LPNHE, December 20th 2006

Ongoing efforts and some issues in $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ Dalitz analyses

Eli, José LPNHE-BaBar

Motivations

- Relate B→PV amplitudes via isospin and/or SU3 symmetries to constrain CKM parameters
 - Similar in spirit to previous work on $B \rightarrow PP$ modes (e.g. Julie's PhD thesis)
 - Can use $B \rightarrow K^* \pi$, $B \rightarrow \rho K$ modes and/or some combinations
 - Expect higher impact due to larger number of observables (interferences)
 - e.g 9 observables in $B \rightarrow K\pi$ versus 13 unknowns (4 CKM, 9 QCD)
 - Up to 4 extra phases available in $B \rightarrow K^* \pi$ modes
- **Charmless B** \rightarrow **K** $\pi\pi$ decays are dominated by **b** \rightarrow **qqs** penguins
 - We have $f_0 K_s$ and $\rho^0 K_s$ contributing to our signal
 - Measurement of TDCPV parameters are promising probes for BSM
 - Deviation from results in b→ccs modes would indicate NP
- Main ongoing activities :
 - Time-dependent, full-Dalitz amplitude $K_{\rm S}\pi^+\pi^-$ (ongoing)
 - Time-integrated, full-Dalitz amplitude $K_{\rm S}\pi^+\pi^0$ (ongoing)
 - Time-integrated, full-Dalitz amplitude $K + \pi \pi 0$ (preliminary result run1-4)

Constraints from β/ϕ_1 (charmonium modes)



Constraints from β/ϕ_1 (charmonium modes)





b→s penguins : loop-dominance

- tree-dominated decays
- penguins carry same weak phase

- pure "internal" or "flavour-singlet" penguins
- dominant phase, same CKM factors as b→ccs
- usually more than one phase involved
- high mass scales involved in loops
- non-SM contributions could contribute
- a window to New Physics !

Standard Model New Physics $S_{\rm CCS} + \Delta S_{\rm SM} \neq S_{\rm SSS}$ $S_{\rm ccs} = S_{\rm sss} + \Delta S_{\rm SM} = sin2\beta$ $C_{\rm ccs} \sim C_{\rm sss} \sim \theta$ $C_{\rm ccs} \neq C_{\rm sss}$

> Theory issue : evaluate ΔS_{SM} for each mode identify clean modes (expect small ΔS_{SM})

Motivations

			$C_f =$	-A _f	HFAG DPF/JPS 2006 PRELIMINARY
, i	:	BaBar	· · · 🕑	*	$0.18 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.10$
*		Belle			$-0.07 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.05$
		Average			0.01 ± 0.13
	Ŷ	BaBar			$-0.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$
	, L	Belle		•	$0.01 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05$
m		Average			; -0.09 ± 0.06
× ا		Bollo	A S	•	$-0.14 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.05$ $-0.31 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.07$
1 2		Average	4 5		$-0.31 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.07$
¥.		RaBar			-0.25 ± 0.15
	¥	Belle		S	$0.20 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.05$ $0.05 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05$
	°μ	Average		EC.	$0.03 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.03$
;g		BaBar			-0.64 + 0.41 + 0.20
×		Average		ΕV	0.64 ± 0.46
-		BaBar -	* * * * *		$-0.43^{+0.25}_{-0.22} \pm 0.03$
	¥	Belle	N N	*	$0.09 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.06$
	3	Average	<u>+ 2</u>		-0.21 ± 0.19
		BaBar -	<u>+ </u> 0 ×		-0.36 ± 0.23
¥	Ø	Belle		* *	$0.15 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$
-		Average		-	-0.02 ± 0.13
	. <u>х</u>	BaBar	4	0	- 0.27 ± 0.52 ± 0.13
	°≓ ⊙	Average	- -	<u> </u>	0.27 ± 0.54
Ŷ	ΞĘ.	BaBar Q2B		-	$0.23 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.07$
		Belle	-		0.0 <mark>9 ± 0.10 ± 0.05</mark>
+ ¥		Average	ii		0.15 ± 0.09
10 10	. 14	10 1 00 00		00 04 06	0. 1 10 14 16 16

	sin($2\beta^{eff}$)≡ sin	$(2\phi_1^e)$	ff) HFA	G 2006 NARY
b→ccs	World Avera	age			0.68 ±	0.03
	BaBar				0.12 ± 0.31 ±	0.10
х.	Belle				0.50 ± 0.21 ±	0.06
÷	Average	1			0.39 ±	0.18
0	BaBar			-	$0.58 \pm 0.10 \pm$	0.03
×	Belle		1		0.64 ± 0.10 ±	0.04
F	Average	una kanasa		4	0.61 ±	0.07
¥	BaBar				$0.66 \pm 0.26 \pm$	0.08
×s	Belle				$0.30 \pm 0.32 \pm$	0.08
×°	Average				0.51 ±	0.21
Ś	BaBar	1			$0.33 \pm 0.26 \pm$	0.04
×	Belle				$0.33 \pm 0.35 \pm$	0.08
7	Average				0.33 ±	0.21
×s	BaBar		A W		0.20 ± 0.52 ±	0.24
ь,	Average		<u>+</u>		0.20 ±	0.57
S	BaBar		: 6	-	0.62 +0.25 ±	0.02
Å	Belle	1	* * *		0.11 ± 0.46 ±	0.07
	Average				0.48 ±	0.24
φ.	BaBar	1			0.62 ±	0.23
×	Belle	1			$0.18 \pm 0.23 \pm$	0.11
	Average				0.42 ±	0.17
o x	BaBar -	< 1	2		$-0.84 \pm 0.71 \pm$	0.08
К К	Average				-0.84 ±	0.71
	BaBar Q2B			0.41 ±	$0.18 \pm 0.07 \pm$	0.11
¥	Belle			0.68	$3 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$	3 -0.13
: ¥	Average				0.58 ±	0.13
-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	:

Motivations





Expected evolution of uncertainties (e.g. BABAR)



With 1ab-1 :

• Errors on β/ϕ_1 from b \rightarrow ccs modes can be improved by nearly a factor of 2

• Sustained impact on CKM fits -uncertainties scaled faster than $1/\sqrt{L}$ so far (adding new channels)

• Effort to be pursued : precision physics

Uncertainties on b→s penguin modes will remain statistically dominated

Signals from New Physics ? Will require

- Theoretical predictions of ΔS values
- A sustained experimental effort
 - add more channels

Existing measurements (I)

BAD 1065 (K.Ford et al.) time integrated, quasi two body, Runs 1-4 $(210 fb^{-1})$

Acp $(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^{-}) = -0.11 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05$

■ BAD992, hep-ex/0408095, BaBar result (Run 1-4) for B→f₀Ks time dependent quasi two body analysis

 $S = -0.95 + 0.32 - 0.23 \pm 0.10$

 $C = -0.24 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.15$

- hep-ex/0609006, Belle result for $B \rightarrow f_0 Ks$ time dependent quasi two body analysis $S = 0.18 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.11$ $A = -0.15 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$
- BAD791, hep-ex0608061, BaBar result (Run 1-4) for B→p⁰Ks time dependent quasi two body analysis

 $S{=}0.20 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.24$

 $C=0.64\pm0.41\pm0.20$

Existing measurements (II)

Belle (hep-ex/0610081) time integrated, tag independent, Dalitz plot analysis, $357 fb^{-1}$

Mode	f_i %	δ_i °	$\mathcal{B}(B \to Rh) \times \mathcal{B}(R \to hh) \times 10^6$	${\cal B}(B\to Rh)\times 10^6$
$K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ charmless	$99.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	_	_	$47.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 3.7$
$K^*(892)^+\pi^-$	$11.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.5^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$	$0 \ (fixed)$	$5.6 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$8.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$
$K_0^*(1430)^+\pi^-$	$64.8 \pm 3.9 \pm 0.5^{+1.6}_{-6.3}$	$45 \pm 9 \pm 2^{+9}_{-13}$	$30.8 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.4^{+0.8}_{-3.0}$	$49.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 6.7^{+1.2}_{-4.8}$
$K^*(1410)^+\pi^-$	—	—	< 3.8	_
$K^*(1680)^+\pi^-$	_	_	< 2.6	_
$K_2^*(1430)^+\pi^-$	_	_	< 2.1	_
$ ho(770)^{0}K^{0}$	$12.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.3^{+2.1}_{-2.2}$	$-7 \pm 28 \pm 7^{+27}_{-13}$	$6.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5^{+1.0}_{-1.1}$	$6.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5^{+1.0}_{-1.1}$
$f_0(980)K^0$	$16.0 \pm 3.4 \pm 0.8^{+1.0}_{-1.4}$	$36 \pm 34 \pm 5^{+38}_{-21}$	$7.6 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.7^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$	
$f_X(1300)K^0$	$3.7 \pm 2.2 \pm 0.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	$-135 \pm 25 \pm 2^{+26}_{-31}$	—	
$f_2(1270)K^0$			< 1.4	
Non-resonant	$41.9 \pm 5.1 \pm 0.6 \substack{+1.4\\-2.5}$	$\delta_1^{\rm nr} = -22 \pm 8 \pm 1^{+6}_{-6}$	—	$19.9 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.6^{+0.7}_{-1.2}$
		$\delta_2^{\rm nr} = 175 \pm 30 \pm 4^{+54}_{-30}$		
$\chi_{c0}K^0$			< 0.56	< 113

- In general, compatible with Q2B result from BaBar
- Exception: non-resonant (They use a complicated, non flat component. See later on)
- Something happens around 1400 MeV...

Likelihood

$$L = \prod_{c=1}^{5} e^{-N_{c}^{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{c}} \left(N_{s} \varepsilon_{c} (1 - f_{SCF,c}) P_{S,c}^{TM} + N_{s} \varepsilon_{c} f_{SCF,c} P_{S,c}^{SCF} + N_{q\bar{q}} P_{q\bar{q},c} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{cdass}} N_{B,j} \varepsilon_{B,c} P_{B,c} \right) (\vec{x}_{i})$$

$$f (\Delta t, Q_{tag}) \propto \left(|A|^{2} + |\overline{A}|^{2} \right) \frac{e^{-|\Delta t|/\tau}}{4\tau}$$

$$\left(1 + 2Q_{tag} \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[\overline{A} A^{*} e^{-i\phi_{mix}} \right]}{|A|^{2} + |\overline{A}|^{2}} \sin(\Delta m_{d} \Delta t) - Q_{tag} \frac{|A|^{2} - |\overline{A}|^{2}}{|A|^{2} + |\overline{A}|^{2}} \cos(\Delta m_{d} \Delta t) \right)$$

A and A are described using isobar model.

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{K^{*+}\pi^{-}} + \mathcal{A}_{\rho^{-}K^{+}} + \mathcal{A}_{n.r.} + \dots = \sum_{j} \mathcal{A}_{j} = \sum_{j} |\mathcal{A}_{j}| e^{i\varphi_{j}} f_{j}(DP)$$

 $f_i(DP) = Blatt-Weiskopf$ penetration factor \times spin-dependent kinematic function \times relativistic Breit-Wigner (or GS, LASS...)



 $\langle f_i f_j^* \rangle$ "bilinears" are evaluated by numerical MC integration And fixed in the signal model (i.e. we cannot fit resonance parameters)









http://www.slac.stanford.edu/~ocariz/kpipi0/Dalitz0105.pdf

Dalitz Forum



Nominal Signal Model

90 • $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 K_S^0$ (GS) 80 0.8 0.7 70 • $B^0 \rightarrow f_0 K^0_S$ (Flatte) 60 0.6 • $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(980) \pi (RBW)$ 50 • $B^0 \rightarrow K^*(1430) \pi (LASS)$ 40 0.3 30 0.2 20 B⁰toy Introduced Non resonant (flat) 0.1 10 very recently. $B^0 \rightarrow f_x(1300) K_{S}^0$ (RBW) 04 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 See discussion 90 later on. 80 Other modes are included when 70 evaluating model uncertainty. 60 50 40 30 20 0.2 10 0.1

05

0.6

0.7

$K^- \pi^+$ Elastic Scattering : $I = \frac{1}{2}$ S-wave Amplitude

Subtract I = 3/2 Amplitude from Total S-wave {Total = |I = 1/2 > + 0.5 |I = 3/2 >}

Result consistent with elastic unitarity up to $\sim 1.5 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

Fit with coherent superposition of Effective Range and Resonant amplitudes

(Resonance parameters : $M \sim 1.435 \text{ GeV/c}^2$, $\Gamma \sim 0.279 \text{ GeV}$)

Possible radial excitation in 1.9 - 2.0 GeV region, elasticity ~ 0.35



Dalitz Analysis of the Decay
$$\eta_c \rightarrow K_S K \pi$$

and Study of the $K \pi$ (I= I/2) S-wave

documentation: BAD 1602, version 1



G. Hamel de Monchenault, Saclay BABAR France – 20 November 2006

Summary

- A fit of the Dalitz Plot has been performed
 - chi-squared per d-o-f ~ 1.14
- The DP is dominated by the K S-wave
 - fit fraction ~85%
- Kπ S-wave/D-wave interference described
- Higher $K\pi$ spectrum: imperfectly described
 - see in particular Y_4
- Structure at the crossing between the two K π S-waves around 1.450 GeV
 - interference with high-mass KK resonance?
 - the high mass KK region is poorly known...
- No $\kappa(800)$ seems necessary to fit the data
- Preliminary information on parameters of $K_0^*(1430)$ and $K_0^*(1950)$
- Fit in bins of $m(K\pi)$
 - phase: unstable results
 - missing a reference wave



At this point, difficult to conciliate present results with scattering data at low energy. Tentative cooperation with theorists (incl. Pr. M. Pennington) to refine the parameterization of the S-wave.

Including NR Component In the Model (I)

- The two existing results are not in agreement:
 - BAD1065 sets a strong limit on the BF (<2.1X10⁻⁶)
 - Belle uses a complex NR component, with big BF (19.6±3.1X10⁻⁶)

$$A_{NR}[K_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}] =$$

$$A_{\pi\pi}e^{-\alpha s_{13}}e^{i\phi(\pi\pi)} +$$

$$A_{K\pi}e^{-\alpha s_{13}}e^{i\phi(K\pi)} +$$

$$A_{K\pi}e^{-\alpha s_{23}}e^{i\phi(K\pi)}$$

$$s_{12} = m_{\pi\pi}^{2}; s_{13} = m_{K\pi^{-}}^{2}; s_{23} = m_{K\pi^{+}}^{2}$$



We do not plan to use this because:

Having a single alpha for Kpi and pipi looks arbitrary

Kpi s-wave is taken into account with LASS parametrization

This line shape will not propagate to the center of the DP as in our data

Our NR component will be flat in phase space.

We may use the Belle pi pi parametrisation for systematics.

$B^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi K_S$ Final State



- o A Dalitz plot with rich structures, apart from CP eigenstates, there are also flavor eigenstates.
- o $K^*(890)\pi$, $K^*_0(1430)\pi$ are important for testing QCD factorization and other schemes.
- o Can look for direct CP violation and test SU(3) symmetry in the $B \rightarrow PV$ decays
- o Interference with flavor
- eigenstates can help lift the degeneracy in the sin2 β measurement

Analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow \pi \pi K_S$ Dalitz Plot



Model Systematics (II)

Moderato

	Component	$\Delta(NLL)$	BF
Probes for	<i>f</i> ₂ (1270)	19.5	~ 3.8 %
Belle's	<i>f₀(1370)</i>	31.1	\sim 4.2 %
	ρ ⁰ (1450)	35.2	~ 13.1 %
	<i>f₀(1500)</i>	11.0	~ 1.6 %
	ρ ^ρ (1700)	7.6	~ 2.9 %
	<i>σ</i> (500)	8.1	<1%
	Non	127 to 131	~ 23.6 %
	resonant		

• *f2(1270), f0(1370),* ρ *0(1450)* : in fact we're after the "f_x(1300)"

• We plan to use the fx(1300) from the signal model in the K⁺ π ⁻ π ⁺ analysis

• ... There is a big issue with the NR ...

Model Systematics (III)

Significance of the non-resonant component calls for extra studies...

Moderato

- Kelly's analysis (BAD1065) set a strong limit on it (BF<5%)
- Belle uses a complicated NR component, with big BF
- Larger variations in fit result (wrt nominal fit) concern :
 - Signal yield : + 270 events
 - Generic charged (-50) and neutral (-90) B backgrounds
 - Continuum yield (-110)
 - Changes in the CP parameters:

Parameter	Typical Error on Toys	Change(with-without)	
Weak phase $(f_0 K_S^0)$	~15°	-13.3°	
Weak phase $(\rho^0 K_S^0)$	~18°	+6.5°	
Phase $(f_0 K_S^{0} / \rho^0 K_S^{0})$	~17°	+9.5°	
Phase (K*+ π -/ K*- π +)	~37°	+32.5°	

Looks bad...

Summary

- Time dependent Dalitz plot analysis of $K\pi\pi$ final states will open new windows on $b \rightarrow s$ penguin amplitudes and CKM constraints
- Many analysis issues related to the signal model
 - Resonant structure *needs* to be hardcoded (CPU issue)
 - Signal model systematics will be estimated by impact of adding/removing extra isobar components
 - Very large Kππ S-wave component
 - Is is ok to use LASS ?
 - What about the $m(K\pi) > 1.6$ GeV regions?
 - Can something else be considered?
 - The $\pi\pi$ component has additional sharp terms
 - Same issues
 - What is this signal in the center of the DP?
- Feedback is VERY welcome !

A Few sPlots in the DP Center (II)

