# **Recent KTeV Results on Rare** and LFV Decays

Arizona, UCLA, UCSD, Campinas, Chicago, Colorado, Elmhurst, Fermilab, Osaka, Rice, Rutgers, Sao Paolo, Virginia, Wisconsin

> Hogan Nguyen Fermilab

Electroweak Moriond 2007

## Outline

KTeV was constructed primarily to measure the direct CPV parameter  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ .

Ran in 1997 and 1999 at Fermilab.

Rich number of other physics topics from KTeV due to excellent detector acceptance to many rare K decay modes.

For this talk, I present recent KTeV measurements of:

- BR(  $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma$ ) "Dalitz decay"
- BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ ) and BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 e e \gamma$ )
- LFV limits on  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$  and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu e$



Large acceptance for nearly all neutral kaon decays

Spectrometer:  $\sigma(P)/P=0.38\% + 0.16\%P$ 

CsI calorimeter:  $\sigma(E)/E=0.45\% + 2\%/\sqrt{E}$ 

Transition Radiation Detector for additional particle-ID

Ran in 1997 and 1999 at Fermilab, with an exposure of  $\sim 10^{12}~K_L$  decays in the fiducial volume

A New Measurement of BR(  $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma$ ) "Dalitz decay"

An important engineering measurement for HEP. Many measurements use BR(  $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee_{\gamma}$ ) as a scale factor (ie. an external systematic error).

Current Measurements of BR(  $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma$ )/BR( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ )

 $(1.17 \pm 0.15)\%$ 27 events Budagov 1960 - JETP 11 $(1.166 \pm 0.047)\%$ 3071 events Samios 1961 - Phys. Rev. 121 $(1.25 \pm 0.04)\%$ ~10<sup>3</sup> events Schardt 1981 - Phys. Rev. D 23 $(1.213 \pm 0.030)\%$ PDG Average (2.5% relative uncertainty)

Theory

1.185% R. Dalitz 1951 – Proc. Phys. Soc. A64
1.196% D. Joseph 1960 – Nuovo Cimento 16
1.196% K. Mikaelian & J. Smith 1972 – Phys. Rev. D 5

# Analysis Technique

Signal Mode  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$   $/ \downarrow \downarrow$  $\gamma\gamma \gamma\gamma ee\gamma$ 

7 clusters in CsI

2 tracks, wellseparated in the drift chambers Reconstruction

Fully reconstructed

Choose among 15 photon pairings by requiring the 3 pions to have decayed at the same z position

Decay must occur in 40 m vacuum region

66,000 Dalitz Decays!

Normalization Mode

 $K_L → π^0 π^0 π^0 → 6γ$ 6 clusters in CsI Dalitz Signal

 $3\pi^0$  Normalization Mode



e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Track Separation Requirement to Ensure Good Acceptance Measurement



**Relative Acceptance** 



Good Match between Data and MC is essential

Shapes match to better than  $1.5\sigma$ 

Source of Systematic Error	Preliminary Uncertainty
Radiative Corrections	1.02%
Tracking Inefficiency	0.68%
Detector Material	0.37%
Accidentals	0.10%
Trigger Inefficiency	0.14%
Trigger 6 Prescale	<0.1%
Form Factor	0.07%
Photon Inefficiency	0.01%
Background	<0.1%
Cut Variations	<0.1%
Monte Carlo Statistics	0.19%
Total Systematic Error	1.32%

66,432 Dalitz events

0.39% relative statistical uncertainty

#### **Radiative Corrections**



Radiative corrections lowers the acceptance by ~5%

Width of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>**y** mass distribution disagrees with data

Conservatively assign a systematic error of ~1% of the acceptance

## New KTeV Measurement of BR( $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma$ )/BR( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ )



 $(1.1539 \pm 0.0045 \pm 0.0152)$  %

Consistent with previous measurements and with theory

Half the uncertainty of current PDG average

2.5 times better than best previous measurement

## Physics of $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$



Relevant for untangling the CP decomposition of  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 ee$  (related to  $ImV_{TD}$ )

- O(p<sup>4</sup>)  $\chi$ PT predicts BR ~ 10<sup>-6</sup> and small contribution to  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0$ ee. Prediction low by factor of 2-3.
- $O(p^6) \chi PT$  and the VMD parameter  $a_v$  can accommadate the measurements. Large contribution to  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 ee.$

## **Signature is 4 EM showers in CsI**

## **Underconstrained system !**

3 possible pairing of  $2\gamma$  to form  $\pi^0$ 

Use Kaon Mass constraint to create 3 possible hypothesis for the  $K_{\rm L}$  decay vertex location.

Select hypothesis with best possible  $\pi^0\mbox{ mass}$ 

Serious Background from  $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0} \rightarrow 6\gamma$ 



- reconstructs downstream
- bad cluster shapes

 $2 \text{ merged } \gamma$ 

#### $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$ Background from Published Result



Due to DATA/MC mismatch in the cluster shape variable, we underestimated the  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$  background in this publication.

#### Improved Simulation of the Cluster Shape Variable



Better Data/MC agreement in the tails. Result: Increased Background Level. Updated Result on BR( $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\gamma\gamma$ )



1982 events observed from full data set BR( $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\gamma\gamma$ ) = (1.30 ± 0.03(stat) ± 0.04(sys)) · 10<sup>-6</sup> Normalized to BR( $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ )

## BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ ) Systematic Uncertainties

Source of Uncertainty	Uncertainty (%)	
av dependence	1.5	
$3\pi^0$ background	1.3	
MC statistics		1.0
Normalization		0.9
Photon Shape	1.1	
Tracking Chambers	0.9	
$2\pi^0$ branching ratio	0.9	
Photon vetoes	0.9	
Kaon Energy	0.7	
Decay Vertex	0.4	
Total	2.9	

#### Measurement of BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^2 ee \gamma$ )

Similar Physics to  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$  but much easier event topology.

Can fully reconstruct event.



## **XPT Predictions**

- O(P<sup>4</sup>): 1.0 10<sup>-8</sup>
- O(P<sup>6</sup>): 2.4 10<sup>-8</sup> (PRD56, 1605)

KTeV published result [PRL87, 21801(2001)]

 $(2.34 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.13) \cdot 10^{-8}$ 

#### **Preliminary Result on BR(K**<sub>L</sub> $\rightarrow \pi^{e}e\gamma$ )





#### **Lepton Flavor Violating Decays**

LFV is permitted within SM by presence of neutrino mixing, but heavily suppressed. Therefore, LFV decays are sensitive to new physics.

LFV decays in the kaon sector is expected in many new scenarios.

Mass scale probed by LFV kaon decays through a hypothetical LFV vector boson X.

	<b>Branching Fraction Limit</b>	Mass Limit
$\overline{s}$ $X$ $u^+$ $e^-$	B(K <sub>L</sub> →µe) < $4.7 \cdot 10^{-12}$ PRL <b>81</b> , 5734 (1998)	150 TeV/c <sup>2</sup>
$\mathbf{x}$ $\mathbf{x}$ $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{u}^{+}}$ $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{u}^{+}}$	B(K <sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow \pi^{+} \mu^{+} e^{-}) < 1.3 \cdot 10^{-11}$ PRD 72, 012005 (2005)	31 TeV/c <sup>2</sup>
$\bar{s}$ $\bar{d}$ $\bar{d}$ $\bar{d}$	$B(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu  e) < 3.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$ (KTeV Preliminary)	37 TeV/c <sup>2</sup>

#### New KTeV Limits on Lepton Flavor Violating Decays

• KTeV has good sensitivity to a number of LFV decays

 $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\mu e$   $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\mu e$   $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \mu e \text{ (from } K_{L} \rightarrow 3\pi^{0}\text{)}$ New Preliminary Results

• KTeV's large kaon flux and well understood beam and detector make this an ideal environment to search for rare decays.

 $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\mu e$  decay signature is clean due to multiple constraints.

K

CsI

μ

Muon

Counters

 $\pi^0$ 

e

• Vertex location is constrained by charged tracks and  $2\pi^0$  mass constraint.

Identify electrons using E/p



- Identify muons by  $E_{\mu} > 8$  GeV/c with < 1 GeV in CsI, hits in  $\mu$  counters, and Transition Radiation Hits.
- Kaon Mass and Transverse Momentum Constraint

## Backgrounds

•  $K_L \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$  with 4 accidental photons

•  $K_L \rightarrow \pi v e$  with 4 accidental photons and the  $\pi$  misidentified as a  $\mu$ 

•  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0_{ D}$  with accidental  $\mu$ 

The square of the  $\pi^0$ momentum in the  $K_L$  rest frame is a good discriminant against this background.



#### Likelihood Distribution for $K_{L} \twoheadrightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\mu e$

- Blind analysis
- $\bullet$  Signal region defined by  $p_{\rm t}{}^2$  and kaon mass
- Final cuts made on the likelihood variable based on PDFs of the  $p_t^2$  and kaon mass.
- Signal acceptance ~2% after all cuts.
- Cut at 10 preserves 95% of the signal events remaining after all cuts.



### **Background Estimate**

- We don't trust the MC to estimate background to 1 part in 10<sup>10</sup>. Use data.
- Relax kinematic, PID, and accidental cuts, and fit for background in the likelihood distribution.
- Determine suppression factors of each of the cuts.
- Final background estimate is suppressed by the cuts. However, technique works if the cuts are relatively independent.

Cut set	Suppression factor
Kinematic	0.092 ± 0.016
Particle ID	0.273 ± 0.024
Accidental	0.261 ± 0.024
Kinematic * ID (actual)	$0.012 \pm 0.009$
Kinematic * ID (estimated)	0.025 ± 0.005
Kinematic * Accidental (actual)	0.025 ± 0.009
Kinematic * Accidental (estimated)	0.024 ± 0.005
ID * Accidental (actual)	0.077 ± 0.015
ID * Accidental (estimated)	0.071 ± 0.009

## Result for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$

#### *Expected background* = $0.44 \pm 0.12$ events

Box opened

No events in signal or blind region



**PRELIMINARY** result using Feldman-Cousins method BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$ ) < 1.58 x 10<sup>-10</sup> (90% CL)  $KL \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0_{\ D}$  used as Normalization Mode

#### $\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu \mathbf{e} \quad \text{from} \quad \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}} \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

Identical to  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$  analysis. Just add cut on  $M_{\mu e}$ *Expected background* = 0.03 ± 0.02 events

Box opened. No events in signal or blind region.

PRELIMINARY result using Feldman-Cousins method BR( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu e$ ) < 3.63 x 10<sup>-10</sup> (90% CL)

Previous results

 $BR(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu e) < 1.72 \times 10^{-8}$  (90% CL)PL B320 407 (94) $BR(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ e^-) < 3.8 \times 10^{-10}$  (90% CL)PRL 85 2450 (00) $BR(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^- e^+) < 3.4 \times 10^{-9}$  (90% CL)PRL 85 2877 (00)

#### **Summary**

Preliminary measurements of:

• BR(  $\pi^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma$ )/BR( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ )

 $(1.1539 \pm 0.0045 \pm 0.0152)$  %

• BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ ) and BR( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 e e \gamma$ )

BR(K<sub>L</sub> → $\pi^{0}\gamma\gamma$ ) = (1.30 ± 0.03(stat) ± 0.04(sys)) · 10<sup>-6</sup> BR(K<sub>L</sub> → $\pi^{0}ee\gamma$ ) = (1.90 ± 0.16 ± 0.12) · 10<sup>-8</sup>

• LFV limits on  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$  and  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu e$ 

**BR**( $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$ ) < 1.58 x 10<sup>-10</sup> (90% CL) **BR**( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu e$ ) < 3.63 x 10<sup>-10</sup> (90% CL)

## **Backup Slides**

## Analysis Cuts for $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \mu e$

- Z vertex between 96 and 155 m. X & Y vertex inside CsI beam holes.
- Difference between charged and neutral vertices less than 2.5 m.
- Square of  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  momentum in K rest frame between 0 and 0.025 (GeV/c)^2
- $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  masses between 0.132 and 0.138 GeV/c²
- E/p for electron between 0.95 and 1.05
- TRD signal for  $\mu$  track is not consistent with electron (prob<sub> $\mu$ </sub> > 0.015)
- Fusion  $\chi^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$  < 10 for electron and neutral clusters (eliminates overlapping clusters)
- $\mu$  momentum > 8 GeV.  $\mu$  energy < 1 GeV in CsI.
- Exactly 5 in-time clusters above 2 GeV in CsI.
- < 0.3 GeV in photon veto counters.
- < 15 GeV in beam veto counter.
- < 3 extra in-time drift chamber hit pairs.

Kinematic cuts Particle ID Cuts Accidental cuts