



The role of the diffusive protons in the gamma-ray emission of SNR RX J1713.7-3946

Xiao ZHANG (Nanjing Uni.)

24/02/2017 Reunion, France





GeV-TeV observations

- TeV image matches X-ray shell
- hard photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.5$







Abodo et al. 2011

Aharonian et al. 2007

2/24/2017



Leptonic model and its difficulty





Leptonic model and its difficulty





2/24/2017



The spectral break/platform?





not a simple power law plus cutoff ?









Particle distributions



INNER ZONE (I) pow law + cutoff OUTER ZONE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(E_{\rm p},R,t) = \frac{D(E_{\rm p})}{R^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial R}R^2\frac{\partial}{\partial R}f(E_{\rm p},R,t) + Q(E_{\rm p},R,t),$$
$$Q(E,R,t) = Q_0E^{-\alpha}\delta(R - R_{\rm esc}(t))/4\pi R^2$$

INNER ZONE (II)

The penetration depth (Inoue et al. 2012) :



The mass of the shock clumps can be found in Sano et al. 2013



Model I



• The electron to proton number ratio: $K_{ep} = 0.01$





Model II



• The electron to proton number ratio: $K_{ep} = 0.001$





The radial profile at the TeV band





RCW 86: another case ?







Summary



- The broadband fluxes can be well explained by the twozone model, in which the gamma-ray emission from inside governs the TeV band, while the outer emission component substantially contributes to the GeV gamma-rays.
- The two-zone model can simultaneously reproduce the TeV gamma-ray radial brightness profile that significantly extends outside the nonthermal X-ray-emitting region.
- ✓ For the inner region, dominated by the IC process for K_{ep} > 4×10⁻³ and by pp in the shocked clumps for K_{ep} < 4×10⁻³.

