

GRAND Big simulations

Overview and status: Radio emission from air showers

Anne Zilles (IAP)

Radio-signal emission from air showers



Simulation of radio emission

- Several macroscopic and microscopic simulation programs on the market
- In the last years: results agree with each other
- including all effects on the radio signal e.g. due to refractive index
- simulation codes just differ in their complexity
- Results also agree with radio signal measurements: air shower <20%, lab <35%





In GRAND, we are using:

• ZHAireS

(full MC simulations of the radio signal emitted by the individual electrons and positrons in air Showers) [Astropart. Phys. 35 (2012) 325] \rightarrow more accurate, but **computing intensive**

• cross-checked with EVA (macroscopic model) [Astropart.Phys. 37 (2012) 5-16]

Radio morphing

CPU requests too huge (limited resources)

+ non-flat detector - 3D showers simulations if topography!=flat, not only footprint on ground

=> parametrization of the shower radio emission



<u>Goal:</u> compute (analytically) the **electric field generated by shower A** at any location within Cerenkov cone **from a generic shower B** simulated with high antenna density (initiated in July 2016):

- \rightarrow Morphing $E_{B}(t)@x_{i}$ to $E_{A}(t)@x$
 - Scaling $E_A(x_i, t) = k_{AB} \cdot E_B(x_i, t)$
 - interpolation $E_B(x_i, t) \rightarrow E_B(x, t)$

Scaling study ingredients – radio simulation

Faster shower development when deeper in atmosphere $\rightarrow X_{max}$ closer to τ decay point - can be accounted for when using <u>distance from Xmax</u> rather than from τ decay point.



Radio morphing – current status

=> Goal: determination of expected electric field at any position emitted from any shower derived from generic shower

Work going on since July 2016: K. De Vries, O.Martineau, C. Medina, M. Tueros, A. Zilles

Current results:

- 1 Study showed that at fixed distance from Xmax, radio emission depends solely on E, θ , ϕ and h
- 2 Each parameter dependency can be parametrized by simple scaling factor (eg. $k_E = E_A / E_B$)
- 3 Each parameter is independent from the others => $\mathbf{k}_{AB} = \mathbf{k}_{E}^{*} \mathbf{k}_{\theta}^{*} \mathbf{k}_{\phi}^{*} \mathbf{k}_{h}$

Radio morphing – current status

=> Goal: determination of expected electric field at any position emitted from any shower derived from generic shower

Scaling $E_A(x_i, t) = k_{AB} \cdot E_B(x_i, t)$ already successfully applied to peak amplitude distrubutions





Scaling of pulses



3D pulse shape interpolation







2 - Pulse shape interpolation in between planes: Work in progress: time shift has to be included correctly



Radio morphing - summary

→ extremely powerful method:

 \rightarrow gain a very large amount of time

in principle only one shower simulation, rest is analytical!

- pulse scaling + 3D interpolation to be completed this summer (keep working hard on it!)
- → Radio morphing will be tested on the toy model

Avoid BIG simulations. Do GRAND simulations.

The toy model

- → **test shower parametrisation** by direct comparison of "full" radio simulation to scaled ones
- → quantify the role of the antenna array topography on the detection: Determine optimal topography for detection by changing distance and slope parameters, advantage wrt flat site



+ Test robustness of treatment used for the preliminary GRAND sensitivity determination

Goal: move to simulation over real topography

- first the 60'000km² simulation area used in the preliminary study, then larger area, full Earth?

Simulation chain



Antenna model: Parallel session Wednesday afternoon

Digitalisation + trigger based on coincidences