# SN 1986J: a Neutron Star or a Black Hole in the Center?



Michael Bietenholz, Hartebeesthoek Radio Observatory, South Africa *also* York University, Canada Norbert Bartel, York University, Canada

# **Radio Observations of SNe**

- Optical: ~1000 SNe are detected each year, both Type I and Type II
- Radio: Only core-collapse (Type II, Type I b/c) detected in radio to date. Only a few SNe detected each year in radio; total radio detections to date ~100
- Except for Magellanic clouds (i.e. SN 1987A), the *only* way to resolve the ejecta in the first ~century is VLBI
- Only a handful have been resolved with VLBI (radio bright and < 30 Mpc)</li>

### **Standard Model of SN Radio Emission**



Chevalier, 1982

### **Introduction to SN 1986J**

- SN 1986J discovered in the radio in 1986
- In NGC 891, *D* = 10 Mpc (NED)
- Supernova happened in 1983.2 ± 1.1
- Massive progenitor (>20 Msol)
- Optical spectrum was unusual: prominent H $\alpha$  lines but narrow linewidths  $\rightarrow$  classified as a Type IIn SN (Rupen et al. 1987)
- Very radio luminous. One of the first SNe to be observed with Very Long Baseline Interferometry (Bartel et al 1987, 1991)
- Although it's fading, it's still radio-bright 30 years on

# **Evolution of SN1986J**



### **Expansion of SN 1986J**



# Radio Spectrum of SN 1986J





# Central Component in SN1986J

Multi-frequency VLBI Image:

Contours, red: 5 GHz

Blue  $\rightarrow$  white: 15 GHz

Youngest Neutron Star or Black Hole?

### **Motion of the Two Hotspots**



Time axis is non-linear, so  $x \propto t^{0.69}$ , similar to outer radius,  $\theta_{\rm out} \propto t^{0.69}$ 

## VLBI Image at 5 GHz in 2014



# Multi-frequency Radio Lightcurve



- The radio lightcurves of SN 1986J at several different frequencies, as measured with the Very Large Array
- The slope of the decay is different at different frequencies

### **Evolution of the Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)**



VLA measurements:

- Inversion in SED first appears at *t* = 14.9 yr
- both inflection point and high-frequency turnover evolve downward with time

# Fit to the Evolving SED

- Two-part model for evolving SEDs, with 1) a shell component and 2) a central component, which is partly absorbed (free-free), both with powerlaw spectra
- Both intrinsic flux densities of the components and the absorption (Emission Measure) evolve as power-laws,  $\propto t^{b}$
- Bayesian fit wrt. the measured flux densities

$$S_{
m shell} = S_{
m 0, shell} (rac{t}{20 \, {
m yr}})^{b_{
m shell}} \, (rac{
u}{1 \, {
m GHz}})^{lpha_{
m shell}}$$

$$S_{
m comp} = S_{0,
m comp} (rac{t}{20~{
m yr}})^{b_{
m comp}} \, (rac{
u}{1~{
m GHz}})^{lpha_{
m comp}}$$



#### **Results:**

- $S_{\text{shell}} = 7.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ mJy}$
- $b_{\rm shell} = -3.92 \pm 0.07$
- $a_{\text{shell}} = -0.63 \pm 0.03$

- $S_{comp} = 61 \pm 17 \text{ mJy}$
- $b_{\rm comp} = -2.1 \pm 0.2$
- $\alpha_{\rm comp} = -0.76 \pm 0.07$
- $EM_0 = (1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$

• 
$$b_{\rm EM} = -2.7 \pm 0.3$$

# **Results of Fit to the SED**

- Both central component and shell are declining in flux density with time, but shell more rapidly (shell  $\propto t^{-3.92}$ , central comp  $\propto t^{-2.1}$ )
- The spectral indices of the central component and the shell are almost the same within the uncertainties
- At t=20 yr, the intrinsic (unabsorbed) central component was 9 ± 3 times stronger than shell and its dominance is increasing.
- EM (absorption) also declining with time  $\propto t^{-2.7}$ , consistent with constant number of electrons and a system expanding with  $r \propto t^{-0.54}$

#### **Results:**

- $S_{\text{shell}} = 7.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ mJy}$
- $b_{\text{shell}} = -3.92 \pm 0.07$
- $a_{\text{shell}} = -0.63 \pm 0.03$



- $S_{comp} = 61 \pm 17 \text{ mJy}$
- $b_{\rm comp} = -2.1 \pm 0.2$
- $a_{\rm comp} = -0.76 \pm 0.07$
- $EM_0 = (1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}^{-6}$
- $b_{\rm EM} = -2.7 \pm 0.3$

#### **Evolution of the Spectral Energy Distribution**



- VLA measurements:
- Inversion in SED
   first appears at
   t = 14.9 yr
- both inflection point and highfrequency turnover evolve downward with time

### **Evolution of the Spectral Energy Distribution**



- thin dotted lines show the fitted shell + partlyabsorbed central component model
- inflection point and highfrequency turnover move down with time

# What Do We Know about the Central Component?

- Its intrinsically brighter than the shell, with much higher surface brightness. Currently its 5-GHz spectral luminosity is ~30× that of the Crab Nebula
- Its radio emission is partly absorbed, likely by free-free absorption in the intervening ejecta. Its unabsorbed spectral luminosity is ~9× that of the shell and around 120× that of the Crab nebula
- Its unabsorbed flux density is decreasing with time,  $S \propto t^{-2.1}$  (shell  $\propto t^{-3.92}$ )
- Its spectral index is close to that of the shell
- The amount of absorption is decreasing with time
- It is stationary to within the uncertainties of 570 km/s (12 µarcsec/yr)
- It is marginally resolved,  $r_{\text{comp}} = (6.7 + 0.7) \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$
- if it originated in the SN explosion, it is expanding with ~680 km/s, ~9% the expansion speed of the shell.

# What is the Central Component?

- 1) Interaction of the shock with a dense condensation in the CSM, by chance central in projection. Absorption is due to the CSM clump itself, not the ejecta. Can be ruled out: Its too stationary, bright, and long lasting
- 2) A newly-born pulsar wind nebula. Central location and stationarity are expected, but the relatively steep spectral index and the decline with time are not.
- 3) An accreting black-hole system. Central location and stationarity are expected, but it has a far higher radio luminosity, and L<sub>radio</sub>/L<sub>X</sub> than any known stellar-mass black hole systems.
- 4) The interaction of the SN shock with a very anisotropic ISM, with a very dense equatorial region. Shock would be hour-glass shaped. The central component is the part of the shock propagating in equatorial region (see e.g. Chevalier 2012)

# What is the Central Component?

- 1) Interaction of the shock with a dense condensation in the CSM, by chance central in projection. Absorption is due to the CSM clump itself, not the ejecta. Can be ruled out: Its too stationary, bright, and long lasting
- 2) A newly-born pulsar wind nebula. Central location and stationarity are expected, but the relatively steep spectral index and the decline with time are not.
- 3) An accreting black-hole system. Central location and stationarity are expected, but it has a far higher radio luminosity, and L<sub>radio</sub>/L<sub>X</sub> than any known stellar-mass black hole systems.
- 4) The interaction of the SN shock with a very anisotropic ISM, with a very dense equatorial region. Shock would be hour-glass shaped. The central component is the part of the shock propagating in equatorial region (see e.g. Chevalier 2012)

# **Black Hole with Jets???**



 Could SN 1986J host an accreting black hole with jets, where the jets produce the NE hot-spot and the faint SW extension?

