



Neutralino dark matter in the NMSSM

Ana M. Teixeira (LPT - Orsay)



XLII Rencontres de Moriond La Thuile, Italy, 14 March 2007

In collaboration with Cerdeño, Gabrielli, Hugonie, López-Fogliani and Muñoz Discussion in **JHEP 0412** (2004) 048 and **hep-ph/0701271**

A good dark matter candidate - WIMPs

Plan: Introducing the NMSSM

NMSSM dark matter: results and discussion

A good dark matter candidate

- No emission/absorption of electromagnetic radiation (any λ)
- Gravitational interactions on array of scales

tiny dwarf galaxies, large spirals (Milky Way), clusters of galaxies ...

Correct relic density

Cosmological observations \Rightarrow WMAP: $0.095 \leq \Omega_{dark} h^2 \leq 0.112$ Astrophysical bounds $\Omega_{\text{TOTAL}} = \Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_{\text{matter}} = 1.02 \pm 0.02$ $\Rightarrow~0.1 \lesssim ~\Omega_{
m dark}~h^2~\lesssim 0.3$ $\Omega_{\rm dark} \approx 25 \% \Omega_{\rm TOTAL}$

- ► Cold dark matter requires candidate from physics beyond SM^{νR} Arise in well-motivated models (SUSY, Large Extra Dimensions, etc)
- Phenomenologically viable \Rightarrow low-energy scenario compatible with Other accelerator constraints: B- and K-decays, $(g-2)_{\mu}$, ... LEP/Tevatron bounds: direct searches, precision measurements, etc

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles

The most promising (non-baryonic) cold dark matter candidates

- WIMPs: SUSY $(\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{G}, \tilde{a})$ Extra scalar fields (little Higgs model, N=2 SUSY, LEDs,...) Heavy 4th generation neutrino (ruled out for m < 1.5 TeV)
- WIMPs arise in well motivated extensions of the standard model
- Stable particles, no electromagnetic interactions; WIMP weak matter
- WIMP masses typically lie in the range 10 GeV up to a few TeV
- If WIMPs do indeed fulfil above conditions \rightarrow correct relic abundance

observation of WIMP-matter scattering in a detector WIMP signal: excess of recoil events above expected background WIMP direct detection (indirect detection also possible...) WIMP dark matter can be detectable via \wedge scattered particle target crystal

recoiling nucleus

$W = Y_u H_2 Q u + Y_d H_1 Q d + Y_e H_1 L e - \lambda S H_1 H_2 + \frac{1}{3} \kappa S^3$ $-\mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}^{\text{Higgs}} = m_{H_i}^2 H_i^* H_i + m_S^2 S^* S + (-\lambda A_\lambda S H_1 H_2 + \frac{1}{3} \kappa A_\kappa S^3 + \text{H.c.}$
⇒ Less severe "Higgs - little fine tuning problem" of the MSSM ⇒ Formally ⇒ Formally $\Rightarrow formally$ $\Rightarrow formally.$
$\mu H_1 H_2 \rightarrow \lambda S H_1 H_2 \Rightarrow Dynamically generated \mu: \mu_{eff} = \lambda \langle S \rangleScale-invariant superpotential: EW, SUSY scale only appearing via \mathcal{L}_{soft}$
\Rightarrow Elegant solution to the $\mu ext{-problem}$ of the MSSM
Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model
Add singlet superfield S to the MSSM
SUSY dark matter beyond the MSSM - the NMSSM

Neutralino sector: < Neutral Higgs sector: Very light singlet- (e.g. reduced coupling the coupling of the coupling the coupling of the couplin	MSSM neutralino dark matter 5 Majorana fermions $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} = N_{11}\tilde{B}^{0} + N_{12}\tilde{W}_{3}^{0} + N_{13}\tilde{H}_{1}^{0} + N_{14}\tilde{H}_{2}^{0} + N_{15}\tilde{S}$ 2 pseudoscalar and 3 scalar bosons $h_{1}^{0} = S_{11}H_{1}^{0} + S_{12}H_{2}^{0} + S_{13}S$ like Higgs and singlino-like $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ can escape detection ing to Z boson) \Rightarrow experimentally viable
Very light singlet- (e.g. reduced coupl	like Higgs and singlino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ can escape detection ing to Z boson) \Rightarrow experimentally viable
Implications for Da	rk Matter: Neutralino-nucleon cross section $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$
$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	liggs -exchange + Squark-exchange (spin-independent) $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}-p \propto \alpha_{3i}^{h} = \sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{1}{m_{h_{0}}^{2}} C_{Y}^{i} \operatorname{Re} [C_{HL}^{a}]$
$q \rightarrow h_i^0$	$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{HL}^{a} &= 2\{-g\left(N_{12}^{*} - \tan\theta_{W}N_{11}^{*}\right)\left(S_{a1}N_{13}^{*} - S_{a2}N_{14}^{*}\right) + \\ &+ \sqrt{2}\lambda\left[S_{a3}N_{13}^{*}N_{14}^{*} + N_{15}^{*}\left(S_{a2}N_{13}^{*} + S_{a1}N_{14}^{*}\right)\right] - \sqrt{2}\kappaS_{a3}N_{15}^{*}N_{15}^{*}\} \\ \gamma_{V}^{1(2)} &= -\frac{gm_{u}(d)}{2M_{v}}S_{a2(1)} \end{aligned}$
Exchange of light	Higgs (not pure singlet) \Rightarrow enhancement to $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$

Neutralino sector:
$$\begin{cases} 5 \text{ Majorana fermions} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} = N_{11}\tilde{B}^{0} + N_{12}\tilde{W}_{3}^{0} + N_{13}\tilde{H}_{1}^{0} + N_{14}\tilde{H}_{2}^{0} + N_{15}\tilde{S} \\ \end{cases}$$
Neutral Higgs sector:
$$\begin{cases} 2 \text{ pseudoscalar and 3 scalar bosons} \\ h_{1}^{0} = S_{11}H_{1}^{0} + S_{12}H_{2}^{0} + S_{13}S \\ \end{cases}$$
Very light singlet-like Higgs and singlino-like $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ can escape detection (e.g. reduced coupling to Z boson) \Rightarrow experimentally viable
$$\frac{\text{Implications for Dark Matter: Neutralino relic density } \Omega h^{2} \\ \text{New open channels! Additional resonances!} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \longrightarrow h_{1}^{0} \\ \text{Light } h_{1}^{0}, h_{1}^{0}h_{1}^{0}, h_{1}^{0}a_{1}^{0}, \dots \begin{cases} s - \text{channel: } Z, h_{1}^{n}, a_{1}^{0} \\ s - \text{channel: } Z, h_{1}^{n}, a_{1}^{0} \end{cases}$$

NMSSM neutralino dark matter

In general, large $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$ are associated with Ωh^2 below observed values

 $t-{\sf channel}:\; ilde{\chi}^0_1\;\;{\sf exchange}$

Exploring the NMSSM parameter space

- $\mbox{Unconstrained low energy NMSSM} \quad \lambda\,,\,\kappa\,,\,\mu(=\lambda s)\,,A_{\lambda}\,,A_{\kappa}\,,\,M_{1}\,,M_{2}\,,\,M_{{\sf SUSY}}\ {\sf free} \label{eq:Unconstrained}$
- Minimisation of the potential [exclusion of over 2/3 of parameter space]
- Absence of Landau poles for λ , κ , Y_t and Y_b below $M_{\rm GUT}$
- Computation of the NMSSM spectrum

Higgs, chargino and neutralino masses and mixings; couplings

Experimental constraints

Neutral Higgs: Constraints on production rates (all LEP channels) Neutralino: Γ_Z^{inv} , direct production $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_i \tilde{\chi}^0_j)$; Bounds on $m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_1}$ and m_{H^+} Muon anomalous magnetic moment \Rightarrow SUSY contributions to saturate a_{μ}^{exp} Rare *B*- and *K*-meson decays \Rightarrow SUSY contributions to BR $(b \rightarrow s\gamma)!$ NMHDECAY

- Neutralino-nucleon cross section comparison with detector sensitivities Interested in NMSSM-like scenarios (light $h_1^0, \ ilde{\chi}_1^0$) inducing large $\sigma_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$
- - Cosmological constraints: Ωh^2 compatibility (astro & WMAP) MicrOMEGAS

★ In general likely to exhibit large $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0 - p}$! But to which extent can we find viable DM scenarios in this limit?	$\Rightarrow \text{ In the parameter space generated by the new couplings in } W_{\text{NMSS}}$ $(\lambda - \kappa): \begin{cases} \text{Singlino-like } \tilde{\chi}_1^0 & \leftrightarrow \text{ small } \kappa/\lambda \end{cases}$	\Rightarrow Low $ aneta$, small μ ($\lesssim M_1$), small A_{λ}	★ Typically found for	& lightest neutralino has large singlino component	Lightest Higgs is not doublet-like (important singlet composition)	★ Regimes where singlet-singlino components are "active"	Looking for NMSSM-like dark matter scenarios
--	--	--	-----------------------	--	--	--	--



Cosmological constraints

An example: $\tan \beta = 5$, $A_{\lambda} = 400$ GeV, $A_{\kappa} = -200$ GeV, $\mu = 130$ GeV $M_1 = 160 \text{ GeV}$

[GUT-relation for M_i , compatible with BR($b \rightarrow s\gamma$), a_{μ}]

 Ωh^2 : spectrum of $\tilde{\chi}^0$, h^0



 $\mu \lesssim M_1$, Higgsino-singlino $ilde{\chi}_1^0$ **MSSM-like** scenarios (WMAP) **NMSSM-like** scenarios: doublet-like h_1^0 , bino-Higgsino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

WMAP (•) / astrophysical (•) bound: large \tilde{S} component, light $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

Kinematically forbid $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow Z, W, h_1^0$

Compatible region close to tachyon border: Light, singlet-like Higgs **NMSSM-like** dark matter scenarios \Rightarrow excellent prospects for direct detection



 \Rightarrow Resonances appear as funnels in $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$ (e.g. $m_{\chi_1^0} = M_Z/2$, $m_{\chi_1^0} = m_{h_1^0}/2$)

Conclusions

- Neutralino dark matter in the NMSSM
- * Thourough analysis of the **low-energy NMSSM** parameter space
- \star Include LEP, meson decays, a_{μ} and astrophysical constraints
- \star Computed **theoretical predictions** for $\sigma_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$
- Stringent constraints on the NMSSM parameter space
- \star Enhancing a_{μ} favours small slepton and gaugino masses
- * Potentially large contributions to $BR(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$ (H^{\pm} mediated)
- $\star \Omega h^2$: light neutralinos (kinematically unaccessible channels);
- singlino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (suppress annihilations)
- Prospects for direct detection of NMSSM $ilde{\chi}_1^0$ dark matter
- * Large values of $\sigma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0-p}$ attainable, within reach of present detectors
- \star Exchange of light singlet-like Higgses in *t*-channel ($m_{h_1^0} \sim 50$ GeV)
- \star Light, singlino-Higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ characteristic of NMSSM

Additional slides

Minimisation of $V_{
m neutral}^{
m Higgs}$ - the NMSSM parameter space

Ensuring minimum of $V_{
m neutral}^{
m Higgs}$ with respect to the phases of the VEV's:

 \Rightarrow excludes combinations of signs for the parameters

Conventions: $\tan \beta$, λ positive; s, κ , A_{λ} , $A_{\kappa} \in$

For $\kappa > 0$, minima possible if

(i) $\operatorname{sign}(s) = \operatorname{sign}(A_{\lambda}) = -\operatorname{sign}(A_{\kappa})$

(ii) $\operatorname{sign}(s) = -\operatorname{sign}(A_{\lambda}) = -\operatorname{sign}(A_{\kappa})$, with $|A_{\kappa}| > 3\lambda v_1 v_2 |A_{\lambda}| / (-|sA_{\lambda}| + \kappa |s^2|)$ For $\kappa < 0$, minima possible if (iii) $\operatorname{sign}(s) = \operatorname{sign}(A_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{sign}(A_{\kappa})$, with $|A_{\kappa}| < 3\lambda v_1 v_2 |A_{\lambda}| / (|sA_{\lambda}| + \kappa |s^2|)$

(iv) $\operatorname{sign}(s) = \operatorname{sign}(A_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{sign}(A_{\kappa})$, with $|A_{\kappa}| > 3\lambda v_1 v_2 |A_{\lambda}| / (|sA_{\lambda}| - \kappa |s^2|)$

In addition three minimization conditions for the Higgs VEV's:

$$m_{H_1}^2, \ m_{H_2}^2, \ m_S^2 = f(\lambda, \ \kappa, \ A_\lambda, \ A_\kappa, \ v_1, \ v_2, \ s)$$

$$\begin{split} \text{NMSSM: } \widehat{\chi}^0 \text{ and scalar Higgs mass matrices} \\ \text{CP-even Higgs} & \text{CP-odd Higgs} \\ \begin{array}{ll} & \mathcal{M}_{2,11}^2 = M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta + \lambda s \tan\beta(A_\lambda + \kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,22}^2 = M_Z^2 \sin^2\beta + \lambda s \cot\beta(A_\lambda + \kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,33}^2 = 4\kappa^2 s^2 + \kappa A_\kappa s + \frac{\lambda}{s} A_\lambda v_1 v_2 \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,12}^2 = \lambda^2 (2\kappa + \frac{A_\lambda}{2s}) \sin 2\beta - \lambda s (A_\lambda + \kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,12}^2 = \lambda (2\kappa + \frac{A_\lambda}{2s}) v^2 \sin 2\beta - 3\kappa A_\kappa s \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,12}^2 = \lambda (2\kappa + \frac{A_\lambda}{2s}) v^2 \sin 2\beta - 3\kappa A_\kappa s \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,13}^2 = 2\lambda^2 v_2 s - \lambda v_1 (A_\lambda + 2\kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,13}^2 = 2\lambda^2 v_2 s - \lambda v_1 (A_\lambda + 2\kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,13}^2 = 2\lambda^2 v_2 s - \lambda v_1 (A_\lambda + 2\kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{2,13}^0 = 2\lambda^2 v_2 s - \lambda v_1 (A_\lambda + 2\kappa s) \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = S_{ab} H_b^0 \\ & \mathbf{M}_{\alpha} = S_{ab} H_b^0 \\ & \mathbf{M}_{\alpha} = S_{ab} H_b^0 \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_2 \sin\theta W \cos\beta & M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & -M_Z \sin\theta W \cos\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & 0 & -\lambda s \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\theta & -M_Z \cos\theta W \sin\beta \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\beta \\ & M_Z \sin\theta W \sin\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 &$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\lambda v_2 \\ -\lambda v_1 \\ 2\kappa_s \end{pmatrix}$

On the experimental constraints:

- LEP: direct bounds on masses of H^{\pm} , $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$, \tilde{q} , \tilde{l} ;
- LEP: Invisible decay width of the Z boson: $Z \to \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_j^0$ and $Z \to h^0 a^0$;
- LEP: neutral Higgs (all LEP channels):
- $e^+e^- \to h^0 a^0 \text{ (APM) } [h^0 a^0 \to \{4b's, 4\tau's, 6b's\}];$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow h^0 Z$ (DHDM) $[h^0 \rightarrow \{b\bar{b}, \tau^+\tau^-, 2 \text{ jets}, \gamma\gamma, \text{ inv}\}];$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow h^0 Z$ (IHDM);
- K-meson decays: Light a_1^0 indirect contributions: $K \overline{K}$ mixing;
- *B*-meson decays

Light a_1^0 indirect contributions to $B - \bar{B}$ mixing, $B \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, $B \to X_s \mu^+ \mu^-, B^- \to K^- \nu \bar{\nu}, B \to K^0_S X^0;$

 $b \rightarrow s\gamma$: NLO contributions (only LO SUSY contributions to Wilson coeffs.); Direct production (large $\tan \beta$) via $b \to sa^0$, $B \to Ka^0$, and $B \to \pi a^0$;

• $a_{\mu} = (g_{\mu} - 2).$