Probing the neutrino sector with the CMB

Erminia Calabrese Cardiff University



Towards the coordination of the European CMB programme – Florence, September 6th 2017



STANDARD MODEL OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES

- Abundant, very light, weakly interacting particles hard to detect
- Three oscillating flavors
- Generated via β-decays, nuclear reactions
- Searches in labs or in cosmo Number (active, sterile), mass, speed, chirality...



STANDARD MODEL OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES

- Abundant, very light, weakly interacting particles hard to detect
- Three oscillating flavors
- Generated via β-decays, nuclear reactions
- Searches in labs or in cosmo
 Number (active, sterile), mass, speed, chirality...

Low-energy neutrinos relic of the Big Bang







Equilibrium is maintained until $\Gamma = n\sigma v \approx H$ Neutrinos decouple with $T_v = T_\gamma$

Plasma in thermal equilibrium, $T_v = T_e = T_\gamma$



Light elements form during Big Bang Nucleosynthesis e^+e^- heat the photons, $T_{\gamma}/T_{\nu} = (11/4)^{1/3}$

Equilibrium is maintained until $\Gamma = n\sigma v \approx H$ Neutrinos decouple with $T_v = T_\gamma$

Plasma in thermal equilibrium, $T_v = T_e = T_\gamma$



Ions recombine and CMB photons decouple

Light elements form during Big Bang Nucleosynthesis e^+e^- heat the photons, $T_{\gamma}/T_{\nu} = (11/4)^{1/3}$

Equilibrium is maintained until $\Gamma = n\sigma v \approx H$ Neutrinos decouple with $T_v = T_\gamma$

Plasma in thermal equilibrium, $T_v = T_e = T_\gamma$



Probing the cosmic neutrino background



$$\rho_{\nu}(m_{\nu} \ll T_{\nu}) = \frac{7\pi^2}{120} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} T_{\gamma}^4$$
$$= \rho_{\gamma} \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} N_{\text{eff}}$$
$$\rho_{\nu}(m_{\nu} \gg T_{\nu}) = \frac{\rho_c}{93.14h^2 \text{eV}} \Sigma m_{\nu}$$

Radiation like (very early times)

N_{eff}= effective number of relativistic species = 3.046 (3 active neutrinos + QED corrections) <u>Mangano+ 2001</u>

<u>Matter like (later times)</u>

 Σm_v = total sum of the neutrino masses > 59 meV from oscillation experiments <u>Particle Data Group 2016</u>

Probing the cosmic neutrino background

w=p/ρ

 $Ω = \rho / \rho_c$



Figure credit: Christiane Lorenz

Probing the cosmic neutrino background

N_{eff} from the expansion rate – counting neutrinos

$$H^2(a) pprox rac{8\pi G}{3} \Big(
ho_\gamma(a) +
ho_
u(a) \Big)$$

- Change in the abundance of light elements
- Change in the matter-radiation equality

BBN predictions of Helium and Deuterium Position, amplitude and damping of CMB acoustic peaks

 Σm_{y} from the growth of structures – weighing neutrinos

$$\frac{P(k, \Sigma m_{\nu}) - P(k, \Sigma m_{\nu} = 0)}{P(k, \Sigma m_{\nu} = 0)} \approx -0.08 \left(\frac{\Sigma m_{\nu}}{1 \text{eV}}\right) \frac{1}{\Omega_m h^2}$$

Suppression of small-scale density perturbations

Scale dependent suppression of the matter power spectrum, measurable with the CMB lensing signal and the statistics of the large-scale structure

Neutrinos from BBN

The abundance of light element generated during BBN depends on:

- Baryon density
- Expansion rate $H \approx \sqrt{g_* G_N T^2}$

D destroyed in starts, measured value will be a lower limit to the primordial abundance. Data from high-z, low-metallicity QSO absorption line systems.

 $g_* \propto N_{eff}$

He³ produced and destroyed in stars. Data from solar system and galaxies but not used in BBN analysis.

He⁴ primordial abundance increased by H burning in stars. Data from low-metallicity, extragalatic HII regions.

Li⁷ destroyed in stars, produced in cosmic ray reactions. Data from oldest, most metal-poor stars in the Galaxy.

Neutrinos from the CMB

Later matter-radiation equality, higher first peak

Larger sound horizon, peaks shift to higher I

Anisotropic stresses damp fluctuations during radiation domination, less power at I>200

Anisotropies on scales smaller than the photon diffusion length are damped, for fixed peak positions, increasing N_{eff} enhances damping

Effects on the growth of structures changes the amplitude of the CMB lensing signal

Neutrinos from large-scale structure

Neutrinos decouple when they are still relativistic suppressing small-scale perturbations Massive neutrinos behave as additional matter in the BAO redshift range, decreasing the Hubble rate and increasing the volume distance

The neutrino number

1.0

The neutrino mass

 $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.72 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{ TT+lowP};$ $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.21 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{ TT+lowP+BAO};$ $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.49 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{ TT, TE, EE+lowP};$ $\sum m_{\nu} < 0.17 \text{ eV} \quad Planck \text{ TT, TE, EE+lowP+BAO}.$

$$(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2) = \mu^2 + \left(-\frac{\delta m^2}{2}, +\frac{\delta m^2}{2}, \pm \Delta m^2\right)$$
$$m_\beta = \left[c_{13}^2 c_{12}^2 m_1^2 + c_{13}^2 s_{12}^2 m_2^2 + s_{13}^2 m_3^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$m_{\beta\beta} = \left|c_{13}^2 c_{12}^2 m_1 + c_{13}^2 s_{12}^2 m_2 e^{i\phi_2} + s_{13}^2 m_3 e^{i\phi_3}\right|$$
$$\Sigma = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$$

Abazajian+2014

Neutrino cosmology in the next decade

LiteBIRD ?

Euclid Mapping the geometry of the dark Universe

DESI

Neutrino cosmology in the next decade

Neutrino cosmology in the next decade

Looking for...

1-2% determination of N_{eff} from CMB – ruling out other light relic particles

First cosmological determination of the neutrino mass hierarchy from future CMB combined with large-scale-structure data

First cosmological determination of the neutrino absolute mass from future CMB combined with large-scale-structure data $(3-5\sigma)$ Robust determination of the neutrino mass (under cosmological parameter degeneracies and systematics)