

Algebraic Structures in Double and Exceptional Field Theory

Olaf Hohm

- O.H, Zwiebach, 1701.08824
- O.H., Kupriyanov, Lüst, Traube, 1709.10004
- O.H., Samtleben, 1707.06693 & to appear
- O.H., work in progress

Centro Atomico Bariloche, Argentina, January 2018

Road Map

- Duality covariant formulation in 1) gauged supergravity ('embedding tensor formalism') and 2) double/exceptional field theory requires redundant or unphysical objects \Rightarrow 'higher equivalences'
- analogous features in algebraic topology and homotopy theory, where ' ∞ -algebras' allow one "to live with slightly false algebraic identities in a new world where they become effectively true." [D. Sullivan]
- Features of physical theories usually taken for granted [e.g.: "continuous symmetries \equiv Lie algebras"] hold only 'up to homotopy', which quite likely provides deep pointers for (so far) elusive underlying mathematical structure of DFT/ExFT

Overview

- Strongly Homotopy (sh) or ∞ -Algebras
- Field Theories and L_∞ Algebras \rightarrow weakly constrained DFT?
- Leibniz (or Loday) Algebras and their Chern-Simons Gauge Theory
- Topological Phase of $E_{8(8)}$ ExFT as Leibniz Chern-Simons Theory
- General Remarks and Outlook

Strongly Homotopy Lie or L_∞ Algebras

An L_∞ algebras is a graded vector space [Zwiebach (1993), Lada & Stasheff (1993)]

$$X = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X_n,$$

equipped with *multilinear and graded antisymmetric* brackets or maps

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \ell_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_{n-2+\sum_i |x_i|},$$

satisfying, for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the *generalized Jacobi identities*

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} (-1)^{i(j-1)} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x) \ell_j(\ell_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0$$

with the sum over all permutations of n objects with partially ordered arguments ('unshuffles'), $\sigma(1) \leq \dots \leq \sigma(i), \sigma(i+1) \leq \dots \leq \sigma(n)$,

and *Koszul sign* $\epsilon(\sigma; x)$, determined for any graded algebra with

$$x_i x_j = (-1)^{x_i x_j} x_j x_i \quad \text{by} \quad x_1 \cdots x_k = \epsilon(\sigma; x) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}$$

Explicit L_∞ -relations

For $n = 1$ we learn that $\ell_1 \equiv Q$ is nil-potent:

$$\ell_1(\ell_1(x)) = 0$$

For $n = 2$ we learn that ℓ_1 is a derivation of $\ell_2 \equiv [\cdot, \cdot]$:

$$\ell_1(\ell_2(x_1, x_2)) = \ell_2(\ell_1(x_1), x_2) + (-1)^{x_1} \ell_2(x_1, \ell_1(x_2))$$

For $n = 3$ we learn that $\ell_2 \equiv [\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies Jacobi only ‘up to homotopy’

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \ell_2(\ell_2(x_1, x_2), x_3) + 2 \text{ terms} \\ &\quad + \ell_1(\ell_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \\ &\quad + \ell_3(\ell_1(x_1), x_2, x_3) + 2 \text{ terms} \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 4$ we learn that $\ell_2\ell_3 + \ell_3\ell_2$ is zero ‘up to homotopy’, i.e., up to the the failure of ℓ_1 to act as a derivation on ℓ_4

plus infinitely more relations

Constructing L_∞ Algebras

Given a bilinear antisymmetric 2-bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, is there L_∞ with $\ell_2 = [\cdot, \cdot]$?

Yes: extend the space V by a second copy V^*

$$X_1 = V^* \xrightarrow{\ell_1} X_0 = V$$

$$\ell_1(v^*) = v, \quad \ell_3(u, v, w) = -\text{Jac}(u, v, w)^*$$

But: ‘trivial’ because $v \sim w$ iff $v - w = \ell_1(\cdot)$, no further extension

Non-trivial if Jacobiator lives in proper subspace or, more generally, in image of linear map $\mathcal{D} : U \rightarrow V : \text{Jac}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = \mathcal{D}f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$.

Theorem:

$$X_2 \cong \text{Ker}(\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\ell_1=\iota} X_1 = U \xrightarrow{\ell_1=\mathcal{D}} X_0$$

carries L_∞ structure, provided $[\text{Im}(\mathcal{D}), V] \subset \text{Im}(\mathcal{D})$, with

$\ell_3(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = -f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and generally *non-trivial* $\ell_4(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

Field Theories & Weakly Constrained DFT

Dictionary L_∞ algebra \longleftrightarrow field theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\ell_1} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\ell_1} & X_{-1} & \xrightarrow{\ell_1} & X_{-2} & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \chi & & \xi & & \psi & & \text{EOM} & & \end{array}$$

Gauge transformations and field equations:

$$\delta_\xi \Psi = \ell_1(\xi) + \ell_2(\xi, \Psi) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_3(\xi, \Psi, \Psi) + \cdots$$

$$0 = \ell_1(\Psi) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_2(\Psi, \Psi) - \frac{1}{3!} \ell_3(\Psi, \Psi, \Psi) + \cdots$$

gauge algebra closes ‘up to homotopy’: trivial parameters $\xi = \ell_1(\chi)$

Example: Courant algebroid/gauge structure of DFT, with $\ell_2 = [\cdot, \cdot]_c$,
 defines L_∞ algebra with $\ell_4 = 0$ [Roytenberg & Weinstein (1998)]

→ generalization to weakly constrained? Indeed, in general L_∞ non-trivial

$$\ell_2(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \langle \mathcal{D}\chi_1, \mathcal{D}\chi_2 \rangle (= \partial^M \chi_1 \partial_M \chi_2 = 0)$$

→ still *very non-trivial* (non-local projected product needed)

[A. Sen (2016)]

Leibniz Algebras and their Chern-Simons Theory

Leibniz (or Loday) algebra: vector space with product \circ , satisfying

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z + y \circ (x \circ z)$$

If \circ antisymmetric \Rightarrow Lie algebra

Defines symmetry variations: $\delta_x y = \mathcal{L}_x y \equiv x \circ y$ that close:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y]z &\equiv \mathcal{L}_x(\mathcal{L}_y z) - \mathcal{L}_y(\mathcal{L}_x z) = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z) \\ &= (x \circ y) \circ z = \mathcal{L}_{x \circ y} z \end{aligned}$$

(Anti-)symmetrizing in x, y :

$$[\mathcal{L}_x, \mathcal{L}_y]z = \mathcal{L}_{[x,y]}z, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\{x,y\}}z = 0$$

Thus, $\{, \}$ defines 'trivial vector'. Jacobiator is trivial:

$$\sum_{\text{antisym}} 3[[x_1, x_2], x_3] - \{x_1 \circ x_2, x_3\} = 0$$

'Trivial space' forms ideal of bracket: $[\cdot, \{, \}] = \{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Thus:

Theorem: Any Leibniz algebra defines L_∞ algebra with $\ell_2 = [\cdot, \cdot]$

Leibniz-valued Gauge Fields and Chern-Simons Action

Leibniz-valued one-form with gauge transformations

$$\delta_\lambda A_\mu = D_\mu \lambda \equiv \partial_\mu \lambda - A_\mu \circ \lambda$$

This closes up to ‘higher gauge transformations’ (c.f. trivial parameters).

Generalized Chern-Simons action

$$S_{CS} \equiv \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \langle A_\mu, \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{1}{3} A_\nu \circ A_\rho \rangle$$

is gauge invariant provided the inner product \langle , \rangle is invariant and

$$\langle x, \{ \cdot, \cdot \} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x$$

⇒ situation in 3D gauged SUGRA in embedding tensor formalism

[de Wit, Nicolai & Samtleben (2001–2002)]

⇒ any Leibniz algebra with \langle , \rangle as above defines Chern-Simons theory

⇒ general dimensions: tensor hierarchy (& corresponding L_∞ algebra)

'Unbroken Phase' of $E_{8(8)}$ ExFT

Fields: e_μ^a , A_μ^M , $B_{\mu M}$, \mathcal{M}_{MN} , coordinates: (x^μ, Y^M) , $M = 1, \dots, 248$

Action:

$$S = \int d^3x d^{248}Y e \left(\hat{R} + \frac{1}{240} D^\mu \mathcal{M}^{MN} D_\mu \mathcal{M}_{MN} - V(\mathcal{M}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(A, B) \right)$$

Consider subsector that truncates \mathcal{M}_{MN} , say by setting:

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = \delta_{MN} : \quad E_{8(8)} \rightarrow SO(16)$$

However, we want *unbroken phase*, so we set (illegally):

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN} = 0$$

Perhaps justification in suitably reformulated/enlarged theory?

c.f. unconstrained 'doubled' metric $\rightarrow \alpha'$ corrections

[O.H., Siegel & Zwiebach (2013)]

first-order formulation with degenerate frame field? [E. Witten (1988)]

Big Chern-Simons Theory

Leibniz algebra unifies 3D Poincaré and (doubled) generalized diffeos:

$$\Xi = (\xi^a, \lambda_a; \Lambda^M, \Sigma_M)$$

$$\Xi_1 \circ \Xi_2 = (\xi_{12}^a, \lambda_{12a}; \Lambda_{12}^M, \Sigma_{12M})$$

where $[R^M \equiv f^{MN} \partial_N \Lambda^K + \Sigma^M]$, constraint: $\Sigma^M \otimes \partial_M = 0$, etc.]

$$\lambda_{12a} = \epsilon_{abc} \lambda_1^b \lambda_2^c + 2 \Lambda_{[1}^N \partial_N \lambda_{2]a}$$

$$\Sigma_{12M} = \mathbb{L}_1 \Sigma_{2M} + \underline{\Lambda_2^N \partial_M R_{1N} - 2 \alpha \xi_{[1}^a \partial_M \lambda_{2]a}} \quad \text{etc.}$$

For algebra element $\mathfrak{A} \equiv (e^a, \omega_a; A^M, B_M)$ inner product given by

$$\langle \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A} \rangle = \int d^{248} Y \left(2 \alpha e^a \omega_a + 2 A^M B_M - \underline{f^K_{MN} A^M \partial_K A^N} \right)$$

CS action for \mathfrak{A}_μ precisely top. ExFT action!

Generalization of: pure 3D (super-)gravity \equiv Chern-Simons theory

[Achucarro & Townsend (1986), Witten (1988)]

Consistent Kaluza-Klein to half-maximal $D = 3$ SUGRA

Duality: $O(d + 1, d + 1)$, coordinates $Y^{\mathcal{M}} \equiv Y^{[MN]}$, M, N fundamental

‘Doubled vector’ $\Upsilon \equiv (\Lambda^{MN}, \Sigma_{MN})$ satisfies Leibniz algebra w.r.t.

$$\Upsilon_1 \circ \Upsilon_2 \equiv \left(\mathcal{L}_{\Upsilon_1} \Lambda_2^{MN}, \mathcal{L}_{\Upsilon_1} \Sigma_{2MN} + \frac{1}{4} \Lambda_2^{KL} \partial_{MN} K(\Upsilon_1)_{KL} \right)$$

Generalized Scherk-Schwarz in terms of ‘doubled’ twist matrix:

$$\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{M}\bar{N}} \equiv \left(\rho^{-1} U^K_{[\bar{M}} U^L_{\bar{N}]} , -\frac{1}{4} \rho^{-1} (\partial_{KL} U^P_{\bar{M}}) U_{P\bar{N}} \right)$$

reads for the gauge vectors:

$$\mathfrak{A}_\mu(x, Y) = \mathfrak{U}_{\bar{M}\bar{N}}(Y) A_\mu^{\bar{M}\bar{N}}(x)$$

and is consistent provided

$$\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{M}\bar{N}} \circ \mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}\bar{L}} = -X_{\bar{M}\bar{N}, \bar{K}\bar{L}}^{\bar{P}\bar{Q}} \mathfrak{U}_{\bar{P}\bar{Q}}$$

where X is the constant embedding tensor.

\Rightarrow consistency of $D = 6, \mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ & $(2, 0)$ SUGRA on $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3$
12

Outlook & Remarks

- algebraic structures beyond Lie arise naturally in string/M-theory
- tensor hierarchy of gauged SUGRA & ExFT suggests ∞ -algebra, difficult/unnatural in terms of Lie algebra
- unifying algebraic structure of M-theory? → Hermann and Martin's talks
affine $E_{9(9)}$ works analogously to $E_{8(8)}$ → Guillaume's talk
⇒ Lie algebra theory may be the “slightly wrong” framework
- novel products in HSZ theory [O.H., Siegel & Zwiebach (2013)]
→ interpretation as ∞ -algebra?
→ more general story for (chiral) CFTs? → Ralph's talk
- global structure of doubled (extended) spaces? [O.H. & Zwiebach (2012)]