 ppb Th is assumed as a source of alpha particles in steel



Conclusion:

Nuclear recoil rates in a single DRIFT II module per year at  $10-50 \text{ keV} = 4.14 \times 10^{-2}$  per year Steel vessel is dominant issue



# Neutron Summary

Assumptions

Includes 40 g cm<sup>-2</sup> CH<sub>2</sub> shielding against rock neutrons (estimates)

# Result (prelim estimates for DRIFT III)

see M.J. Carson et al NIM A 546 (2005) 509–522

Estimated neutron backgrounds per year at 10-50 keV recoil energies

kg	Rock	Muons	Detector	Total	
0.167	0.01	0.12	0.06	0.19	
4.00	0.24	2.88	1.56	4.68	
4.00	0.20	2.00	1.50	3.70	
4.00	0.20	<1.00	<1.00	<0.4	

Conclusion for <u>single</u> DRIFT III module (prelim):

- Requires 40 gcm<sup>-2</sup> CH neutron shielding (like DRIFT II)
- Steel construction just about alright

optimization, selection, internal CH?

• No need for muon active veto at Boulby for single module

### DRIFT III Sensitivity with directional capability SD Prediction

- Projected limits for a single DRIFT III module
- With no compromise on directional sensitivity

Expected WIMP-proton spin dependent sensitivity



### DRIFT III Sensitivity with directional capability

### **SI** Prediction

- Projected limits for a <u>single</u> DRIFT III module (4kg)
- With no compromise on directional sensitivity



# DRIFT III Design

- 4kg fiducial mass, CS<sub>2</sub> -ve ion plus CF<sub>4</sub> (different target mixes)
- Thin low RPR central cathode (1  $\mu$ m), partial segmentation
- Nitric acid process cleaning and radon emanation tests
- +ve ion detection for Z-fiducialisation
- 2 x 2m single plane anode with alternate grid wires, 1mm pitch reduced tension simplifies engineering (no strongback)
- Head-Tail sensitivity
- 2D readout but with 3D side veto using resistive wires
- CH<sub>2</sub> pellet shielding
- No gamma shielding needed



# DRIFT III Vessel

- Each DRIFT-III module composed of 6 segments footprint ~6 m by 3 m.
- Each detector segment observes 4 m<sup>3</sup> of gas or, at 40 Torr of CS<sub>2</sub>, 0.67 kg of target mass
- 250 of these 4 kg modules gives 1 ton and would fit into a standard DUSEL module or 500m tunnel at Boulby



• Preference for hydrocarbon-based material



# DRIFT III Readout

Sense plane

- Transparent readout plane to sense two sides (eliminates the mechanical support "strong back")
- 20 µm diameter stainless steel wires on a 2 mm pitch
- X-wires, Y-resistive wires

### Cathode

- 70 kV with well-engineered field cage and high-voltage system; diffusion (reduced by 40% c.f. DRIFT II)
- +ve ion detection segmented to reduce the input capacitance for Z fiducialisation
- Orientation perpendicular to anode wires to give more y dimension information



## DRIFT III Readout R&D





# DRIFT III Gas and Safety

- Multiple targets possible with no change to detector (C, F, S...)
- CS<sub>2</sub> + CF<sub>4</sub> mixing system as demonstrated in DRIFT II
- Works with up to ~1% contamination (oxygen)
- Gas flow for removal of contamination and radon emanation control (R&D tasks)
- Handling and disposal of CS<sub>2</sub> (flammable toxic gas) and CF<sub>4</sub> a greenhouse gas is understood (e.g. at Boulby)
- Re-circulation and cleaning with a closed system is an R&D topic

# **DRIFT II result...** CS<sub>2</sub>-CF<sub>4</sub> Mixing underground

- Built a fully automated gas mixing system to supply a <u>continuous flow</u> of pre-mixed  $CS_2$ - $CF_4$  gas mixture to the vacuum vessel
- System of mass flow controllers and capacitance manometers to accurately control and monitor gas
- Fully automated and integrated into the current DRIFT slow control
- Installed at Boulby in May 2009
- Installed and working in 2 days
- Now taking CF<sub>4</sub> data

Installed at Boulby



# DRIFT III Shielding

### Simple and cheap poly pellet neutron shielding As for DRIFT II



# **Boulby Mine Site**

- Current site (1.1 km deep) hosts dark matter experiments in salt rock
- New excavation also to deeper levels, hard dolomite rock
- Suitable for large TPC!



 Extension to current laboratory being designed for DRIFT III





### Neutron Summary - ton scale

Conclusion for <u>ton-scale</u> DRIFT III (250 modules):



Limits for 100 GeV WIMPs. The solid curves show that a large detector would be background limited due to neutrons produced by a steel vacuum vessel, even when an active veto (96% efficiency) is employed. Eliminating this source of neutrons will allow DRIFT-III to approach the zero-background limits (dotted curves). In the presence of zero or near-zero background, the threshold and efficiency determine the limit.

• Will need non-steel vessel and veto

### Scale-up Speculation (ultimate for SI) 1 ton directional target:

- Charge readout option  $\sim 10^6$  channels (with grouping)
- CCD concept ~ 10,000 CCD cameras and optics

SuperK size cavern device:

- 10 ton (40 Torr)
- 50 ton max

*Ultimate - on scale of proton decay caverns:* 

• 400 - 2000 ton directional target mass

Excavation not a cost driver: \$20-50/m<sup>3</sup>, \$250K/tonne target

Cost extrapolation from DRIFT III module: \$10K/m<sup>3</sup>

 $\Rightarrow \sim $4M/tonne (with scale factors)?$ 

# Conclusion

- Development from DRIFT II to DRIFT III (x25) is now not a major technical leap; the main challenges are:
  - Vessel design (reduction of muon and detector neutrons)
  - Full implementation of z-fiducialisation (RPR reduction)
  - Gas recycling and handling underground
- CCDs, Micro-pix, Micromegas alternative readouts also possible