## Optimal and accurate cosmology from the Dark Energy Survey (and beyond)

#### Jonathan Blazek LASTRO - EPFL



DSU 2018 Annecy-le-Vieux

## Outline

- Cosmology with large galaxy surveys
- Modeling challenges and effective perturbative expansions for shapes and bias
- Results from the Dark Energy Survey Year 1

#### In collaboration with: DES, LSST-DESC,

T. Eifler, X. Fang, C. Hirata, E. Krause, N. MacCrann, J. McEwen, S. Samuroff, D. Schmitz, U. Seljak, M. Troxel, Z. Vlah

# Cosmology: a precision probe of fundamental physics





(Bolshoi simulation: Klypin+ 2011)

(250 Mpc across)

J. Blazek - DSU2018









#### Observables



DES Year 1: Elvin-Poole+ 2017; Chang+ 2018

J. Blazek - DSU2018

#### Observables



DES Year 1: Elvin-Poole+ 2017; Chang+ 2018

J. Blazek - DSU2018

#### Combining probes



- More statistical power, different systematics, "self-calibration"
- Also: CMB, clusters, SNe, strong lensing, RSD, 21cm...

e.g. Mandelbaum+ 2013; Krause & Eifler 2017; DES 2017; Joudaki+ KiDS 2017

## Challenges

#### **Measurement systematics**

- photometric redshift uncertainties
- shear calibration and source blending
- PSF modeling
- details of survey selection and completeness

#### **Astrophysical systematics**

- galaxy/tracer formation and relationship to dark matter
  - shape/size correlations (e.g. "intrinsic alignments")
  - biasing and peculiar velocities
- nonlinear structure growth
- "baryonic effects" on matter clustering

## Modeling the observables: galaxy positions and shapes



(MassiveBlack II: Khandai+ 2014; Tenneti+ 2014a,b)

#### Galaxy positions ("bias")



#### Galaxy positions ("bias")



#### Galaxy shapes ("intrinsic alignments")



#### Galaxy shapes ("intrinsic alignments")



#### Precision vs accuracy: IA in Euclid



#### Precision vs accuracy: IA in Euclid



J. Blazek - DSU2018

#### Why go beyond linear theory?



LSST-like forecast: Krause & Eifler 2017

# Unified description in effective perturbative expansions

Galaxy bias (McDonald & Roy 2009; Assassi+ 2014; Angulo+ 2015; Desjacques, Jeong, Schmidt 2016)

$$\begin{split} \delta_g(x) = & b_1 \delta_m(x) + b_2 \delta_m^2(x) + b_s s^2(x) + \cdots \\ \gamma_{ij}^I = & C_1 s_{ij} + C_2 (s_{ik} s_{kj}) + C_\delta (\delta s_{ij}) + C_t t_{ij} + \cdots \\ \text{galaxy intrinsic alignments} \end{split}$$

(JB+ 2015; Schmidt+ 2015; JB+ 2017 arXiv:1708.09247; Schmitz, Hirata, JB, Krause 2018 arXiv:1805.02649)

- cosmological quantities directly connect to underlying model
- effective parameters receive contributions from small scales
- new probes of large-scale structure and fundamental physics

#### Power law and FFT methods

McEwen, Fang, Hirata, JB 2016; Fang, JB, McEwen, Hirata 2017 see also: Schmittfull, Vlah, McDonald 2016; Schmittfull & Vlah 2016; Simonovic+ 2017 **FAST-PT on github: JoeMcEwen/FAST-PT** 

$$I(k) = \int \frac{d^{3}q_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} K(\hat{q}_{1} \cdot \hat{q}_{2}, \hat{q}_{1} \cdot \hat{k}, \hat{q}_{2} \cdot \hat{k}, q_{1}, q_{2}) P(q_{1}) P(q_{2})$$

$$f(k) = \int \frac{d^{3}q_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}(\hat{q}_{1} \cdot \hat{q}_{2}) \mathcal{P}_{\ell_{1}}(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{q}_{2}) \mathcal{P}_{\ell_{2}}(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{q}_{1}) q_{1}^{\alpha} q_{2}^{\beta} P(q_{1}) P(q_{2})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$J_{J_{1}J_{2}}^{\alpha\beta}(r) \equiv \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dq_{1} \ q_{1}^{2+\alpha} P(q_{1}) j_{J_{1}}(q_{1}r)\right] \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dq_{2} \ q_{2}^{2+\beta} P(q_{2}) j_{J_{2}}(q_{2}r)\right]$$
(e.g. FFTLog: Talman 1978, Hamilton 2000)

For 1-loop calculations: 1000 k values in ~0.1s

### Dark Energy Survey

- DECam on Blanco
   Telescope, Cerro Tololo,
   Chile
- 5000 sq degrees
- 5 year mission
   525 nights (+extension)
- 300 million galaxies (0 < z < 2)
- overlap with SPT and ACT





#### Survey Progress



#### DES Year 1 cosmology papers

- Galaxy catalog & reduction
- The Y1 shear catalogs
- Cross-correlation redshifts methodology
- Cross-correlation redshifts on Y1 data
- Source redshifts
- redMaGiC redshifts
- Galaxy-galaxy lensing

- Cosmic shear
- Galaxy clustering
- Mass mapping
- Key Project methodology & covariances
- Key Project on simulations
- Key Project Results
- Key Project with CMB lensing



#### DES Year 1 Results



J. Blazek - DSU2018

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Beyond systematics**  $\gamma_{ij}^{I} = C_{1}s_{ij} + C_{2}(s_{ik}s_{kj}) + C_{\delta}(\delta s_{ij}) + C_{t}t_{ij} + \cdots$ 

- Probe of LSS
- Test inflation (e.g. Schmidt+ 2015)
- Modified gravity, LIV dark matter, preferred frame
- Galaxy formation and evolution

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Summary

- Improved modeling of observables needed for optimal multiprobe cosmological analyses.
- Effective perturbative expansions can be applied to galaxy biasing and IA, providing systematics control and novel probes of fundamental physics.
- Can we introduce parameters without losing too much information? Informative priors from sims or observations?
- DES Y1 results demonstrate methods we are learning! Y3 analyses underway. We are preparing for the next-generation of surveys (LSST, Euclid, DESI, WFIRST, ...).