# SEARCH FOR DARK MATTER WITH THE SABRE EXPERIMENT

### F. Nuti\* for the SABRE Collaboration \*The University of Melbourne DSU 2018, Annecy, France 25<sup>th</sup> of June 2018

Picture by M. Volpi









Sodium-iodide with Active Background REjection

Goal: search for annual modulation compatible with Galactic Dark Matter interactions

Strong modulation observed by DAMA/LIBRA with 250 kg of Nal(TI) crystals

- Energy ∈ [1,6] keV
- Phase 148 ± 6 days
- Amplitude ≈ 10<sup>-2</sup> cpd/kg/keVee
- Background ≈ 1 cpd/kg/keVee

Null results with other techniques (see Xenon1T/LUX results)



SABRE can perform a modelindependent verification/confutation of DAMA/LIBRA results

22/06/2018

## THE COLLABORATION





22/06/2018

Francesco Nuti

3

# THE SABRE PRINCIPLES



# ULTRA PURE CRYSTALS

- Orystal radioactivity is the experiment's main background: <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>87</sup>Rb, <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>210</sup>Pb
- Rate [cpd/kg/keV] Collaboration with Merck (former Sigma-Aldrich) to produce Astro-grade powder with reduced contaminations
- Growth procedure tested with minimal contamination
- High-purity full-scale crystal in production

First large crystal ( $\approx 2 \text{ kg}$ )



di iii $10^{-3}$			
Element	Nal Powder	Crystal	DAMA Crystal
<sup>nat</sup> K	3.5 - 18 ppb	9 ± 1 ppb	13 ppb
<sup>238</sup> U	< 1 ppt	< 1 ppt	0.7 – 7.5 ppt
<sup>232</sup> Th	< 1 ppt	< 1 ppt	1 ppt
<sup>87</sup> Rb	0.2 ppb	< 0.1 ppb	< 0.35 ppb
Francesco N	uti		

SABRE-PoP

<sup>232</sup>Th

<sup>40</sup>K

<sup>210</sup>Pb

10-1

10<sup>-2</sup>

Tot Background, veto on



<sup>87</sup>Rb

238

### LOW ENERGY SENSITIVITY

- SABRE aims to be sensitive to the energies covered by DAMA/LIBRA 1-6 KeVee and below
- Current Design:
  - 2 x Hamamatsu R11065-20 3" PMTs per crystal with High QE: > 35% and minimal contaminations
  - Direct PMT-Crystal coupling for maximal light yields
  - Custom pre-amplifiers and super bialkali photocathodes → less after-glow and dark noise







Crystal

### ACTIVE BACKGROUND REJECTION



- Crystals surrounded by a liquid scintillator detector
- Veto processes with energy
  > 100 keVee
- Goal: reject external and intrinsic backgrounds
- Very effective in rejecting <sup>40</sup>K crystal events







7

### DOUBLE LOCATION



- Twin experiments:
  - LNGS (Italy)
  - SUPL (Australia)
- Oifferent environmental conditions:
  - Seasonal effects with opposite phase
  - Rock composition and radiopurity
  - Independent radon, temperature, pressure control systems and power supply





#### STAWELL UNDEGROUND PHYSICS LABORATORY



- Clean laboratory @ 1025m in the Stawell gold mine
- Construction to start in second half of 2018
- Host SABRE and other experiments

10 m

22/06/2018

Surface



Clean-room, low radon areas

34.5 m

CLEANING ANTE ROOM

FUTUR CLEAN AB AR

MAIN EXPERIMENTAL HALL



FLAT BUNDED AREA

# PROOF OF PRINCIPLE

THE UNIVERSITY MELBOURN

A Proof of Principle (PoP) phase of the experiment is in preparation @ LNGS

- I Nal(Tl) crystal (~5kg)
- Active veto:
  - Cylindrical vessel
    (Ø x h) = (1.35 m x 1.50 m)
  - PC+PPO (3g/l) scintillator (mass ≈ 2 ton)
  - 10 Hamamatsu R5912-100 PMTs
- Hybrid passive shielding:
  - Bottom: 15 cm Pb + 10 cm PE
  - Sides: 40 cm PE + 90 cm water
  - Top: 10 cm PE + 2cm Stainless Steel +80cm water
  - Internal volume flushed with N<sub>2</sub> to remove radon

#### Goals:

- Characterize crystal contaminations, particularly <sup>40</sup>K
- Test active veto performance



22/06/2018

# SABRE SIMULATION



- GEANT4 simulation of the Proof of Principle detector and estimate
  - of the expected background
- Considered contaminations in
  - Nal(Tl) crystals
  - Crystal wrapping + PMTs
  - Crystal enclosure
  - Crystal insertion system (CIS)
  - Vessel, Liquid Scintillator, vessel PMTs (Veto)
- Activity values from preliminary measurements and literature (see backup)
- Results validated by independent simulations within the collaboration
- Additional studies on shielding and external backgrounds on going 22/06/2018





MEASUREMENT MODE (KMM)

Target <sup>40</sup>K electron capture (3 keV auger  $e^{-}$  + 1.46 MeV  $\gamma$ ) in the crystal and other processes with large energy deposits in the scintillator

Rate [cpd/kg/keV]  $E(Scintillator) \in [1280, 1640] \text{ keVee}$ SABRE-PoP Tot Background KMM  $E(Crystal) \in [2,4]$  keVee Crystal **Crystal PMTs** Crystal (Cosmogenic) **Element Expected rate** [cpd/kg/keV] Crystal (other radiogenic) 5.1E-05 1.8E-02 Crystal (cosmogenic\*) 10<sup>-2</sup> 1.1E-03 **Crystal PMTs**  $10^{-3}$ 1.3E-03 Enclosure 7.7E-04 CIS  $10^{-4}$ 6.2E-03 Veto  $10^{-5}$ Total background 2.7 E-02 2 0 6 8 10 <sup>40</sup>K in Crystal 1.9E-01

\* After 2 months underground



MELBOURN

- Large cosmogenic  $^{22}$ Na background for E < 2 keV
- Main background in the region of interest from Veto Francesco Nuti 12

22/06/2018

DARK MATTER MODE (DMM)

MELBOURN

Test the active rejection power of the liquid scintillator system and the expected background in the crystal



22/06/2018

Francesco Nuti

# EXPECTED SENSITIVITY





Confirm DAMA/LIBRA at 6σ
 Refute it at 5σ

The 90% C.L. limit (black), the 1 $\sigma$  (green) and 2 $\sigma$  (yellow) bands, and the DAMA Phase-1 3 $\sigma$  and 5 $\sigma$  confidence regions (blue)

Spin-independent WIMP nuclear scattering limits as strong as 10<sup>-42</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>

### CONCLUSION



- SABRE can perform an independent high sensitivity verification of the DAMA/LIBRA modulation
- SABRE features:
  - High-purity Nal(Tl) crystals
  - Low energy sensitivity
  - Active background rejection
  - Twin detectors
- Proof of Principle phase in preparation and expected to run in the second half of 2018
- Sackground levels evaluated with GEANT4 simulations:
  - 0.027 cpd/kg/keV for KMM (<sup>40</sup>K excluded)
  - 0.22 cpd/kg/keV for DMM
- Full scale experiment can confirm/reject DAMA/LIBRA results with 3 years of data

# Backup

# DAMA/LIBRA PHASE-1





<sup>22/06/2018</sup> 

# SUPL CHARACTERISTICS



- Clean lab similar to SNOLab
- Rn activity < 100 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> in "clean area". Surface coating to inhibit Rn.
- Temp.: 19±2 °C, Relative humidity 40% 50%, remote monitoring & control.
- Low radiation concrete and finishing; sampling all sand and cement.

	Gran Sasso Lab. Reference	Stawell
Neutron Flux	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> n/s/	<7 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> n/s/cm <sup>2</sup> UL
Gamma-ray flux below 3 MeV	0.73 γ/s/cm <sup>2</sup>	<2.5 γ/s/cm² UL
Radioactivity levels of rock		
Rock <sup>238</sup> U (ppm) @ 880m SUPL	2.63	0.64
Rock 232Th (ppm) @ 880m SUPL	0.72	1.63
Refuge Radon Bq/m <sup>3</sup> (12 day accumulation, ventilated)	<i>O</i> (50)	36±5 21°C, 1056 kPa, 21% humidity

# CRYSTAL BACKGROUND





Nuclide	Activity	Reference
<sup>40</sup> K	10 ppb	SABRE (in preparation)
<sup>238</sup> U	< 1 ppt	
<sup>232</sup> Th	< 1 ppt	SABRE (arXiv:1601.05307)
<sup>87</sup> Rb	< 0.1 ppb	
<sup>210</sup> Pb	0.03 mBq/kg	DAMA (arXiv:0804.2738)



E [keV]

<sup>40</sup>K and <sup>87</sup>Rb (upper limit) contaminations are dominant in DMM the largest background from cosmogenic activation is due to 121Te

Francesco Nuti

#### Cosmogenic after 6 months

Nuclide	Activity [mBq/kg]	Reference
<sup>22</sup> Na	0.8	LNGS
126	4.3	(M.Laubenstein)
<sup>24</sup> Na	2.6E-04	DAMA ( <u>arXiv:0804.2738</u> )
129	0.95	
<sup>125</sup>	7.2	
<sup>121</sup> Te	1.27	
<sup>121</sup> mTe	0.89	ANAIS (arXiv:1604.05587)
<sup>123</sup> mTe	1.17	
<sup>125</sup> mTe	0.92	
<sup>127</sup> mTe	0.37	



# CONTAMINATIONS (1/2)

$\langle \mathbf{\omega} $	Í
	Z
N PAN /	
	ME



Crystal				
Isotope	Activity	Refer	ence	
<sup>40</sup> K	10 ppb	SABF	RE (in preparation)	Radi
<sup>238</sup> U	< 1 ppt			9go
<sup>232</sup> Th	< 1 ppt	(	SABRE	enic
<sup>87</sup> Rb	< 0.1 ppb	(a	1/10/1003071	
<sup>210</sup> Pb	0.7 mBq/kg	(ar	ANAIS Xiv:1604.05587)	_
lsotope	Activity [mB	q/kg]	Reference	6
<sup>22</sup> Na	0.8		LNGS	m
126	4.3		(M.Laubenstein)	0ge
<sup>24</sup> Na	2.6 E-4		DAMA	Phic
129	0.95		(arXiv:0804.2738)	aft
<sup>121</sup> Te	1.27			er (
<sup>121</sup> mTe	0.89		ΔΝΔΙς	l m
<sup>123</sup> mTe	1.17		(arXiv:1604.05587)	ion:
<sup>125</sup> mTe	0.92			ths
<sup>127</sup> mTe	0.37			

0.37

#### **Crystal PMTs** (XENON1T arXiv:1503.07698)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/PMT]		
	Body	Window	Ceramic plate
<sup>40</sup> K	<5.9	< 0.48	6.5
<sup>60</sup> Co	0.65	< 0.042	<0.19
$^{238}$ U	< 0.52	<1.8	13
$^{226}$ Ra	< 0.29	0.040	0.29
$^{232}$ Th	< 0.0098	< 0.037	0.70
$^{228}\mathrm{Th}$	<0.41	< 0.015	0.13

#### PTFE crystal wrapping (XENON100 arXiv:1207.5988)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]
<sup>40</sup> K	3.1
$^{238}$ U	0.25
$^{232}$ Th	0.5

### CONTAMINATIONS (2/2)



### PTFE parts of enclosure (XENON100 arXiv:1103.5831)

Isotope	Activity [mBq/kg]
$^{40}$ K	<2.25
$^{238}U$	< 0.31
$^{232}\mathrm{Th}$	< 0.16
$^{60}$ Co	< 0.11
$^{137}Cs$	< 0.13

#### Steel vessel (SABRE GDMS method)

Isotope	Activity/Concentration
40K	4 ppb
238U	$0.3 \; \mathrm{ppb}$
$232 \mathrm{Th}$	< 0.1  ppb

#### Veto PMTs

#### (DarkSide-50 arXiv:1512.07896)

2	•	
	Isotope	Activity[mBq/PMT]
	40K	649
	238U	883
	$232 \mathrm{Th}$	110
	$235\mathrm{U}$	41

#### Copper parts of enclosure (Cuore-0 arXiv:1609.01666)

•		-	
Isotope	Half life [days]	Activity [mBq/kg]	]
<sup>40</sup> K		0.7	1
<sup>238</sup> U		0.065	
$^{232}$ Th		0.002	
<sup>60</sup> Co	1925	0.340	1
<sup>58</sup> Co	71	0.798	J
<sup>57</sup> Co	272	0.519	
$^{56}$ Co	77	0.108	
$^{54}$ Mn	312	0.154	
$^{46}Sc$	84	0.027	
$^{59}$ Fe	44	0.047	
<sup>48</sup> V	16	0.039	

#### Liquid scintillator

(Borexino Nucl. Instr. & Meth. A609 (2009) 58)

· •
Activity [mBq/kg]
$3.5\cdot10^{-7}$
$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$< 1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$1.7\cdot 10^{-6}$
$1.7\cdot 10^{-6}$
$<1.2\cdot10^{-6}$
$4.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$
$3.5\cdot 10^{-6}$
$3.5\cdot 10^{-7}$

### SIMULATION SETTINGS



●GEANT 4 10.2.p03

- Hadronic physics list: Shielding
- EM physics list:
  - G4EmStandardPhysics\_option4
  - Fluorescence, auger electron emission and particle induced atomic relaxation accounted
  - G4EmExtraPhysics

# COSINE-100 PREDICTIONS



Based on a total exposure of 212 kg·year and flat representative background of 4.3 cpd/kg/keV

MELBOURNE