FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY



Matthieu Vivier T. Lasserre G. Mention C. Nones

CEA-Saclay, DRF/Irfu/DPhP www.cea.fr





Toward CEvNS detection at Chooz GDR Neutrino meeting









- **1. Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering**
- 2. Envisaged technology for CEvNS detection
- 3. Physics case at Chooz nuclear power plant



-2

Coherent elastic neutrino nucleus scattering

- Neutral current process, first predicted by Freedman (1974), still not observed yet
- Flavor insensitive (!)

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

VOLUME 9, NUMBER 5

1 MARCH 1974

3

Coherent effects of a weak neutral current

Daniel Z. Freedman[†] National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510 and Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11790 (Received 15 October 1973; revised manuscript received 19 November 1973)

If there is a weak neutral current, then the elastic scattering process $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A$ should have a sharp coherent forward peak just as $e + A \rightarrow e + A$ does. Experiments to observe this peak can give important information on the isospin structure of the neutral current. The experiments are very difficult, although the estimated cross sections (about 10^{-38} cm² on carbon) are favorable. The coherent cross sections (in contrast to incoherent) are almost energy-independent. Therefore, energies as low as 100 MeV may be suitable. Quasicoherent nuclear excitation processes $\nu + A \rightarrow \nu + A^*$ provide possible tests of the conservation of the weak neutral current. Because of strong coherent effects at very low energies, the nuclear elastic scattering process may be important in inhibiting cooling by neutrino emission in stellar collapse and neutron stars.





"Coherence":





CEvNS cross-section







CEvNS kinematics





- Extremely low recoil energies: hence very challenging to see...
- Reactor vs (≈ 3 MeV) typically produce on average 10-100 eV nucleus recoils, which can go up to a few keV, depending on target mass.
- Accelerator vs with $E \approx 10-50$ MeV produce recoils generally above 1-10 keV.



CEvNS is a relevant process for supernova dynamics...



Solar/Atmospheric/DSNB CEvNS could be soon an irreducible background for



Would benefit from the measurement of σ_{CEVNS} ...



Particle phy



- Test of standard model search for BSM physics
 - Measurement of Weinberg angle at low q
 - Possibility to explore the light sterile neutrino sector
 - Search for NSI interactions
 - \circ $\,$ Search for ν magnetic moment $\,$

o ...





The variety of sources trade off flux, energy and knowledge of spectrum











1. Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering

2. Envisaged technology for CEvNS detection

3. Physics case at Chooz nuclear power plant



-2





• Using accelerators neutrinos: larger $E_v \rightarrow$ larger recoils \rightarrow "easier" detection



CEvNS detection strategies

• Using accelerators neutrinos: larger $E_v \rightarrow$ larger recoils \rightarrow "easier" detection



- Multiple detectors placed 20-30 m away from neutrino source (10⁷ cm⁻² s⁻¹ @ 20 m)
- Up to ≈ 100 events/yr expected
 - Neutrons most dangerous source of backgrounds: from SNS itself & neutrinos induced neutrons on PB and Fe
- 10⁻⁴ neutron discrimination factor thanks to pulsed structure of beam

Target	Technology	Mass [kg]	Distance [m]	Recoil threshold [keVnr]	Data-taking start date/ CEnNS detection goal
Csl[Na]	Scintillator	14	20	6.5	Sept. 2015; 3 σ in 2 yr
Ge	HPGe PPC	10	22	5	Early 2017
LAr	Single phase	35	29	20	Dec. 2016
Nal[Tl]	Scintillator	185	28	13	Summer 2016





• Using reactors neutrinos: smaller $E_v \rightarrow$ smaller recoils \rightarrow "harder" detection





- Using reactors neutrinos: smaller $E_v \rightarrow$ smaller recoils \rightarrow "harder" detection.
- Smaller recoils → lower thresholds → bolometry technique !





- Using reactors neutrinos: smaller $E_v \rightarrow$ smaller recoils \rightarrow "harder" detection.
- Performances achieved by bolometer detectors in direct DM and 0vββ experiments steadily improved over the past decades.
- Idea: repurposing DM detectors... Need to achieve recoil thresholds below 100 eV and low background rates to see the onset of reactor neutrinos from CEvNS.



CRESST CaWO₄ (TUM)

«v-cleus»





EDELWEISS Ge (CSNSM/IPNL/CEA)









Strategy: reducing size of absorbers...

CRESST CaWO₄ (TUM)



- Heat and scintillation signals
- New 24 g detectors reached 50-100 eV thresholds
- Low internal background:
 < 3.5 events/keV/kg/day

EDELWEISS Ge (CSNSM/IPNL/CEA)



- Heat and ionization signals
- New 25 gram-scale detectors projected to reach 50-100 eV thresholds
- Internal background contamination reduced down to ≈ 1 events/keV/kg/day

<u>Zn</u> (MIT)



- High Debye temperature, low thermal capacitance
- Phonons and quasi-particles (breaking of cooper pairs) signals
- Potential separation of nuclear recoils from electromagnetic recoils using timing signatures of phonons vs QQs...





v-cleus concept (Strauss et al., arXiv:1704.04320)

Array of gram-scale (5x5x5) mm³ Al₂O₃/CaWO₄ crystal cubes









- 1. Coherent Elastic Neutrino Nucleus Scattering
- 2. Envisaged technology for CEvNS detection
- 3. Physics case at Chooz nuclear power plant



-2



CEvNs at DC near laboratory



- Double Chooz
 - Will end operation by the end of 2017, and be dismantled by 2018/2019
 - o Full infrastructure at the near laboratory could be reused







Integral count rates at near lab



$$\begin{split} N_{CE\nu NS}(T \geq T_{th}) &= \frac{t}{4\pi D^2} \times \underbrace{\frac{P_{th}}{\sum_k \alpha_k E_{f,k}}}_{i} \\ &\times \sum_i \frac{M_{det}}{m_i} A_i \times \int_0^\infty dE \phi(E_\nu) \int_{T_{th}}^{T_{max}(m_i, E_\nu)} dT \frac{d\sigma}{dT}(m_i, T, E_\nu) \end{split}$$
- Fission rate

- Isotope natural abundance
- **Reactor** v spectrum
- **CEvNS recoil spectrum**

Rates $[kg^{-1} d^{-1}]$ above energy threshold with $B_{1,2}$ operating at full power

T _{th}	Zn	Ge	CaWO ₄
10 eV	0.87	1.03	3.79
20 eV	0.78	0.92	3.19
50 eV	0.60	0.68	2.16
100 eV	0.41	0.46	1.38
200 eV	0.22	0.23	0.80

Need a few kilograms of material to get a couple of events per day

Recoil spectra @ Chooz near lab



- A simple (but unrealistic) baseline scenario:
 - Single detector with mass 1 kg
 - Region of interest for signal detection: recoil events from T_{th} up to 1 keV
 - Two kinds of background "modeling" in the RoI: flat or exponential



Differential recoil spectra [kg⁻¹ d⁻¹ keV⁻¹] @ Chooz near lab

 $B(x) = A + B \exp(-\Gamma x)$

Simplistic sensitivity projections @ Chooz near lab



- **Combining** three envisaged technologies:
 - Total payload mass: 1 kg (Ge + Zn + CaWO₄)
 - Region of interest for signal detection: recoil events from T_{th}= 50 eV up to 2 keV
 - Two kinds of background "modeling" in the RoI: flat or exponential
 - Background rate is assigned a 20% uncertainty
 - Detection efficiency is assigned a 5% uncertainty
 - \circ $\;$ Reactor and ν_{e} spectra uncertainty embedded in an additional 5% signal normalization uncertainty



Rate + shape significance

Matthieu Vivier - GdR Neutrino 2017

A possible detector configuration...











Matthieu Vivier - GdR Neutrino 2017







Integral count rates at a very near site



$$\begin{split} N_{CE\nu NS}(T \geq T_{th}) &= \frac{t}{4\pi D^2} \times \underbrace{\frac{P_{th}}{\sum_k \alpha_k E_{f,k}}}_{k} \\ &\times \sum_i \frac{M_{det}}{m_i} A_i \times \int_0^\infty dE \phi(E_\nu) \int_{T_{th}}^{T_{max}(m_i, E_\nu)} dT \frac{d\sigma}{dT}(m_i, T, E_\nu) \end{split}$$
- Fission rate

- **Isotope natural abundance**
- **Reactor** v spectrum
- **CEvNS recoil spectrum**

Rates [kg⁻¹ d⁻¹] above energy threshold at **80 m** from B_{1,2} operating at full power

T _{th}	Zn	Ge	CaWO ₄
10 eV	20.68	24.46	89.67
20 eV	18.50	21.68	75.42
50 eV	14.12	16.18	51.05
100 eV	9.72	10.80	32.66
200 eV	5.19	5.49	18.86

Rates x 30 with respect to Double Chooz near lab

Need a few 10 to 100 grams of material to get a couple of events per day Matthieu Vivier - GdR Neutrino 2017



Sensitivity at a very near site



- A simple (but unrealistic) baseline scenario:
 - \circ ~ Single detector with mass 0.1 kg ~
 - Region of interest for signal detection: recoil events from T_{th} = 50 eV up to 2 keV
 - Two kinds of background "modeling" in the RoI: flat or exponential
 - Background rate is assigned a 20% uncertainty
 - Detection efficiency is assigned a 5% uncertainty
 - \circ ~ Reactor and ν_{e} spectra uncertainty embedded in an additional 5% signal normalization uncertainty



Looking for a very near site...



- Contact established with Chooz engineers
- An 'EDF coordinator' has been associated to our project
- Two potential sites have been identified by EDF engineers. They are located in the basement of the administrative buildings between the two reactors.



Casemate: contact with CNPE @ Chooz



- Discussions on:
 - Locations
 - o Overburden
 - Other possible backgrounds (spent nuclear fuel pools, ...)
 - Active/passive shieldings
 - Casemate Size
 - Integration constraints
 - Access (restricted)
 - Ventilation
 - Water line?
 - Electrical Power?



A growing interest...





Massachusetts Institute of technology

Rachel Carr Joseph Formaggio Joseph Johnson Alexander Leder Valerian Sibille Sarah Townbridge Lindley Winslow

University of Wisconsin, Madison

Kimberly Palladino

Northwestern University

Enectali Figueroa-Feliciano Hong Ziqing



Technical University of Munich

Xavier Defay Alex Langenkaemper Elizabeth Mondragon Lothar Oberauer Stefan Schoenert Michael Willers

MPI, Munich Michele Mancuso Frederica Petricca Raimund Strauss



IPNL Lyon

Corinne Augier Julien Billard Jules Gascon Maryvonne de Jesus Alexandre Julliard Romain Maisonobe

CEA-Saclay, DRF/Irfu

Thierry Lasserre Claudia Nones Guillaume Mention Matthieu Vivier

<u>CSNSM, Orsay</u> Louis Dumoulin Stefanos Marnieros Emiliano Olivieri

APC, Paris Jaime Dawson



Conclusions



- CEvNS soon to be measured, thanks to improvements in DM direct detection experiments achieved over the past decades
- Attractive process: offers a wide variety of science applications (test of standard model, search for BSM, astroparticle physics implications)
- Two basic approaches: either using accelerator neutrinos ($E_v < 50$ MeV, low flux) or reactor neutrinos ($E_v < 10$ MeV, higher flux)
- Concept presented here aims at repurposing bolometric DM detectors for detecting CEvNS at the Chooz power plant
- Three envisaged bolometric technologies:
 - Ge [EDELWEISS style]
 - CaWO₄ [CRESST style]
 - Superconducting metal (Zn)
- First sensitivity studies showed that:
 - At least 1 kg of material with a recoil threshold less than 100 eV is necessary for a 5 σ detection @ Chooz near lab (400m) in less than a year, with $R_{bck} < 10 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$
 - 10-100 g of material with $E_{th} < 50 \text{ eV}$ are enough to detect CEvNS in a few weeks at a very near site (< 100 m from B_1 and B_2 cores)



Future



- The idea of installing low threshold bolometer devices at Chooz shows a growing interest: collaborative work between American, French & German groups is on-going
- Dedicated background simulations are on-going at DC near hall and at a low overburden very near site
 - o Estimate cosmogenic and radiogenic neutron backgrounds
 - $\circ \quad \text{Estimate } \gamma/\beta \text{ backgrounds}$
 - Optimize shielding configuration
- A realistic scenario is being worked out to install a cryostat in the Double Chooz near detector pit
- A letter of intent is in preparation...



Global Geometry Visualization



Matthieu Vivier - GdR Neutrino 2017

FROM RESERVED TO INCOME.





Backup slides



Repurposing DM



EDELWEISS detectors

- Separation of nuclear recoils from e⁻ for in recoils using heat and ionization signals
- New 25 gram-scale detectors projected to reach 50-100 eV thresholds (Ricochet project)
- Internal background contamination reduced down to ≈ 1 events/keV/kg/day
 pl 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 the g bε
 c mstance the cryostat screens (to 11 and other copper parts at 10 mK (dis detectors, vertical bars and 10 mK chamber) are made of ultra radiopure NOS



Matthieu Vivier - GdP Neutrino 2017 Radioactivity measurements of most new components were done at LSM using low



Raimund Strauss. MPI Munich



Neutron Calibration

tput for highly-ionizing particle

CRESST (type 3) detectors

CaWO4 crystals with TES readout

18

- Separation of nuclear recoils from e⁻ recoils using heat and scintillation signals
- New 24 g detectors reached 50-100 eV thresholds
- Low internal background contamination (< 3.5 events/keV/kg/day)



Using superconducting metals... Figure 3(a) shows the

- R&D strategy pursued by MIT group of J. Formaggio (Ricochet project)
- Metallic Zn absorber coupled to TES
- High Debye temperature, low thermal capacitance
- In a superconducting state, competition between production of phonons and quasi-particles (breaking of cooper pairs)
- Recombination time for QQs extremely long at low temperatures,
 While (a)thermal phonons operates at much faster time scales: separation of nuclear is electromagnetic recoils might be possible using timing signatures of phonons vs QQs is a specific recoil.



gold pad (+wire) Sepers electr more In-su laser level A Phon

Zn Absorber

T_c ~ 0.85 K

above the Fermi energy. In our ergy is much higher than the s the QPs are created far above th $\sim h\nu/2$. Very quickly (in subp energy QPs, relax via electroelectron-phonon scattering. The more pairs, and the QPs quickly In such a cascading process, ea laser pulse will generate $\sim h\nu_{el}$ level.

A similar branching process Phonons of energy higher than

Empty

Occupied

 $2\Delta_0$

(a).



Compute following χ² function to perform hypothesis tests and estimate signal significance versus time:

Unc. on bck normalization

$$\chi^{2}(y|H_{0,1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{det}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(y_{j}^{k} - M_{j}^{k}(H_{0,1}))^{2}}{M_{j}^{k}(H_{0,1})} + \left(\frac{a^{k}}{\sigma_{a^{k}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{b^{k}}{\sigma_{b^{k}}}\right)^{2} \right] + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sigma_{\alpha}}\right)^{2}$$

Uncorrelated unc. on signal normalization Correlated unc. on signal (e.g. detector efficiencies) normalization (e.g. reactor unc.)

Model:

Ο

H₀: no signal
$$\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{H}_{0}) = (\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{k}} + \alpha) \, \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{CE}
u \mathrm{NS}}_{0,\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) + (1 + \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{k}}) \, \mathrm{B}_{0,\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})$$

- $\circ \ \ {\rm H_1:\, signal} \ \ M_j^k({\rm H_1}) = (1 + a^k + \alpha) \, N_{0,i}^{{\rm CE}\nu{\rm NS}}(t) + (1 + b^k) \, B_{0,i}(t)$
- χ^2 functions are minimized with respect to the (2N_{det}+1) parameters (a_k, b_k, α) to compute $\Delta\chi^2$ between H₀ & H₁ hypothesis, and hence estimate the significance of a CEvNS signal