L'Intelligence Artificielle à la rescousse du boson de Higgs

2$^{\text{ème}}$ partie

David Rousseau

LAL-Orsay, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Sud/Paris-Saclay

rousseau@lal.in2p3.fr , twitter: @dphmrou

Apprentissage profond

Apprentissage profond



Optimal stimulus
of a given neuron
Google 2012
http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.6209

~1950
1990 chiffres manuscrits
2000 deep learning explosion



GoogLeNet
ILSVRC 2014 Winner
4M parameters

Convolution
Pooling
Softmax
Other

1402.4735 Baldi, Sadowski, Whiteson







- Recherche d'un Higgs rare : H^0➔WWbb vs tt➔WWbb
- Simulation simplifiée d'événements LHC
- Variables de bas niveau
  - Quadri-vecteur particules
- Variables de haut niveau
  - Masses invariantes, angles etc…
- ➔DNN (Deep Neural Network) marche mieux que réseau simple
- ➔DNN n'a pas besoin de variables de haut niveau
- ➔le DNN apprend la physique ????



1410.3469 Baldi Sadowski Whiteson

- Analyse H tautau : H➔tautau vs Z➔tautau
  - Bas niveau
  - Haut niveau



- DNN marche mieux que réseau simple
- Mais cette fois il a besoin de variables de haut niveau !
- Ca se complique…
- Pour l'instant, pas de résultat de physique utilisant DNN sauf ...

Un succès du Deep Learning : NOVA



NOVA (2)

arXiv 1604.01444 Aurisano et al



40% ε improvement

Neutrino interaction classification

Using Convolutionnal Neural Network (GoogleNet)

Actually used in physics results 1703.03328 and 1706.04592

Réseau de Neurones Convolutifs (CNN)





Higgs Lourd



Bruit de fond

Tracking challenge

https://sites.google.com/site/trackmlparticle/

https://www.kaggle.com/c/trackml-particle-identification

https://twitter.com/trackmllhc

L’enjeu

- LHC en 2025
- Augmentation du nombre de collisions de proton
- ➔superposition d’événements ~200 (contre ~50 maintenant)
- ➔événements tres complexe
- En particulier pour la trajectographie : relier les points
- Algorithmes actuels ~10 fois trop lents





Mais :
- 3 dimensions
- 10’000 traces x 10 points

Pourquoi c’est difficile ?

- 100’000 points à grouper en 10’000 traces de 10 points
  - ➔~$10^{450'000}$ combinaisons
  - ⇒la “force brutale” n’a (vraiment) aucune chance
- Precision des points : ~50μm sur un volume ~40 m^3
  - ➔3 10^{14} voxels!
  - projection 2D ➔2 10^9 pixels !
  - ⇒ algorithme de traitement d’image n’ont (vraiment) aucune chance
- Pas un problème classique

Trajectographie (Tracking)







Tracking Machine Learning challenge

https://twitter.com/trackmllhc



Idée : mettre les points (x,y,z) sur le web

demander aux participants de relier les points

Objectif : obtenir de nouveaux algorithmes

- Accuracy phase sur Kaggle, uniquement la précision : avril-juin 2018
- Throughput phase (compétition officielle NIPS 2018) prévision + vitessse : septembre-octobre 2018

Dataset

- Hit file

(measured position mm)

	hit_id	x	y	z	volume_id	layer_id	module_id
0	1	-64.409897	-7.163700	-1502.5	7	2	1
1	2	-55.336102	0.635342	-1502.5	7	2	1
2	3	-83.830498	-1.143010	-1502.5	7	2	1
3	4	-96.109100	-8.241030	-1502.5	7	2	1
4	5	-62.673599	-9.371200	-1502.5	7	2	1

- Truth file

(true position mm particle momentum GeV)

	hit_id	particle_id	tx	ty	tz	tpx	tpy	tpz	weight
0	1	0	-64.411598	-7.164120	-1502.5	250710.000000	-149908.000000	-956385.00000	0.000000
1	2	22525763437723648	-55.338501	0.630805	-1502.5	-0.570605	0.028390	-15.49220	0.000010
2	3	0	-83.828003	-1.145580	-1502.5	626295.000000	-169767.000000	-760877.00000	0.000000
3	4	297237712845406208	-96.122902	-8.230360	-1502.5	-0.225235	-0.050968	-3.70232	0.000008
4	5	418835796137607168	-62.659401	-9.375040	-1502.5	-0.281806	-0.023487	-6.57318	0.000009


Made with Gifox

Visualisation spin-off

- Visit at CERN Tobias Isenberg visualisation scientist at LRI-Orsay with PhD student Xiyao Wang
- Will use TrackML dataset to experiment with visualisation/interaction with Microsoft’ Hololens

Leaderboard

#	△1w	Team Name	Kernel	Team Members	Score	Entries	Last
1	—	Edwin Steiner			0.8161	2	13d
2	▲ 2	demelian			0.8118	16	9h
3	▼ 1	outrunner			0.8070	1	1mo
4	▼ 1	yuval r			0.7726	19	5h
5	—	Mickey			0.7345	10	18d
6	—	Zidmie			0.7220	11	10d
7	▲ 3	On est les champions !!!!!			0.7065	10	2d
8	▼ 1	icecuber			0.7054	3	1mo
9	▼ 1	Vicens Gaitan			0.7049	13	6d
10	▼ 1	Félicitations à la France			0.6818	42	6d
11	—	Victor Nedel'ko			0.6653	4	10d
12	—	John Sweeney			0.6551	16	5d
13	▲ 3	trian2018			0.6274	23	21h
14	▼ 1	Seb B			0.6240	20	15d
15	▼ 1	Grzegorz Sionkowski			0.6200	22	25d
16	▼ 1	Andrea Lonza			0.6043	7	1mo


0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
best score (100 best teams)
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
days from start

Why challenges work ?

MOTIVATION OF ORGANIZING CONTESTS: EXTREME VALUE *Courtesy : Lakhani 2014*

Experts are highly skilled, trained - > more focused, performed solution, low variety



OI is suitable for a variety of nonconventional surprising ideas that are « far » from traditional expertise - > high volatility

Not just ML, but a general trend: Open Innovation

From domain to challenge and back



Generative Adversarial Network

Réseau Génératif Adversaire (Generative Adversarial Network GAN)



Condition GAN

Text to image



GAN pour la simulation de particules



Gain en temps de calcul x1000





Attention aux surprises

- https://twitter.com/goodfellow_ian/status/937406530743287808

La méthode scientifique

Publication scientifique

Phys.Rev.Lett. 114 (2015)191803

Combined Measurement of the Higgs Boson Mass in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS Experiments

(ATLAS Collaboration)[†]
(CMS Collaboration)[‡]
(Received 25 March 2015; published 14 May 2015)

A measurement of the Higgs boson mass is presented based on the combined data samples of the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the CERN LHC in the $H \to \gamma\gamma$ and $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$ decay channels. The results are obtained from a simultaneous fit to the reconstructed invariant mass peaks in the two channels and for the two experiments. The measured masses from the individual channels and the two experiments are found to be consistent among themselves. The combined measured mass of the Higgs boson is $m_H = 125.09 \pm 0.21\ (\text{stat}) \pm 0.11\ (\text{syst})$ GeV.

Incertitudes systématiques :
tout ce dont on n'est pas sur…
Et si ? Et si ? ... Les inconnues connues et inconnues jusqu'à ce que nos collègues soient convaincus

Biais expérimental



Pres de 500 termes de systématiatiques



FIG. 3 (color online). The impacts δm_H (see text) of the nuisance parameter groups in Table I on the ATLAS (left), CMS (center), and combined (right) mass measurement uncertainty. The observed (expected) results are shown by the solid (empty) bars.

>>>89-90: Where is this measurement documented in this note?
**That has now been finished and published, and references will be updated in the notes
JHEP 09 (2014) 079 arXiv:1407.5532

>>>116: Doesn't "3 GeV" contradict your previous statement that we cover a pT range "from 1 GeV..."
**No, those muons with pT~1 GeV and p~3 GeV are at high eta. But this is all irrelevant, as we only use muons with pT>4 GeV.

>>>116: I'm not sure what you mean when you say that they "require" the combined reconstruction. I would just say "Muons used in this analysis are reconstructed using a statistical combination of an MS track and ID track."
**Only the muons that have a successful statistical combination of an MS track and ID track are used in this analysis, the text is updated.

>>>128: what is fully efficient for signal candidates? It's not clear what the word "which" refers to.
**This related to the vertex quality criteria applied to the fit.

>>>142: Please mention the total number of di-muon candidates here.
**This is added to the text, 7.8M for 2011, and ~65M for 2012.

>>>171: could you provide more information about how the psi is assigned to a primary vertex? I'm surprised by your statement that "few" events contain multiple vertices; I thought pileup was a significant issue at 7 TeV.
** Not really. The only relevance the primary vertex has is to measure Lxy, which is measured in the transverse direction only, and hence is not changing much from one collision vertex to another. However, the determination of the primary vertex position depends on whether the two muon tracks were used in its fit or not, hence we need to know which vertex was it. But at 7 TeV there was not much ambiguity, the vertex which jpsi came from was almost always the main primary one. Studies from the 2011 Jpsi Phi analysis -- where vertex choice may have been an issued-- showed that there was no impact in the few cases of an incorrect choice of vertex.

>>>Fig 1: Do you understand the eta dependence of this plot? What is the z-axis -- number of events per bin? The bins are extremely small -- what size bins did you choose?
** Yes. This is the scatter plot of dimuon candidates. The x-axis is the absolute rapidity "y" of the dimuon candidate, with the structure roughly reflecting the (smeared) geometry of the muon chambers, with dips near/around the cracks and edges.
The z-axis is just the candidate yield, the bin-width in |y| is 5e-3 and in pT is 320 MeV

>>>228: The phi* definition is unclear to me, in particular what the "psi production" is. Do you mean the psi momentum vector?
**phi* is the angle between the psi production plane (defined by psi momentum and colliding proton

ue

Près de 200 pages et plusieurs semaines de questions/réponses avant l'approbation de la publication !

Trust but verify : de la théorie à l'expérience



Lisa Randall, Harvard

John Ellis, CERN



Ré-observation de particules connues



ML et erreur systématique

- Nos papiers expérimentaux se concluent souvent par
  - measure = m ± σ(stat) ± σ(syst)
  - σ(syst) erreur systématique : inconnues connues, inconnues inconnues
- On cherche a minimiser la somme quadratique:

  σ(stat) ±σ(syst)
- Technique ML standard minimise σ(stat)

❑ Comment indiquer au ML de minimiser σ(stat) ± σ(syst) ?

Effet systématique

Entrainement sur chiffres manuscrits. Effet de l’angle





Données

Simulation

Pouvons nous faire confiance aux simulations ?

Entrainement adversaire

Inspired from 1505.07818 Ganin et al :





ACAT 2017 Ryzhikov and Ustyuzhanin

Transfert de style

photo ⟶ Monet

Monet ⟶ photo

Apprentissage par renforcement

Apprentissage par renforcement



Alpha Zero : a appris tout seul contre lui-même en quelques jours à jouer (séparément) au Go, aux échecs ou aux échecs japonais, et bat tout le monde, homme ou machine

Application

- Conception expérience
- Prise de données
- Echec/succès ?



➔En pratique, pourrait être utilisable pour le tri rapide d'événements, facilement paramètrable et se prêtant bien a la virtualisation de l'expérience

Finalement…

Terrain de jeu des algorithmes IA





FIG. 1. A 63 million volt positron ($H\rho = 2.1 \times 10^5$ gauss-cm) passing through a 6 mm lead plate and emerging as a 23 million volt positron ($H\rho = 7.5 \times 10^4$ gauss-cm). The length of this latter path is at least ten times greater than the possible length of a proton path of this curvature.







Conclusion

- Intelligence Artificielle : beaucoup de promesses pour le boson de Higgs et la recherche scientifique
- Algorithmes très puissants
- Leur terrain de jeu s'aggrandit
- Jusqu'où…

- ... ça sera l'enjeu des prochaines années!



Un événement



La précision obtenue permet de distinguer les traces
venant de la collision intéressante de la 20aine de collision parasites
au court de la collision des mêmes paquets de protons

Jobs du physicien



- Augmenter la taille du pic
- Réduire sa largeur
- Réduire le fond
- Evaluer les incertitudes (le plus difficile!)

Job du LHC : augmenter la statistique, accompli au-delà des espérances

(~3000 signataires en annexe)

Physics Letters B 716 (2012) 1–29

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

ELSEVIER

Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC ☆

ATLAS Collaboration *

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our ATLAS colleagues who did not live to see the full impact and significance of their contributions to the experiment.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 31 July 2012
Received in revised form 8 August 2012
Accepted 11 August 2012
Available online 14 August 2012
Editor: W.-D. Schlatter

ABSTRACT

A search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in proton–proton collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC is presented. The datasets used correspond to integrated luminosities of approximately 4.8 fb^{-1} collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in 2011 and 5.8 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in 2012. Individual searches in the channels $H \to ZZ^{(*)} \to 4\ell$, $H \to \gamma\gamma$ and $H \to WW^{(*)} \to e\nu\mu\nu$ in the 8 TeV data are combined with previously published results of searches for $H \to ZZ^{(*)}$, $WW^{(*)}$, $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ in the 7 TeV data and results from improved analyses of the $H \to ZZ^{(*)} \to 4\ell$ and $H \to \gamma\gamma$ channels in the 7 TeV data. Clear evidence for the production of a neutral boson with a measured mass of 126.0 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.4 (sys) GeV is presented. This observation, which has a significance of 5.9 standard deviations, corresponding to a background fluctuation probability of 1.7×10^{-9}, is compatible with the production and decay of the Standard Model Higgs boson.

(~3000 signataires en annexe)

Physics Letters B 716 (2012) 30–61

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

ELSEVIER

Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC ☆

CMS Collaboration *

CERN, Switzerland

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleagues who worked on CMS but have since passed away. In recognition of their many contributions to the achievement of this observation.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 31 July 2012
Received in revised form 9 August 2012
Accepted 11 August 2012
Available online 18 August 2012
Editor: W.-D. Schlatter

Keywords:
CMS
Physics
Higgs

ABSTRACT

Results are presented from searches for the standard model Higgs boson in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in the Compact Muon Solenoid experiment at the LHC, using data samples corresponding to integrated luminosities of up to 5.1 fb^{-1} at 7 TeV and 5.3 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV. The search is performed in five decay modes: $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ, W^+W^-, $\tau^+\tau^-$, and $b\bar{b}$. An excess of events is observed above the expected background, with a local significance of 5.0 standard deviations, at a mass near 125 GeV, signalling the production of a new particle. The expected significance for a standard model Higgs boson of that mass is 5.8 standard deviations. The excess is most significant in the two decay modes with the best mass resolution, $\gamma\gamma$ and ZZ; a fit to these signals gives a mass of 125.3 ± 0.4(stat.) ± 0.5(syst.) GeV. The decay to two photons indicates that the new particle is a boson with spin different from one.

Beyond challenges : RAMP

- (Already mentioned for Anomaly Detection)
- Run by CDS Paris Saclay
- Main difference wrt to HiggsML:
  - participants post their software, which is run by the RAMP platform
  - one day hackathon
  - participants are encouraged to re-use other people's software
- Can adapt to all domains: