

CLASSIFICATION OF DOUBLED-YET-GAUGED SPACETIME



String Dualities and Geometry, Centro Atomico Bariloche, January 2018

박정혁 (朴廷爀)

Jeong-Hyuck Park

Sogang University

Prologue

- Generalized metric appeared prior to DFT, taking the particular form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Giveon-Rabinovici-Veneziano '89, Duff '90

- But, DFT is valid for *any* generalized metric which satisfies two defining properties:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Similarly, SDFT can be formulated by DFT-vielbeins satisfying defining properties:

$$V_{Mp} V^M{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Mp} \bar{V}^{M\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Mp} V_N{}^p + \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}.$$

- **This talk is about the classification of generalized metric/vielbeins, with applications.***

- Henceforth I will refer to the above parametrization as the Riemannian DFT-metric.

Before presenting the main result, I need to review some of my earlier works.

- Generalized metric appeared prior to DFT, taking the particular form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Giveon-Rabinovici-Veneziano '89, Duff '90

- But, DFT is valid for *any* generalized metric which satisfies two defining properties:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Similarly, SDFT can be formulated by DFT-vielbeins satisfying defining properties:

$$V_{Mp} V^M{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Mp} \bar{V}^{M\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Mp} V_N{}^p + \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}.$$

- **This talk is about the classification of generalized metric/vielbeins, with applications.***

- Henceforth I will refer to the above parametrization as the Riemannian DFT-metric.

Before presenting the main result, I need to review some of my earlier works.

- Generalized metric appeared prior to DFT, taking the particular form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Giveon-Rabinovici-Veneziano '89, Duff '90

- But, DFT is valid for *any* generalized metric which satisfies two defining properties:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Similarly, SDFT can be formulated by DFT-vielbeins satisfying defining properties:

$$V_{Mp} V^M{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Mp} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Mp} V_N{}^p + \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}.$$

- **This talk is about the classification of generalized metric/vielbeins, with applications.***

- Henceforth I will refer to the above parametrization as the Riemannian DFT-metric.

Before presenting the main result, I need to review some of my earlier works.

- Generalized metric appeared prior to DFT, taking the particular form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Giveon-Rabinovici-Veneziano '89, Duff '90

- But, DFT is valid for *any* generalized metric which satisfies two defining properties:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Similarly, SDFT can be formulated by DFT-vielbeins satisfying defining properties:

$$V_{Mp} V^M{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Mp} \bar{V}^{M\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Mp} V_N{}^p + \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}.$$

- **This talk is about the classification of generalized metric/vielbeins, with applications.***

- Henceforth I will refer to the above parametrization as the Riemannian DFT-metric.

Before presenting the main result, I need to review some of my earlier works.

- Generalized metric appeared prior to DFT, taking the particular form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B \\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

Giveon-Rabinovici-Veneziano '89, Duff '90

- But, DFT is valid for *any* generalized metric which satisfies two defining properties:

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}, \quad \mathcal{H}_M{}^K \mathcal{H}_N{}^L \mathcal{J}_{KL} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{J}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Similarly, SDFT can be formulated by DFT-vielbeins satisfying defining properties:

$$V_{Mp} V^M{}_q = \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}^M{}_{\bar{q}} = \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}, \quad V_{Mp} \bar{V}^{M\bar{q}} = 0, \quad V_{Mp} V_N{}^p + \bar{V}_{M\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{p}} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}.$$

- **This talk is about the classification of generalized metric/vielbeins, with applications.***

- Henceforth I will refer to the above parametrization as the Riemannian DFT-metric.

Before presenting the main result, I need to review some of my earlier works.

Doubled-yet-gauged

- Let $\mathcal{F} := \{d, \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \xi^M, \partial_N d, \partial_L \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \dots\}$ be the set of all the functions in DFT.
 - It contains not only the covariant physical fields, d, \mathcal{H}_{MN} , and local symmetry parameters, ξ^A , but also their arbitrary derivatives and products.
 - It is closed under additions, products and derivatives: if $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$a\Phi_i + b\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \Phi_i\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \partial_A \Phi_i \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- The section condition,

$$\partial_M \partial^M \Phi_i = 0, \quad \partial_M \Phi_i \partial^M \Phi_j = 0,$$

is mathematically equivalent to certain translational invariance:

$$\Phi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x + \Delta), \quad \Delta^M = \Phi_j \partial^M \Phi_k,$$

where Δ^M is said to be *derivative-index-valued*.

- ‘Physics’ should be invariant under such shifts of the doubled coordinates in DFT.

- Let $\mathcal{F} := \{d, \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \xi^M, \partial_N d, \partial_L \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \dots\}$ be the set of all the functions in DFT.
 - It contains not only the covariant physical fields, d, \mathcal{H}_{MN} , and local symmetry parameters, ξ^A , but also their arbitrary derivatives and products.
 - It is closed under additions, products and derivatives: if $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$a\Phi_i + b\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \Phi_i\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \partial_A \Phi_i \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- The section condition,

$$\partial_M \partial^M \Phi_i = 0, \quad \partial_M \Phi_i \partial^M \Phi_j = 0,$$

is mathematically equivalent to certain translational invariance:

$$\Phi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x + \Delta), \quad \Delta^M = \Phi_j \partial^M \Phi_k,$$

where Δ^M is said to be *derivative-index-valued*.

- ‘Physics’ should be invariant under such shifts of the doubled coordinates in DFT.

- Let $\mathcal{F} := \{d, \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \xi^M, \partial_N d, \partial_L \mathcal{H}_{MN}, \dots\}$ be the set of all the functions in DFT.
 - It contains not only the covariant physical fields, d, \mathcal{H}_{MN} , and local symmetry parameters, ξ^A , but also their arbitrary derivatives and products.
 - It is closed under additions, products and derivatives: if $\Phi_i, \Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$a\Phi_i + b\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \Phi_i\Phi_j \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \partial_A \Phi_i \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- The section condition,

$$\partial_M \partial^M \Phi_i = 0, \quad \partial_M \Phi_i \partial^M \Phi_j = 0,$$

is mathematically equivalent to certain translational invariance:

$$\Phi_i(x) = \Phi_i(x + \Delta), \quad \Delta^M = \Phi_j \partial^M \Phi_k,$$

where Δ^M is said to be *derivative-index-valued*.

- ‘Physics’ should be invariant under such shifts of the doubled coordinates in DFT.

Doubled coordinates, $x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, are gauged through an equivalence relation,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Delta^M(x),$$

where Δ^M is derivative-index-valued.

Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit in \mathbb{R}^{D+D} , corresponds to a single physical point in \mathbb{R}^D .



- If we solve the section condition by letting $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$, and further put

$$\Delta^M = c_\mu \partial^M x^\mu \quad : \quad \text{derivative-index-valued},$$

we obtain explicitly,

$$(\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu) \sim (\tilde{x}_\mu + c_\mu, x^\nu) \quad : \quad \tilde{x}_\mu\text{'s are gauged and } x^\nu\text{'s form a section.}$$

- Then, $O(D, D)$ rotates the gauged directions and the section.

Doubled coordinates, $x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, are gauged through an equivalence relation,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Delta^M(x),$$

where Δ^M is derivative-index-valued.

Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit in \mathbb{R}^{D+D} , corresponds to a single physical point in \mathbb{R}^D .



- If we solve the section condition by letting $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$, and further put

$$\Delta^M = c_\mu \partial^M x^\mu \quad : \quad \text{derivative-index-valued,}$$

we obtain explicitly,

$$(\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu) \sim (\tilde{x}_\mu + c_\mu, x^\nu) \quad : \quad \tilde{x}_\mu \text{'s are gauged and } x^\nu \text{'s form a section.}$$

- Then, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotates the gauged directions and the section.

Doubled coordinates, $x^M = (\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu)$, are gauged through an equivalence relation,

$$x^M \sim x^M + \Delta^M(x),$$

where Δ^M is derivative-index-valued.

Each equivalence class, or gauge orbit in \mathbb{R}^{D+D} , corresponds to a single physical point in \mathbb{R}^D .



- If we solve the section condition by letting $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$, and further put

$$\Delta^M = c_\mu \partial^M x^\mu \quad : \quad \text{derivative-index-valued,}$$

we obtain explicitly,

$$(\tilde{x}_\mu, x^\nu) \sim (\tilde{x}_\mu + c_\mu, x^\nu) \quad : \quad \tilde{x}_\mu \text{'s are gauged and } x^\nu \text{'s form a section.}$$

- Then, $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ rotates the gauged directions and the section.

- In DFT, the usual infinitesimal one-form, dx^M , is neither diffeomorphic covariant,

$$\delta x^M = \xi^M, \quad \delta(dx^M) = dx^N \partial_N \xi^M \neq dx^N (\partial_N \xi^M - \partial^M \xi_N),$$

nor invariant under the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$dx^M \longrightarrow d(x^M + \Delta^M) \neq dx^M.$$

- The naive contraction, $dx^M dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}$, is not a coordinate invariant scalar, and thus cannot lead to any sensible definition of ‘proper length’ in DFT.

- In DFT, the usual infinitesimal one-form, dx^M , is neither diffeomorphic covariant,

$$\delta x^M = \xi^M, \quad \delta(dx^M) = dx^N \partial_N \xi^M \neq dx^N (\partial_N \xi^M - \partial^M \xi_N),$$

nor invariant under the coordinate gauge symmetry,

$$dx^M \longrightarrow d(x^M + \Delta^M) \neq dx^M.$$

- The naive contraction, $dx^M dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}$, is not a coordinate invariant scalar, and thus cannot lead to any sensible definition of ‘proper length’ in DFT.

The problems can be all cured by gauging the infinitesimal one-form explicitly,

$$Dx^M := dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M.$$

Dx^M is a covariant vector in DFT

- The gauge potential should satisfy the same property as the coordinate gauge symmetry generator: it must be derivative-index-valued too, satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}^M \partial_M = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_M \mathcal{A}^M = 0.$$

- Essentially, half of the components are trivial, e.g. with $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$,

$$\mathcal{A}^M = A_\lambda \partial^M x^\lambda = (A_\mu, 0), \quad Dx^M = (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu, dx^\nu).$$

- With the appropriate transformations of \mathcal{A}^M , the covariance of Dx^M is ensured:

$$\delta x^M = \Delta^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = d\Delta^M \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = 0;$$

$$\delta x^M = \xi^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = \partial^M \xi_N (dx^N - \mathcal{A}^N) \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = Dx^N (\partial_N \xi^M - \partial^M \xi_N).$$

c.f. natural extension to EFT by Blair 2017

The problems can be all cured by gauging the infinitesimal one-form explicitly,

$$Dx^M := dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M .$$

Dx^M is a covariant vector in DFT

- The gauge potential should satisfy the same property as the coordinate gauge symmetry generator: it must be derivative-index-valued too, satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}^M \partial_M = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_M \mathcal{A}^M = 0 .$$

- Essentially, half of the components are trivial, *e.g.* with $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$,

$$\mathcal{A}^M = A_\lambda \partial^M x^\lambda = (A_\mu, 0), \quad Dx^M = (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu, dx^\nu) .$$

- With the appropriate transformations of \mathcal{A}^M , the covariance of Dx^M is ensured:

$$\delta x^M = \Delta^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = d\Delta^M \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = 0;$$

$$\delta x^M = \xi^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = \partial^M \xi_N (dx^N - \mathcal{A}^N) \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = Dx^N (\partial_N \xi^M - \partial^M \xi_N) .$$

c.f. natural extension to EFT by Blair 2017

The problems can be all cured by gauging the infinitesimal one-form explicitly,

$$Dx^M := dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M .$$

Dx^M is a covariant vector in DFT

- The gauge potential should satisfy the same property as the coordinate gauge symmetry generator: it must be derivative-index-valued too, satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}^M \partial_M = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}_M \mathcal{A}^M = 0 .$$

- Essentially, half of the components are trivial, *e.g.* with $\tilde{\partial}^\mu \equiv 0$,

$$\mathcal{A}^M = A_\lambda \partial^M x^\lambda = (A_\mu, 0), \quad Dx^M = (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu, dx^\nu) .$$

- With the appropriate transformations of \mathcal{A}^M , the covariance of Dx^M is ensured:

$$\delta x^M = \Delta^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = d\Delta^M \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = 0;$$

$$\delta x^M = \xi^M, \quad \delta \mathcal{A}^M = \partial^M \xi_N (dx^N - \mathcal{A}^N) \quad \implies \quad \delta(Dx^M) = Dx^N (\partial_N \xi^M - \partial^M \xi_N) .$$

c.f. natural extension to EFT by Blair 2017



Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

With $Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M$, we can define **Proper Length** through a path integral,

$$\mathbf{Length} := -\ln \left[\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp \left(- \int \sqrt{Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}} \right) \right].$$

which is gauged and covariant under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and DFT-diffeomorphisms.

- For the Riemannian DFT-metric, we have a useful relation,

$$Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu + dx^\rho B_{\rho\mu}) (d\tilde{x}_\nu - A_\nu + dx^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu}) g^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Hence, after integrating out the gauge potential, A_μ , the above $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant definition of the proper length reduces to the conventional one,

$$\mathbf{Length} \implies \int \sqrt{dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu}(x)}.$$

- Since it is independent of \tilde{x}_μ , indeed it measures the distance between two gauge orbits, which is of course a desired feature.



Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

With $Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M$, we can define **Proper Length** through a path integral,

$$\mathbf{Length} := -\ln \left[\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp \left(- \int \sqrt{Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}} \right) \right].$$

which is gauged and covariant under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and DFT-diffeomorphisms.

- For the Riemannian DFT-metric, we have a useful relation,

$$Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu + dx^\rho B_{\rho\mu}) (d\tilde{x}_\nu - A_\nu + dx^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu}) g^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Hence, after integrating out the gauge potential, A_μ , the above $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant definition of the proper length reduces to the conventional one,

$$\mathbf{Length} \implies \int \sqrt{dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu}(x)}.$$

- Since it is independent of \tilde{x}_μ , indeed it measures the distance between two gauge orbits, which is of course a desired feature.



Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

With $Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M$, we can define **Proper Length** through a path integral,

$$\mathbf{Length} := -\ln \left[\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp \left(- \int \sqrt{Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}} \right) \right].$$

which is gauged and covariant under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and DFT-diffeomorphisms.

- For the Riemannian DFT-metric, we have a useful relation,

$$Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu + dx^\rho B_{\rho\mu}) (d\tilde{x}_\nu - A_\nu + dx^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu}) g^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Hence, after integrating out the gauge potential, A_μ , the above $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant definition of the proper length reduces to the conventional one,

$$\mathbf{Length} \implies \int \sqrt{dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu}(x)}.$$

- Since it is independent of \tilde{x}_μ , indeed it measures the distance between two gauge orbits, which is of course a desired feature.



Doubled-yet-gauged spacetime

With $Dx^M = dx^M - \mathcal{A}^M$, we can define **Proper Length** through a path integral,

$$\mathbf{Length} := -\ln \left[\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp \left(- \int \sqrt{Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}} \right) \right].$$

which is gauged and covariant under $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ and DFT-diffeomorphisms.

- For the Riemannian DFT-metric, we have a useful relation,

$$Dx^M Dx^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} \equiv dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + (d\tilde{x}_\mu - A_\mu + dx^\rho B_{\rho\mu}) (d\tilde{x}_\nu - A_\nu + dx^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu}) g^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Hence, after integrating out the gauge potential, A_μ , the above $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ covariant definition of the proper length reduces to the conventional one,

$$\mathbf{Length} \implies \int \sqrt{dx^\mu dx^\nu g_{\mu\nu}(x)}.$$

- Since it is independent of \tilde{x}_μ , indeed it measures the distance between two gauge orbits, which is of course a desired feature.

The definition of the proper length readily leads to ‘covariant’ actions:

i) Particle Action

Ko-JHP-Suh 2016

$$S_{\text{particle}} = \int d\tau e^{-1} D_\tau x^M D_\tau x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e$$

ii) String Action

Lee-JHP 2013, *c.f.* Hull 2006

$$S_{\text{string}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i x^M D_j x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i x^M A_{jM}$$

With the Riemannian DFT-metric plugged, after integrating out the auxiliary fields, the above actions reduce to the conventional ones:

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int d\tau e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_j x^\mu.$$

The definition of the proper length readily leads to ‘covariant’ actions:

i) Particle Action

Ko-JHP-Suh 2016

$$S_{\text{particle}} = \int d\tau e^{-1} D_\tau x^M D_\tau x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e$$

ii) String Action

Lee-JHP 2013, *c.f.* Hull 2006

$$S_{\text{string}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i x^M D_j x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i x^M A_{jM}$$

With the Riemannian DFT-metric plugged, after integrating out the auxiliary fields, the above actions reduce to the conventional ones:

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int d\tau e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_j x^\mu.$$

The definition of the proper length readily leads to ‘covariant’ actions:

i) Particle Action

Ko-JHP-Suh 2016

$$S_{\text{particle}} = \int d\tau e^{-1} D_\tau x^M D_\tau x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e$$

ii) String Action

Lee-JHP 2013, c.f. Hull 2006

$$S_{\text{string}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-hh^{ij}} D_i x^M D_j x^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i x^M A_{jM}$$

With the Riemannian DFT-metric plugged, after integrating out the auxiliary fields, the above actions reduce to the conventional ones:

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int d\tau e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-hh^{ij}} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_j x^\mu.$$

The scheme has been also extended to construct

iii) **Doubled-yet-gauged Green-Schwarz superstring**

JHP 2016

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-hh^{ij}} \Pi_i^M \Pi_j^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} - \epsilon^{ij} D_i x^M (\mathcal{A}_{jM} - i\Sigma_{jM}),$$

where $\Pi_i^M := D_i x^M - i\Sigma_i^M$ and $\Sigma_i^M := \bar{\theta}\gamma^M \partial_i \theta + \bar{\theta}' \bar{\gamma}^M \partial_i \theta'$.

While this action reduces consistently to the original undoubled one, it features the desired symmetries:

- **O(D, D) T-duality**
- **DFT-diffeomorphisms**
- **Worldsheet diffeomorphisms plus Weyl symmetry**
- **Coordinate gauge symmetry**: $x^M \sim x^M + \Delta^M$ ($\Delta^M \partial_M = 0$)
- **twofold Lorentz symmetry**, $\text{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \text{Spin}(9, 1)_R \Rightarrow$ **Unification of IIA & IIB**
- **Maximal 16+16 SUSY & kappa symmetry** upon flat background

All the above actions are formulated with \mathcal{H}_{MN} , V_{Mp} , $\bar{V}_{M\bar{p}}$ which satisfy the defining properties only, not necessarily parametrized by the Riemannian metric/vielbein.

The scheme has been also extended to construct

iii) Doubled-yet-gauged Green-Schwarz superstring

JHP 2016

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-hh^{ij}} \Pi_i^M \Pi_j^N \mathcal{H}_{MN} - \epsilon^{ij} D_i x^M (\mathcal{A}_{jM} - i\Sigma_{jM}),$$

where $\Pi_i^M := D_i x^M - i\Sigma_i^M$ and $\Sigma_i^M := \bar{\theta}\gamma^M \partial_i \theta + \bar{\theta}' \bar{\gamma}^M \partial_i \theta'$.

While this action reduces consistently to the original undoubled one, it features the desired symmetries:

- $O(D, D)$ T-duality
- DFT-diffeomorphisms
- Worldsheet diffeomorphisms plus Weyl symmetry
- Coordinate gauge symmetry: $x^M \sim x^M + \Delta^M$ ($\Delta^M \partial_M = 0$)
- twofold Lorentz symmetry, $\text{Spin}(1, 9)_L \times \text{Spin}(9, 1)_R \Rightarrow$ **Unification of IIA & IIB**
- Maximal 16+16 SUSY & **kappa symmetry** upon flat background

All the above actions are formulated with \mathcal{H}_{MN} , V_{Mp} , $\bar{V}_{M\bar{p}}$ which satisfy the defining properties only, not necessarily parametrized by the Riemannian metric/vielbein.

Classification

Classification scheme

- $\mathcal{I}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ naturally decomposes the DFT-metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & \mathcal{H}^{\mu\lambda} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining properties, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}$, $\mathcal{H}_M^K \mathcal{H}_K^N = \delta_M^N$, give algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &= H^{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{H}_{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}^\nu{}_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mu}{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\nu)\rho} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}_{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{\nu)} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_\nu + H^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{H}_{\rho\nu} &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The classification task is to find the most general solutions to these conditions.

- Keeping the choice of the section $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$ in mind, we focus on $H^{\mu\nu}$.
- If $H^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, $H^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and the Riemannian DFT-metric is most general.
- Then our classification concerns $\det(H^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, and hence **non-Riemannian**.
- Our classification is point-wise, not necessarily global but local.

Classification scheme

- $\mathcal{I}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ naturally decomposes the DFT-metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & \mathcal{H}^{\mu\lambda} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining properties, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}$, $\mathcal{H}_M^K \mathcal{H}_K^N = \delta_M^N$, give algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &= H^{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{H}_{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}^\nu{}_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mu}{}_\rho H^{\nu)\rho} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}_{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{\nu)} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_\nu + H^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{H}_{\rho\nu} &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The classification task is to find the most general solutions to these conditions.

- Keeping the choice of the section $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$ in mind, we focus on $H^{\mu\nu}$.
- If $H^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, $H^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and the Riemannian DFT-metric is most general.
- Then our classification concerns $\det(H^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, and hence **non-Riemannian**.
- Our classification is point-wise, not necessarily global but local.

Classification scheme

- $\mathcal{I}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ naturally decomposes the DFT-metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & \mathcal{H}^{\mu\lambda} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining properties, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}$, $\mathcal{H}_M^K \mathcal{H}_K^N = \delta_M^N$, give algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &= H^{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{H}_{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}^\nu{}_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mu}{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\nu)\rho} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}_{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{\nu)} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_\nu + H^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{H}_{\rho\nu} &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The classification task is to find the most general solutions to these conditions.

- Keeping the choice of the section $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$ in mind, we focus on $H^{\mu\nu}$.
- If $H^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, $H^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and the Riemannian DFT-metric is most general.
- Then our classification concerns $\det(H^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, and hence **non-Riemannian**.
- Our classification is point-wise, not necessarily global but local.

Classification scheme

- $\mathcal{I}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ naturally decomposes the DFT-metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & \mathcal{H}^{\mu\lambda} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining properties, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}$, $\mathcal{H}_M^K \mathcal{H}_K^N = \delta_M^N$, give algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &= H^{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{H}_{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}^\nu{}_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mu}{}_\rho H^{\nu)\rho} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}_{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{\nu)} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_\nu + H^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{H}_{\rho\nu} &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The classification task is to find the most general solutions to these conditions.

- Keeping the choice of the section $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$ in mind, we focus on $H^{\mu\nu}$.
- If $H^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, $H^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and the Riemannian DFT-metric is most general.
- Then our classification concerns $\det(H^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, and hence **non-Riemannian**.
- Our classification is point-wise, not necessarily global but local.

Classification scheme

- $\mathcal{I}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ naturally decomposes the DFT-metric,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & \mathcal{H}^{\mu\lambda} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\nu} & \mathcal{H}_{\kappa\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The defining properties, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{H}_{NM}$, $\mathcal{H}_M^K \mathcal{H}_K^N = \delta_M^N$, give algebraic relations:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mu\nu} &= H^{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathcal{H}_{\nu\mu}, & \mathcal{H}_\mu{}^\nu &= \mathcal{H}^\nu{}_\mu, \\ \mathcal{H}^{(\mu}{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\nu)\rho} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}_{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_{\nu)} &= 0, & \mathcal{H}^\mu{}_\rho \mathcal{H}^{\rho}{}_\nu + H^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{H}_{\rho\nu} &= \delta^\mu{}_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The classification task is to find the most general solutions to these conditions.

- Keeping the choice of the section $\tilde{\delta}^\mu \equiv 0$ in mind, we focus on $H^{\mu\nu}$.
- If $H^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, $H^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and the Riemannian DFT-metric is most general.
- Then our classification concerns $\det(H^{\mu\nu}) = 0$, and hence **non-Riemannian**.
- Our classification is point-wise, not necessarily global but local.

Result

The most general form of the DFT-metric is characterized by two non-negative integers, (n, \bar{n}) , $0 \leq n + \bar{n} \leq D$, and assumes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & -H^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{i}} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\nu} + X_{\kappa}^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\kappa}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu & K_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\kappa}^{\bar{i}} B_{\lambda)\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}$$

i) Symmetric and skew-symmetric fields: $H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\nu\mu}$, $K_{\mu\nu} = K_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$;

ii) The kernels of H and K , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$,

$$H^{\mu\nu} X_\nu^i = 0, \quad H^{\mu\nu} \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} Y_j^\nu = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \bar{Y}_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{\nu}} = 0;$$

iii) Completeness relation: $H^{\mu\rho} K_{\rho\nu} + Y_i^\mu X_\nu^i + \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = \delta^\mu_\nu$.

• Orthonormality follows

$$Y_i^\mu X_\mu^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}, \quad Y_i^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu X_\mu^j = 0.$$

Result

The most general form of the DFT-metric is characterized by two non-negative integers, (n, \bar{n}) , $0 \leq n + \bar{n} \leq D$, and assumes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & -H^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{i}} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\nu} + X_{\kappa}^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\kappa}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu & K_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\kappa}^{\bar{i}} B_{\lambda)\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}$$

- i) Symmetric and skew-symmetric fields: $H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\nu\mu}$, $K_{\mu\nu} = K_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$;
ii) The kernels of H and K , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$,

$$H^{\mu\nu} X_\nu^i = 0, \quad H^{\mu\nu} \bar{X}_\nu^{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} Y_j^\nu = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \bar{Y}_{\bar{j}}^\nu = 0;$$

- iii) Completeness relation: $H^{\mu\rho} K_{\rho\nu} + Y_i^\mu X_\nu^i + \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\nu^{\bar{i}} = \delta^\mu_\nu$.

- Orthonormality follows

$$Y_i^\mu X_\mu^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}, \quad Y_i^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu X_\mu^j = 0.$$

Result

The most general form of the DFT-metric is characterized by two non-negative integers, (n, \bar{n}) , $0 \leq n + \bar{n} \leq D$, and assumes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & -H^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{i}} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\nu} + X_\kappa^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu & K_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{\lambda})\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}$$

i) Symmetric and skew-symmetric fields: $H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\nu\mu}$, $K_{\mu\nu} = K_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$;

ii) The kernels of H and K , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$,

$$H^{\mu\nu} X_\nu^i = 0, \quad H^{\mu\nu} \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} Y_j^\nu = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \bar{Y}_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{\nu}} = 0;$$

iii) Completeness relation: $H^{\mu\rho} K_{\rho\nu} + Y_i^\mu X_\nu^i + \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$.

• Orthonormality follows

$$Y_i^\mu X_\mu^j = \delta_i^j, \quad \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{j}}, \quad Y_i^\mu \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{j}} = \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu X_\mu^j = 0.$$

Result

The most general form of the DFT-metric is characterized by two non-negative integers, (n, \bar{n}) , $0 \leq n + \bar{n} \leq D$, and assumes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & -H^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\lambda^{\bar{i}} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\nu} + X_\kappa^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu & K_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\lambda)\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}$$

- i) Symmetric and skew-symmetric fields: $H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\nu\mu}$, $K_{\mu\nu} = K_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$;
- ii) The kernels of H and K , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$,

$$H^{\mu\nu} X_\nu^i = 0, \quad H^{\mu\nu} \bar{X}_\nu^{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} Y_j^\nu = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \bar{Y}_j^{\bar{\nu}} = 0;$$

- iii) Completeness relation: $H^{\mu\rho} K_{\rho\nu} + Y_i^\mu X_\nu^i + \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_\nu^{\bar{i}} = \delta^\mu_\nu$.

- It is instructive to note the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant trace, $\mathcal{H}_A^A = 2(n - \bar{n})$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H & Y_i(X^i)^T - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}(\bar{X}^{\bar{i}})^T \\ X^i(Y_i)^T - \bar{X}^{\bar{i}}(\bar{Y}_{\bar{i}})^T & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Result

The most general form of the DFT-metric is characterized by two non-negative integers, (n, \bar{n}) , $0 \leq n + \bar{n} \leq D$, and assumes the form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\mu\nu} & -H^{\mu\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + Y_i^\mu X_\lambda^i - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{i}} \\ B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\nu} + X_\kappa^i Y_i^\nu - \bar{X}_{\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu & K_{\kappa\lambda} - B_{\kappa\rho} H^{\rho\sigma} B_{\sigma\lambda} + 2X_{(\kappa}^i B_{\lambda)\rho} Y_i^\rho - 2\bar{X}_{(\bar{\kappa}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{\lambda})\rho} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\rho \end{pmatrix}$$

- i) Symmetric and skew-symmetric fields: $H^{\mu\nu} = H^{\nu\mu}$, $K_{\mu\nu} = K_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$;
- ii) The kernels of H and K , with $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{n}$,

$$H^{\mu\nu} X_\nu^i = 0, \quad H^{\mu\nu} \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} Y_j^\nu = 0, \quad K_{\mu\nu} \bar{Y}_{\bar{j}}^{\bar{\nu}} = 0;$$

- iii) Completeness relation: $H^{\mu\rho} K_{\rho\nu} + Y_i^\mu X_\nu^i + \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\mu \bar{X}_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{i}} = \delta^\mu_\nu$.

- It is instructive to note the $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ invariant trace, $\mathcal{H}_A^A = 2(n - \bar{n})$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H & Y_i(X^i)^T - \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}(\bar{X}^{\bar{i}})^T \\ X^i(Y_i)^T - \bar{X}^{\bar{i}}(\bar{Y}_{\bar{i}})^T & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary 'coordinate gauge symmetry' potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

i) Particle freezes over the $(n + \bar{n})$ dimensions

$$X_\mu^i \dot{x}^\mu \equiv 0, \quad \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \dot{x}^\mu \equiv 0 .$$

ii) String becomes chiral over the n dimensions and anti-chiral over the \bar{n} dimensions

$$X_\mu^i \left(\partial_\alpha x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \epsilon_\alpha{}^\beta \partial_\beta x^\mu \right) \equiv 0, \quad \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \left(\partial_\alpha x^\mu - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \epsilon_\alpha{}^\beta \partial_\beta x^\mu \right) \equiv 0 .$$

We now insert the (n, \bar{n}) DFT-metric into the doubled-yet-gauged sigma models, and analyze the particle and the string dynamics separately.

The auxiliary ‘coordinate gauge symmetry’ potential decomposes into three parts:

$$A_\mu = K_{\mu\rho} H^{\rho\nu} A_\nu + X_\mu^i Y_i^\nu A_\nu + \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \bar{Y}_{\bar{i}}^\nu A_\nu .$$

- The first part appears quadratically, which leads to Gaussian integral.
- The second and third parts appear linearly, as Lagrange multipliers, to prescribe

i) Particle freezes over the $(n + \bar{n})$ dimensions

$$X_\mu^i \dot{x}^\mu \equiv 0, \quad \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \dot{x}^\mu \equiv 0 .$$

ii) String becomes chiral over the n dimensions and anti-chiral over the \bar{n} dimensions

$$X_\mu^i \left(\partial_\alpha x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \epsilon_\alpha^\beta \partial_\beta x^\mu \right) \equiv 0, \quad \bar{X}_\mu^{\bar{i}} \left(\partial_\alpha x^\mu - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{h}}} \epsilon_\alpha^\beta \partial_\beta x^\mu \right) \equiv 0 .$$

- Given the most general form of the DFT-metric, one can easily derive the corresponding DFT-vielbeins:

$$P_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} + \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = V_M{}^p V_N{}^q \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{P}_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} - \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = \bar{V}_M{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- In particular, the ‘doubled’ spin group for $\eta_{pq}, \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$ turns out to be generically heterotic:

$$\mathbf{Spin}(t+n, s+n) \times \mathbf{Spin}(s+\bar{n}, t+\bar{n}),$$

where (t, s) is the signature of H and K .

- It is not the full but the sub-signature, (t, s) , that matters for unitarity, since $K_{\mu\nu}$ becomes the effective metric remaining after the dynamical ‘freezings’:

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int dt e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu K_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sigma,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-h} h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu K_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{x}_\mu \partial_\beta \bar{x}^\nu.$$

- Given the most general form of the DFT-metric, one can easily derive the corresponding DFT-vielbeins:

$$P_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} + \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = V_M{}^p V_N{}^q \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{P}_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} - \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = \bar{V}_M{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- In particular, the ‘doubled’ spin group for $\eta_{pq}, \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$ turns out to be generically heterotic:

$$\mathbf{Spin}(t+n, s+n) \times \mathbf{Spin}(s+\bar{n}, t+\bar{n}),$$

where (t, s) is the signature of H and K .

- It is not the full but the sub-signature, (t, s) , that matters for unitarity, since $K_{\mu\nu}$ becomes the effective metric remaining after the dynamical ‘freezings’ :

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int d\tau e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu K_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu K_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_j x^\mu.$$

- Given the most general form of the DFT-metric, one can easily derive the corresponding DFT-vielbeins:

$$P_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} + \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = V_M{}^p V_N{}^q \eta_{pq}, \quad \bar{P}_{MN} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{MN} - \mathcal{H}_{MN}) = \bar{V}_M{}^{\bar{p}} \bar{V}_N{}^{\bar{q}} \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}.$$

- In particular, the ‘doubled’ spin group for $\eta_{pq}, \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}$ turns out to be generically heterotic:

$$\mathbf{Spin}(t+n, s+n) \times \mathbf{Spin}(s+\bar{n}, t+\bar{n}),$$

where (t, s) is the signature of H and K .

- It is not the full but the sub-signature, (t, s) , that matters for unitarity, since $K_{\mu\nu}$ becomes the effective metric remaining after the dynamical ‘freezings’ :

$$S_{\text{particle}} \Rightarrow \int d\tau e^{-1} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu K_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 e,$$

$$S_{\text{string}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\hbar} h^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu K_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i x^\mu \partial_j x^\nu B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \tilde{x}_\mu \partial_j x^\mu.$$

Kaluza-Klein ansatz for DFT

- The Kaluza-Klein ansatz for Riemannian metric:

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g' + aga^T & ag \\ ga^T & g \end{pmatrix} = \exp[\hat{a}] \begin{pmatrix} g' & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \exp[\hat{a}^T], \quad \hat{a}_{\hat{\mu}}{}^{\hat{\nu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{\mu'}{}^{\nu} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

- Similarly, with the decomposition of $\hat{D} = D' + D$,

$$\mathbf{O}(\hat{D}, \hat{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(D', D') \times \mathbf{O}(D, D), \quad \hat{\mathcal{J}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}' & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J} \end{pmatrix},$$

the Kaluza-Klein ansatz for the DFT-metric, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{M}\hat{N}}$, reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \exp[\hat{W}] \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}' & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \exp[\hat{W}^T],$$

where \hat{W} is an off-block-diagonal $\mathfrak{so}(\hat{D}, \hat{D})$ element,

$$\hat{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -W \\ \bar{W} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(\hat{D}, \hat{D}), \quad \bar{W}_M{}^{M'} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} W_{N'}{}^N \mathcal{J}'{}^{N'M'}, \quad W_{L'M} W^{L'N} = 0.$$

- Our classification applies to \mathcal{H}' and \mathcal{H} , as (n', \bar{n}') and (n, \bar{n}) .

Kaluza-Klein ansatz for DFT

- The Kaluza-Klein ansatz for Riemannian metric:

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g' + aga^T & ag \\ ga^T & g \end{pmatrix} = \exp[\hat{a}] \begin{pmatrix} g' & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \exp[\hat{a}^T], \quad \hat{a}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\nu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{\mu'}^{\nu'} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

- Similarly, with the decomposition of $\hat{D} = D' + D$,

$$\mathbf{O}(\hat{D}, \hat{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(D', D') \times \mathbf{O}(D, D), \quad \hat{\mathcal{J}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}' & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{J} \end{pmatrix},$$

the Kaluza-Klein ansatz for the DFT-metric, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{M}\hat{N}}$, reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \exp[\hat{W}] \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}' & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \exp[\hat{W}^T],$$

where \hat{W} is an off-block-diagonal $\mathfrak{so}(\hat{D}, \hat{D})$ element,

$$\hat{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -W \\ \bar{W} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{so}(\hat{D}, \hat{D}), \quad \bar{W}_M{}^{M'} = \mathcal{J}_{MN} W_{N'}{}^N \mathcal{J}'{}^{N'M'}, \quad W_{L'M} W^{L'N} = 0.$$

- Our classification applies to \mathcal{H}' and \mathcal{H} , as (n', \bar{n}') and (n, \bar{n}) .

Application

One must be prepared to follow up the consequence of theory, and feel that one just has to accept the consequences no matter where they lead.

– Paul Dirac –

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above.
The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26$, $(16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10$ $(3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, V_{MP} , $\bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above. The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above.
The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

- i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.
- ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.
- iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above. The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

- i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.
- ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.
- iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015
- iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:
 proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.
- v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:
 proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.
- vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.
- vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.
- viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.
 – work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above.
The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{M\bar{D}}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{D}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above. The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above. The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above. The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, $V_{MP}, \bar{V}_{M\bar{P}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above.
The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

i) $(0, 0)$ corresponds to the Riemannian geometry or “Generalized Geometry”.

ii) $(D, 0)$ is maximally non-Riemannian, $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = \mathcal{J}_{MN}$, and realizes Siegel’s chiral string.

iii) $(1, 1)$ gives the Gomis-Ooguri non-relativistic string. Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-JHP 2015

iv) $(1, 0)$ is for the non-relativistic Newton-Cartan gravity:

proper ‘length’ $ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(1, 0)$.

v) $(D-1, 0)$ is for the ultra-relativistic Carroll gravity:

proper ‘time’ $d\tau^2 = dt^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} g^{\mu\nu} = H^{\mu\nu}$ is regular as $(D-1, 0)$.

vi) $D = 26, (16, 0)$ produces the field contents of heterotic DFT à la Hohm-Sen-Zwiebach 2015.

vii) $D = 10 (3, 3)$, with $\text{Spin}(4, 6) \times \text{Spin}(6, 4)$, may open a new scheme for the critical superstring reduction, from ten to four, alternative to Riemannian compactification.

viii) The EOM of DFT governs the dynamics of the non-Riemannian (n, \bar{n}) backgrounds.

– work in progress with Kyungho Cho and Kevin Morand –

It is neither R-R sector nor R-NS fermions but NS-NS, V_{Mp} , $\bar{V}_{M\bar{p}}$, that characterize the conventional (Riemannian) IIA, IIB and various non-Riemannian configurations above.

The NS-NS sector empowers DFT to unify all of them.

Gracias