# SM Higgs boson at LHC: recent developments

Alexey Drozdetskiy

# (for CMS and ATLAS collaborations)



### working colliders and... not yet working ones...



while some are working hard...

...others trying to walk...



...for all to remember something in the future...





Moriond EWK '07. Alexey Drozdetskiy, University of Florida

Focus on recent (published) developments in SM Higgs search at LHC strategies

### Benchmark LHC luminosities

- ▷ 2008:  $\int L \sim 0.1 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- ▷ 2009:  $\int L \sim 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

### Emphasis on "discovery-channels"

 $\triangleright$  discovery ≥5σ significance

### ▷ MC Generators:

▷ PYTHIA, CompHEP, Alpgen, MadGraph, TopRex, MC@NLO, ...

### Cross sections:

- CMS: NLO K-factors and dynamic event re-weighting used in most analyses
  - ▷ for backgrounds: when available
- ▷ ATLAS: mostly LO (often more conservative)
- ▷ [Mostly] full detector simulation and reconstruction
- Systematics included for most recent analyses

### SM Higgs: discovery signatures at L=30 fb<sup>-1</sup>



	H→bb	Η→ττ	Н→үү	H→WW	$H \rightarrow ZZ$
inclusive			YES	YES	YES
qqH		YES		YES	YES
W/Z+H					
ttH					

- ▷ filled boxes: detailed analysis available
- > YES: sure discovery at  $\int L < 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  in the appropriate masses range

10..17 March '07

# Н→үү

# CMS Analysis

- > narrow mass peak
- $\triangleright K_{\rm NLO}$
- background from side-bands
  - > stat. & syst. uncertainties of the fit
- > sort photons into quality categories
  - ▷ shower shape
  - $\triangleright$  η-regions
- > Cut-based analysis
- NN based analysis
  - background: training on side bands
  - (a la real data)
  - ▷ signal: training with MC
  - > treating separately each event
- ▷ Discovery  $M_{\rm H}$ <130 at  $\int L \le 10 {\rm fb}^{-1}$

# ▷ ATLAS (2006 update):

- ResBos NLO MC generator was used for signal and irreducible bckg
- improved (vs. ATLAS TDR) reach reported



Results



### qqH, ttH, W/Z+H with $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

# ▷ CMS Analyses

- > photon fakes rejection
  - $\triangleright$  NN usage (for  $\pi^0$  rejection)

overall improvements vs. previous ATLAS/CMS studies

# ▷ Results

- $\triangleright$  ~3 $\sigma$  W/Z+H, H $\rightarrow$  $\gamma\gamma$  for 60fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $\triangleright$  ~3 $\sigma$  ttH, H $\rightarrow$ yy for 100fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $\triangleright$  ...all behind inclusive H $\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$ , but

important for coupling measurements





### $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 212\nu$

120

100

80

60

### **CMS** Analysis

counting experiment (no mass peak)

 $\triangleright$  special treatment of low  $E_{T}$  jet fakes from W+jets

background normalization (mostly) from data

- $\triangleright$  systematic uncertainty  $\downarrow$
- $\triangleright$  statistical error penalty  $\uparrow$
- $\triangleright$  special treatment in 2e2v analysis for low  $M_{\rm H}$  < 160 GeV
  - ▷ improvements in e-reco (→better W+jets rejection)
- $\triangleright$  2µ2v analysis
- $\triangleright$  K<sub>NLO</sub>(p<sub>T</sub><sup>WW</sup>) events re-weighting ▷ signal and WW background
- Discovery:
  - ▷ 1fb  $\rightarrow$  M<sub>H</sub>~165 GeV
  - $10 \text{fb} \rightarrow M_{\text{H}} \sim 150..180 \text{ GeV}$  $\triangleright$



# qqH, H $\rightarrow$ WW/tt $\rightarrow$ ll/lj(j)

dơ/dM<sub>T</sub>(fb/10 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

0.5

0.25



# **ATLAS** Analysis

- forward jet tagging, lepton(s)
- central jet and b-tag veto, MET  $\triangleright$
- counting experiment
- background from control sample
  - signal:  $12 < m_u < 40 \text{ GeV}$
  - control sample:  $m_{e\mu}$  >60 GeV

# Features

- $qqH \rightarrow qqWW$  is better than inclusive  $H \rightarrow WW$ 
  - $\triangleright$  CMS: m<sub>H</sub>=150..180 inclusive is better than qq
- CMS: longer list of investigated  $\triangleright$

backgrounds (+dedicated generators)

and systematic uncertainties, plus

full simulation/reconstruction is used



### W+H, H $\rightarrow$ WW

### ▷ CMS Analysis

### $\triangleright$ selection

- ▷ 3 isolated leptons
- ▷ (b-)jet veto
- $\triangleright$  Z veto (M(ll)-M<sub>Z</sub> > 25 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)
- angular cuts (against WWW continuum)
- > more topological cuts
- background from data

# ▷ Results

- $\triangleright$  5 $\sigma$  discovery with 100fb<sup>-1</sup> in m<sub>H</sub>=155..175 GeV
- ▷ (WH coupling)<sup>2</sup> measurements
- one more motivation for this [relatively low significance] channel is to check for a fermiophobic Higgs boson model for which
   95% C.L. is possible with <30fb<sup>-1</sup> for the whole region: from LEP exclusion (114 GeV) to 175 GeV





### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 41$

# > CMS Analysis

 $\triangleright$  K<sub>NLO</sub>(M<sub>41</sub>)

### $\triangleright$ cuts

 $\triangleright$  M<sub>41</sub>-mass dependent and "flat" cuts

- > ZZ is the only significant background
- $(Z=Z,Z^*,\gamma^*)$

b long list of considered backgrounds

control samples

 side bands (penalty: low statistics, complicated background shape)

Z-peak (Z- and ZZ-production are similar,
 Z-production is much used as a reference process)

muon reconstruction and isolation
 efficiencies will be measured from data
 (Z-production)

b full treatment of systematic errors



#### Luminosity Needed for $5\sigma$ Significance



### ttH, H→bb

### $\triangleright$ bb is dominant decay mode up to M<sub>H</sub>=135 GeV آ صا

- direct  $H \rightarrow bb$  is hopeless (QCD)
- ttH,  $H \rightarrow$  bb investigated

# $\triangleright$ Analysis, ATLAS:

- semi-leptonic mode considered
- backgrounds considered:
  - $\triangleright$  ttbb, ttjj, ttZ
- systematic uncertainty estimated 10%
- TDR vs. 2003 paper (changes in significance, S)
  - $\triangleright$  more realistic backgrounds generation, S $\downarrow$
  - $\triangleright$  collinear approximation, S<sup>†</sup>
  - likelihood methods, S↑  $\triangleright$
  - ▷ ... "restored" TDR level performance

# CMS (2006):

- combined all channels:  $e/\mu/ll/hadronic$
- larger list of systematics
- **full(!)** simulation+reconstruction (b-tagging, jet E-scale/resolution, ...)
- no way to control systematic errors found



### Summary on discovery reach



### Benchmark luminosities:

▷ 0.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>: exclusion limits will start carving into SM Higgs x-section
 ▷ 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>: discoveries become
 possible if M<sub>H</sub>~170 GeV
 ▷ 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>: SM Higgs is discovered

(or excluded) in full range



Words of caution: Significance re-weighting

- Significance of n×σ discovery should be re-evaluated (degraded) when a "sliding hypothesis" is used
- ▷ The larger the range and narrower the peak, the greater effect is
- ▷ Results for SM H→ZZ→4l search in  $M_{\rm H}$ =115..600 GeV range
  - (NOTE: don't depend on background shape or integral number of background events)



### Higgs mass, width, and production cross section

# $\triangleright$ H $\rightarrow$ yy

measurements of mass: 0.1-0.2% for 30fb<sup>-1</sup>

### $\triangleright$ results for H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ 4 $\mu$

mass measurements: ~0.1-5% for 30fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\triangleright$ 

in the full range

- direct width measurement for large  $M_{\rm H}$ 
  - $\triangleright$  M<sub>H</sub>>190 GeV, ~35% precision





### Higgs parameters measurements: CP

### ▷ ATLAS (2003):

 $\triangleright$  1<sup>+</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup> can be ruled out for 100fb<sup>-1</sup> for masses >230 GeV

# ▷ CMS (2006)

▷ 0<sup>-</sup> might be ruled out with ~60fb<sup>-1</sup>
 for masses >200 GeV depending
 on mixing parameter value





### Higgs parameters measurements: couplings

## ▷ Couplings, ATLAS (2003):

▷ (assuming only the known SM particles couple to the Higgs boson)
▷ g<sup>2</sup>(H,t)/g<sup>2</sup>(H,W) precision is
~15-30% for 300fb<sup>-1</sup> (~20-70% for 30fb<sup>-1</sup>)



### ▷ Standard Model Higgs at LHC:

- $\triangleright$  discoveries may be *expected* already at L~1 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- SM Higgs, if that's all we have, is *expected* to be discovered by the time we reach L~10 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $\triangleright$  should be able to measure Higgs with L~30 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\triangleright$  mass with ~0.1% precision
  - $\triangleright\,$  width with ~30% (M\_{\rm H} > 190 GeV) precision
- $\triangleright~$  should be able to establish spin/CP quantum numbers for  $M_{\rm H}{>}200~GeV$  with L~100 fb^-1
- $\triangleright~$  should be able to measure couplings with 5-20% percents precision starting with L~300 fb^{-1}

# Backup slides

### Backgrounds considered

### $\triangleright$ CMS, H $\rightarrow$ $\gamma\gamma$ :

- $\triangleright$  pp $\rightarrow$ yy: born and box
- ▷  $pp \rightarrow \gamma$ +jet: (2 prompt) and (1 prompt + 1 fake)
- ⊳ pp→jets (di-jet events)
- $\triangleright$  CMS: H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ 212 $\nu$ 
  - $\triangleright$  WW, tt, Wt(b), WZ, ZZ, gg $\rightarrow$ WW (box, dedicated generator)
- $\triangleright$  CMS: H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ 41
  - ▷ ZZ, tt, Zbb

> +gen. level estimations for: single-top, multi-boson, bbbb, bbcc, cccc, Zcc

### ▷ ATLAS: qqH, H $\rightarrow$ WW $\rightarrow$ 212v

⊳ tt, WWjj, Wt

## ▷ ATLAS: qqH, H $\rightarrow$ ττ

⊳ Zjj, tt

 $\triangleright$  CMS: QCD 2t+2/3jets, EW 2t+2jets, W+jets, tt

## $\triangleright$ ATLAS: ttH, H $\rightarrow$ bb

- ⊳ ttjj, ttbb
- $\triangleright$  CMS: ttbb, tt+1/2/3/4jets, ttZ, QCD (120-170, >170 GeV p<sub>T</sub>^{hat} bins)