Why local gauge invariance is necessary

## Helmut Haberzettl

Institute for Nuclear Studies and Department of Physics

# THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

Supported by U.S. Department of Energy Grant DE-SC0016582



# Outline

Preliminaries

- Gauge invariance basics
- Implementation of local gauge invariance
- A practical example
- Summary





Photon may be real or virtual, incoming or outgoing

All hadrons on-shell:

 $k_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$  (necessary condition)  $\Rightarrow$  gauge invariance





Photon may be real or virtual, incoming or outgoing

All hadrons on-shell:

 $k_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$  (necessary condition)  $\Rightarrow$  gauge invariance

#### **Transition currents:**





*B*: Baryon *R*: Resonance





Photon may be real or virtual, incoming or outgoing

All hadrons on-shell:

$$k_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$$
 (necessary condition)  $\Rightarrow$  gauge invariance

#### **Transition currents:**





Current operators for transition currents must necessarily be manifestly transverse. Hence, gauge-invariance condition is trivially satisfied for on- and off-shell baryons.



Photon may be real or virtual, incoming or outgoing

All hadrons on-shell:

$$k_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$$
 (necessary condition)  $\Rightarrow$  gauge invariance

#### **Transition currents:**





Current operators for transition currents must necessarily be manifestly transverse. Hence, gauge-invariance condition is trivially satisfied for on- and off-shell baryons.

Why bother?



## **Production Current**



Generic expressions; summations over all possible intermediate states implied



## **Production Current**



Generic expressions; summations over all possible intermediate states implied

#### s-channel term contains transition-current contributions

Diagrams apply to spacelike process; for timelike process, read diagrams in time-reversed order

#### Entire production current must be gauge invariant

Without it, wrong background contribution for extraction of form factors



# **Production Current**



Generic expressions; summations over all possible intermediate states implied

s-channel term contains transition-current contributions

Diagrams apply to spacelike process; for timelike process, read diagrams in time-reversed order

Entire production current must be gauge invariant

Without it, wrong background contribution for extraction of form factors

Any approximation will likely destroy gauge invariance For a microscopic theory, it is not sufficient to fix  $k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = 0$  on shell



## Next...

- Gauge invariance basics
- Implementation of local gauge invariance
- A practical example
- Summary















#### Local gauge invariance

 $\Phi \to \Phi e^{-i\lambda(x)}$ 





 $= F_s \, S_i \, J_i^{\mu} \ + \ J_f^{\mu} \, S_f \, F_u \ + \ J_m^{\mu} \, \Delta_m \, F_t \ + \ M_{\rm int}^{\mu}$ 

ocal gauge invariance	Generalized Ward-Takahashi identities (gWTI)
$\Phi \to \Phi \mathrm{e}^{-i\lambda(x)}$	$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^2 - M_m^2)Q_m F_t + S_f^{-1}(p')Q_f F_u - F_s Q_i S_i^{-1}(p)$
	$k_\mu J^\mu_m = (q^2 - M^2_m) Q_m - Q_m (t - M^2_m)$ similarly for baryons
	$k_\mu M_{ m int}^\mu = Q_m F_t + Q_f F_u - F_s Q_i$
	off-shell relations





 $= F_s S_i J_i^{\mu} + J_f^{\mu} S_f F_u + J_m^{\mu} \Delta_m F_t + M_{\rm int}^{\mu}$ 



-5-



ocal gauge invariance	
General General	zed Ward-Takahashi identities (gWTT)
$\Phi \to \Phi e^{-i\lambda(x)}$ $k_{\mu}M$	$T^{\mu} = (q^2 - M_m^2) Q_m F_t + S_f^{-1}(p') Q_f F_u - F_s Q_i S_i^{-1}(p)$
$k_{\mu}$ ]	$M_m^\mu = (q^2 - M_m^2) Q_m - Q_m (t - M_m^2)$ similarly for baryons
$k_{\mu}M_{\mu}$	$Q_{\rm nt}^{\mu} = Q_m F_t + Q_f F_\mu - F_s Q_i$
<i>P</i> ~ 1	off-shell relations

local gauge invariance  $\Rightarrow$ 

implies existence of e.m. field

Without gWTI underlying e.m. field is damaged



# Implementation: Reorganize interaction current



Interaction current contains all contributions from final-state interactions



## Implementation: Reorganize interaction current



Interaction current contains all contributions from final-state interactions

# Decompose FSI loops into longitudinal and transverse contributions

For details, see HH, Nakayama, Krewald, PRC74, 045202 (2006); HH, Huang, Nakayama, PRC83, 065502 (2011); HH, Wang, He, PRC92, 055503 (2015)

Impose generalized Ward-Takahashi identities to longitudinal part





(1) 
$$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m}F_{t} + S_{f}^{-1}(p')Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}S_{i}^{-1}(p)$$
  
(2) 
$$k_{\mu}J_{m}^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m} - Q_{m}(t - M_{m}^{2})$$
  
(3) 
$$k_{\mu}M_{int}^{\mu} = Q_{m}F_{t} + Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}$$



(1) 
$$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m}F_{t} + S_{f}^{-1}(p')Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}S_{i}^{-1}(p)$$
(2) 
$$k_{\mu}J_{m}^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m} - Q_{m}(t - M_{m}^{2}) \quad \text{trivial}$$
(3) 
$$k_{\mu}M_{\text{int}}^{\mu} = Q_{m}F_{t} + Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}$$

Only two relations are independent  $\Rightarrow$  Use (2) & (3)



(1) 
$$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m}F_{t} + S_{f}^{-1}(p')Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}S_{i}^{-1}(p)$$
(2) 
$$k_{\mu}J_{m}^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m} - Q_{m}(t - M_{m}^{2}) \quad \text{trivial}$$
(3) 
$$k_{\mu}M_{\text{int}}^{\mu} = Q_{m}F_{t} + Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}$$

Only two relations are independent  $\Rightarrow$  Use (2) & (3)



This phenomenologically motivated ansatz can be improved in a systematic way



(1) 
$$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m}F_{t} + S_{f}^{-1}(p')Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}S_{i}^{-1}(p)$$
(2) 
$$k_{\mu}J_{m}^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m} - Q_{m}(t - M_{m}^{2}) \quad \text{trivial}$$
(3) 
$$k_{\mu}M_{\text{int}}^{\mu} = Q_{m}F_{t} + Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}$$

Only two relations are independent  $\Rightarrow$  Use (2) & (3)



#### Interaction-current Ansatz:

$$M_{\rm int}^{\mu} = m_c^{\mu} f_t(t) + \boldsymbol{G}(q) \boldsymbol{C}^{\mu} + T_{\rm int}^{\mu}$$

 $k_{\mu}T_{\rm int}^{\mu}\equiv 0$ 



(1) 
$$k_{\mu}M^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m}F_{t} + S_{f}^{-1}(p')Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}S_{i}^{-1}(p)$$
(2) 
$$k_{\mu}J_{m}^{\mu} = (q^{2} - M_{m}^{2})Q_{m} - Q_{m}(t - M_{m}^{2}) \quad \text{trivial}$$
(3) 
$$k_{\mu}M_{\text{int}}^{\mu} = Q_{m}F_{t} + Q_{f}F_{u} - F_{s}Q_{i}$$

Only two relations are independent  $\Rightarrow$  Use (2) & (3)



#### Interaction-current Ansatz:

$$M_{\rm int}^{\mu} = m_c^{\mu} f_t(t) + \boldsymbol{G}(q) \boldsymbol{C}^{\mu} + T_{\rm int}^{\mu}$$

 $k_{\mu}T_{\rm int}^{\mu}\equiv 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow \qquad \text{Determine } C^{\mu} \text{ such that (3) is true}$ 





$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{N}^{\text{on-singlight}} \quad C^{\mu} = -e_m (2q-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_t - 1}{t - M_m^2} \big( \delta_s f_s + \delta_u f_u - \overline{\delta_s \delta_u f_s f_u} \big) \\ & - e_f (2p'-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_u - 1}{u - M_f^2} \big( \delta_t f_t + \delta_s f_s - \overline{\delta_t \delta_s f_t f_s} \big) \\ & - e_i (2p+k)^{\mu} \frac{f_s - 1}{s - M_i^2} \big( \delta_u f_u + \delta_t f_t - \overline{\delta_u \delta_t f_u f_t} \big) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{N}^{\text{one singulat}} \quad C^{\mu} = -e_m (2q-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_t - 1}{t - M_m^2} \big( \delta_s f_s + \delta_u f_u - \delta_s \delta_u f_s f_u \big) \\ & - e_f (2p'-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_u - 1}{u - M_f^2} \big( \delta_t f_t + \delta_s f_s - \delta_t \delta_s f_t f_s \big) \\ & - e_i (2p+k)^{\mu} \frac{f_s - 1}{s - M_i^2} \big( \delta_u f_u + \delta_t f_t - \delta_u \delta_t f_u f_t \big) \end{split}$$

where

$$\delta_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{channel contributes} \\ 0 & \text{channel does not contribute} \end{cases}$$

x = s, u, t



$$C^{\mu} = -e_m (2q - k)^{\mu} \frac{f_t - 1}{t - M_m^2} \left( \delta_s f_s + \delta_u f_u - \delta_s \delta_u f_s f_u \right) - e_f (2p' - k)^{\mu} \frac{f_u - 1}{u - M_f^2} \left( \delta_t f_t + \delta_s f_s - \delta_t \delta_s f_t f_s \right) - e_i (2p + k)^{\mu} \frac{f_s - 1}{s - M_i^2} \left( \delta_u f_u + \delta_t f_t - \delta_u \delta_t f_u f_t \right)$$

where

 $\delta_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{channel contributes} \\ 0 & \text{channel does not contribute} \end{cases}$ 

x = s, u, t

Charge conservation:

$$Q_m \boldsymbol{\tau} + Q_f \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\tau} Q_i = e_m + e_f - e_i = 0$$



$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}^{\text{optimelial}} & C^{\mu} = -e_m (2q-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_t - 1}{t - M_m^2} \big( \delta_s f_s + \delta_u f_u - \delta_s \delta_u f_s f_u \big) \\ & - e_f (2p'-k)^{\mu} \frac{f_u - 1}{u - M_f^2} \big( \delta_t f_t + \delta_s f_s - \delta_t \delta_s f_t f_s \big) \\ & - e_i (2p+k)^{\mu} \frac{f_s - 1}{s - M_i^2} \big( \delta_u f_u + \delta_t f_t - \delta_u \delta_t f_u f_t \big) \end{split}$$

where

 $\delta_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{channel contributes} \\ 0 & \text{channel does not contribute} \end{cases}$ 

x = s, u, t

**Charge conservation:**  $Q_m \tau + Q_f \tau - \tau Q_i = e_m + e_f - e_i = 0$ **Four-divergence:**  $k_\mu C^\mu = e_m f_t + e_f f_u - e_i f_s$  ensures correct four divergence

ensures correct four-divergence for  $M_{\rm int}^{\mu}$ 



## Practical Relevance of Local Gauge Invariance

Example: Two-pion production at the no-loop level





### Practical Relevance of Local Gauge Invariance

Example: Two-pion production at the no-loop level:



Without gWTI, this amplitude will not be gauge invariant



H. Haberzettl • Transition Formfactors Workshop, ECT\*, Trento, 10 May 2017

#### Summary

- Global gauge invariance is necessary but not sufficient to provide consistent implementation of the electromagnetic field throughout the interaction volume
- Correct dynamical basis provided by generalized Ward-Takahashi identities as they follow from local gauge invariance
- Results obtained within microscopic reaction models that do not obey local gauge invariance need to be viewed with caution
- Applications show that contributions from gauge-fixing contact current can be substantial

-10-

#### Summary

- Global gauge invariance is necessary but not sufficient to provide consistent implementation of the electromagnetic field throughout the interaction volume
- Correct dynamical basis provided by generalized Ward-Takahashi identities as they follow from local gauge invariance
- Results obtained within microscopic reaction models that do not obey local gauge invariance need to be viewed with caution
- Applications show that contributions from gauge-fixing contact current can be substantial



