EXPERIMENTAL ASTROPARTICLES

1

24 July 2017

Vincent Poireau, LAPP Annecy

PLAN

- Experimental astroparticles 1
  - Cosmic rays
  - Indirect search for dark matter
  - Some experiments
  - AMS-02: detailing a modern experiment
  - Recent results on cosmic rays and their implications

- Experimental astroparticles 2
  - This afternoon, presented by **David Maurin**
  - The gamma-ray sky
  - Interactions in a detector/atmosphere
  - Ground vs space detectors
  - Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S. (and Auger)
  - Recent results and constraints on dark matter

COSMIC RAYS

HISTORIC

- 1736 – 1806 : **Charles Augustin de Coulomb** observed that a sphere initially charged and isolated loses its electrical charge

An electroscope



HISTORIC

- 1736 – 1806 : Charles Augustin de Coulomb observed that a sphere initially charged and isolated loses its electrical charge

Electroscopes are spontaneously discharging ????

An electroscope



HISTORIC

Beginning of 20th century



Henri Becquerel
(1852-1908)

Marie Curie
(1867-1934)



The radioactivity
could explain
the spontaneous discharge



C.T.R. Wilson
(1869-1959)

HISTORIC

- 1912: Victor Hess measures the atmospheric ionization with electroscopes during balloon flights at various altitudes: the ionization increases





Victor Hess
(1869-1959)

- This ionization comes from space!

HISTORIC

- From what are they composed? The debate is passionate in the 1920’s



Arthur Compton
(1892-1962)

The New York Times

VOL. LXXXII...No. 27,370. December 31, 1932

MILLIKAN RETORTS HOTLY TO COMPTON IN COSMIC RAY CLASH

Debate of Rival Theorists Brings Drama to Session of Nation's Scientists.

THEIR DATA AT VARIANCE

New Findings of His Ex-Pupil Lead to Thrust by Millikan at 'Less Cautious' Work.



Robert Andrews Milikan
(1868-1953)

1925: very high energy gammas → « cosmic rays »

- Their intensity varies depending on where we are on Earth…
- Cosmic rays are charged particles!
  - More particle from the western direction: **positively charged**

HISTORIC

- 1937: Pierre Auger positions three Geiger counters separated of 70 m at le pic du midi
- Cosmic rays arrive in group: atmospheric shower





Pierre Victor Auger
(1899-1993)

HISTORIC

- Many new particles discovered in the cosmic rays
  - 1932: positron e^+ (first observation of antimatter)
  - 1936: muon μ
  - 1949: pion π
  - 1949: kaon K
  - 1949: lambda Λ
  - 1952: xi Ξ
  - 1953: sigma Σ
- Birth of a new science: particle physics!

- Cosmic rays are replaced by accelerators where particles are artificially produced







COSMIC RAYS

- Cosmic rays
  - 12 orders in **energy**
    - 100 MeV to 10^{20} eV
  - 30 orders in **flux**
  - Isotropic flux
- Abondance of nuclei in the cosmic rays similar to the one from the solar system





1 particle per m² per second

Ground detectors
Indirect detection

Balloons, satellites
Direct detection

1 particle per m² per year

1 particle per km² per century

1 particle per km² per year

LHC equivalent

COSMIC RAYS

- Composition
  - **Charged** : electrons, protons, nuclei
  - **Neutral** : photons, neutrinos
- Charged cosmic rays





- Power law spectrum $1/E^{\gamma}$, $\gamma = 2.7\text{-}3.5$
  - The measured spectrum results
    - from the **production** and **acceleration** mechanisms ($1/E^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 2.0\text{-}2.4$)
    - from the **diffusion** ($1/E^{\delta}$, $\delta = 0.3\text{-}0.7$)
  - $\gamma = \alpha + \delta$

COSMIC RAYS

- Where are they coming from?



From
the sun





From outside
our Galaxy

From our
Galaxy

COSMIC RAYS

- Low energy cosmic rays are accelerated by the sun



Aurora borealis

COSMIC RAYS

- At intermediate energies, supernovae remants produce cosmic rays

COSMIC RAYS

- At extreme energies, active galaxy nuclei, quasars, or gamma ray bursts are potential candidates


Centaurus A

COSMIC RAYS



- Primary cosmic rays
  - Produced direcly **in the source**
  - Sources: **supernova remnants, pulsars**, **active galactic nuclei**, **quasars**
  - Primaries include
    - Electrons, protons, helium, carbon, …
- Secondary cosmic rays
  - Originate from the **interaction** of primaries on **interstellar medium**
  - Secondaries include
    - Positrons, antiprotons, bore, …
- Additional sources of electrons and positrons?

ACCELERATION

- In our Galaxy, main source of primary cosmic rays: supernova remnants
  - Very strong magnetic field in the **shell** of supernovas



- Acceleration
  - Due to the **shock wave**
  - First order **Fermi mechanism**
  - Naturally produce a **power law** spectrum



- This process explains why the cosmic ray composition is similar to the one from the solar system

PROPAGATION

- Charged cosmic rays: propagation equivalent to a diffusion in the Galactic medium
  - **Irregular magnetic field** of the diffusive halo = random walk
  - Possible to write a **cosmic ray transport equation**
    - Difficult to **solve**
    - **5 free parameters**, with large **uncertainties** on these parameters





h_z=200 pc, L=1-15 kpc, R=25 kpc

SOLAR MODULATION

- Heliosphere: a region of space influenced by the sun (solar wind)
  - **Size**: 150 AU
- Solar wind: a continous flow of charged particles from sun
  - **e$^-$** and **p**
  - Carries the **sun magnetic field** to the interplanetary space
- Solar cycles
  - **Reversal** of the sun magnetic field polarity
  - Every **11 years**
  - Solar activity going from a minimum to a maximal intensity
- Solar modulation affects cosmic rays below 20 GeV
  - **Deviation** from the power law




Solar modulation

Power law

dN/dE ~ E-γ

INDIRECT SEARCH FOR DARK MATTER



DARK MATTER

Galaxy rotation curve



A



A : prediction

B : observation

To explain the observation, we have to suppose the presence of a halo containing an invisible matter around the galaxies: the dark matter!

DARK MATTER

- A very large fraction of the Universe content remains mysterious



- Dark matter: 27% of our Universe is made of unknown matter (other than electrons, quarks, …)
- « Observation »: galaxy rotation curves, X-ray emission, gravitational lensing, cosmic microwave background

DARK MATTER

- Best candidate: weakly interacting massive particle ⇒ WIMP
  - **Massive particles**: 100 GeV – several TeV
  - **Weakly** interacting with the ordinary matter

- Several ways to see its effect



DARK MATTER

- Annihilation of the WIMPs
  - Natural cross-section from relic density: **$<\sigma v> \approx 3.10^{-26}$ cm^{3}s^{-1}**



COSMIC RAY EXPERIMENTS

EXPERIMENTS

1959-1974: Vulcano Ranch (USA)
8km^2

1998-2004: AGASA (Japon)
100 km^2

1980-1993: Fly eye (Utah)

2004- : Pierre-Auger observatory

EXPERIMENTS


1947: inside a B 29
Altitude : 10 km


1979 à 1995: ECHO
Altitude: 17 km


1965: proton satellite
Orbit: 183-589 km


1993-1996:
JACEE
Alt: 30 km


1998: Discovery
Altitude: 400 km
AMS-01

EXPERIMENTS

2004-2010: CR
Altitude : 40 kr

2011-: AMS
Altitude : 400 km
Let’s detail this experiment!

06-: Pamela
itude : 400 km

THE AMS-02 EXPERIMENT

AMS-02

- A particle detector in space
  - Detect **charged** particles and **gamma** rays
  - From **100 MeV** to **a few TeV**

5m x 4m x 3m
7.5 tons

AMS-02

- Launched from Cap Canaveral on the 16th of May 2011
  - **Penultimate** American shuttle!

Spacevidcast Live
STS-134 Mission Coverage

T -00:00:12
Official Clock

SSME Thrust: 0.0%
Altitude (ft): -23
Speed (mph): 0
Range (nm): 0.0
Mach: 0.00
G: 0.0

AMS-02

- Installation on the ISS on the 19th of May 2011
  - Orbit at **400 km** altitude
  - One orbit every **90 minutes**



- Detect the cosmic rays before they interact in the atmosphere

FLIGHT OPERATION

- Acquisition rate from 200 to 2000 Hz
- Continuous operation 7d/7 24h/24
- Acquisition
  - ~**40 millions** events a day
  - ~**100 GB** transferred every day
  - **35 TB** of data every year
  - **200 TB** of reconstructed data every year



- More than 100 billions of events recorded since May 2011
  - Much more than all the cosmic rays collected **in the last 100 years**
- Will operate at least until 2024

DETECTOR

Transition radiation detector
Identifies e^+, e^-



Silicium tracker
Z, P



Electromagnetic calorimeter
E of e^+, e^-, γ





Time of flight
Z, E

Magnet 0,14 T
±Z



Cherenkov detector
Z, E



DETECTOR

- Rigidity
  - R = p/Z
  - Expressed in GV



A 369 GeV positron event

COLLABORATION

AMS: a U.S. DOE sponsored international collaboration

16 Countries, 60 Institutes and 600 Physicists



USA
MIT - CAMBRIDGE
NASA JOHNSON SPACE CENTER
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF HAWAII
UNIV. OF MARYLAND - DEPT OF PHYSICS
YALE UNIVERSITY - NEW HAVEN

MEXICO
UNAM

NETHERLANDS
ESA-ESTEC
NIKHEF
NLR

DENMARK
UNIV. OF AARHUS

FINLAND
HELSINKI UNIV.
UNIV. OF TURKU

RUSSIA
I.K.I.
ITEP
KURCHATOV INST.
MOSCOW STATE UNIV.

GERMANY
RWTH-I
RWTH-III
MAX-PLANK INST.
UNIV. OF KARLSRUHE

FRANCE
GAM MONTPELLIER
LAPP ANNECY
LPSC GRENOBLE

ROMANIA ISS UNIV. OF BUCHAREST

TURKEY METU

SPAIN
CIEMAT - MADRID
I.A.C. CANARIAS.

PORTUGAL
LAB. OF INSTRUM. LISBON

SWITZERLAND
ETH-ZURICH
UNIV. OF GENEVA

ITALY
ASI
CARSO TRIESTE
IROE FLORENCE
INFN & UNIV. OF BOLOGNA
INFN & UNIV. OF MILANO
INFN & UNIV. OF PERUGIA
INFN & UNIV. OF PISA
INFN & UNIV. OF ROMA
INFN & UNIV. OF SIENA

CHINA
BISEE (Beijing)
IEE (Beijing)
IHEP (Beijing)
NLAA (Beijing)
SJTU (Shanghai)
SEU (Nanjing)
SYSU (Guangzhou)
SDU (Jinan)

KOREA
EWHA
KYUNGPOOK NAT.UNIV.

TAIWAN
ACAD. SINICA (Taiwan)
AIDC (Taiwan)
CSIST (Taiwan)
NCU (Chung Li)
NCKU (Tainan)
NCTU (Hsinchu)
NSPO (Hsinchu)

AMS TOPICS

- Measurement of cosmic ray fluxes
  - Understand the cosmic ray **propagation** in our Galaxy
- Indirect search of dark matter
  - **Positrons** and **antiprotons** produced during its annihilation
- Search for primordial antimatter
  - **Anti-helium** relic of the Big-Bang or **anti-carbon** from anti-stars
- Surprises? Strangelets?





ELECTRONS AND POSITRONS IN COSMIC RAYS

POSITRON FRACTION

- Positrons : expected only as secondary
- Positron excess with respect to the secondary prediction = source of primary positrons

- Positron fraction $$F = \frac{\Phi_{e+}}{\Phi_{e+} + \Phi_{e-}} = \frac{N_{e+}}{N_{e+} + N_{e-}}$$
  - Allows to factorize the **acceptance** and efficiencies
  - **Simplify** the computation of systematic uncertainties

- Challenges
  - **100 times** more protons than electrons
  - **2000 times** more protons than positrons

  ⇒ Need to divide number of protons by $\mathbf{10^6}$

POSITRON FRACTION

- Result for the positron fraction below 35 GeV
  - Fraction begins to increase **above 10 GeV**
  - **Incompatible** with secondary positrons only
  - A **source of primary positrons** is needed!
    - **Nearby** source since positrons do not propagate more than a **few kpc**



POSITRON FRACTION

- Fraction at high energy



- AMS: precision and energy never reached before

FLUX MEASUREMENT

- Fluxes bring more information for the models than the fraction

- Obtaining the flux via

$$\frac{N}{A \times \varepsilon_{Trig.} \times \varepsilon_{sel.} \times T \times dE}$$

  - N **number** of positrons or electrons
  - A **acceptance**
  - ε_{Trig} and ε_{sel} **trigger** and **selection efficiencies**
  - T exposure **time**
  - dE energy **bin size**

FLUX MEASUREMENT

- The electron and positron fluxes are different in their magnitude and energy dependence



Flux multiplied by E^3

INTERPRETATION: DARK MATTER

- Fitting the positron fraction using the best combination of annihilation channels



A&A 575, A67 (2015)

- Dark matter may explain the fraction, but unnatural annihilation cross-section
  - ×**1000** compared to the one expected from the relic density
- Not likely that we have observed an indirect observation of dark matter
  - **In tension** with other observables (antiprotons, gamma rays, …)

INTERPRETATION: PULSARS



- Neutron stars spinning at high rate with a strong magnetic field
- 200 pulsars at less than 2 kpc from Earth
  - Only a **small fraction** able to emit positrons
- Mechanism
  - **Electrons extracted** from the surface by the high fields

    $\Rightarrow$ electrons produce **synchrotron photons**

    $\Rightarrow$ photons produce **e^+-e^- pairs**

    $\Rightarrow$ Some **escape** from the pulsar
- Precise prediction very difficult

- Five closeby pulsars able to explain the fraction



A&A 575, A67 (2015)

ANTIPROTONS IN COSMIC RAYS

ANTIPROTONS

- Dark matter could create an excess of antiprotons with respect to the expectations
  - Pulsars **do NOT** produce antiprotons



- AMS measured the ratio $\bar{p}/p$
  - 290 000 antiprotons
- Is dark matter necessary to explain this measurement?
  - Controversial topic!
  - Need to compute what is expected from **secondary antiprotons**

ANTIPROTONS

- Adding the contribution of the secondary antiprotons with its uncertainty
  - **Comparison** of data and expectations for $\bar{p}/p$



- The ratio $\bar{p}/p$ is not in discrepancy with the expectations
  - **No dark matter** needed here (yet)

OTHER RESULTS ON COSMIC RAYS

OTHER RESULTS









NUCLEUS ANOMALY

- Recent and unexpected observation: the power law is broken at high energy



- What can cause these anomalies?
    - Sources?
    - Acceleration?
    - Propagation?
- Still an open question!

BORON/CARBON RATIO

- Ratio of secondary over primary allows to understand the propagation of cosmic rays
  - **Carbon**: primary, produced and accelerated in sources
  - **Boron**: secondary, produced by the collision of heavier nuclei on the interstellar matter
  - **Ratio** directly measures the average amount of interstellar material traversed by the cosmic rays

Bonus: a break in the B/C ratio would explain the nucleus anomaly by propagation phenomena



IN SUMMARY

- Cosmic rays are charged and neutral particles coming from space
  - From **a few MeV to 10^{20} eV**
  - Mainly **protons**, **helium**, **electrons**, …
- Sources
  - At intermediate energies, they come from **supernova remnant** in our Galaxy
  - Protons, electrons, … come directly **from the source**
  - Positrons, antiprotons, … are created by **collision** with the interstellar medium, with a rate that **can be predicted**
- Propagation
  - Charged cosmic ray propagation is equivalent to a **diffusion**

- Positrons in cosmic rays
  - There is **more positrons** at high energy compared to the expectations
  - **New source**: dark matter? pulsars?
  - AMS will extend its **energy range**, and should be able to **discriminate** between the dark matter and pulsar hypotheses
- Antiprotons in cosmic rays
  - Antiprotons could be produced by dark matter
  - After the recent AMS measurement, **no need for dark matter**
- Other measurements
  - Many other measurement are **yet to come**, with on-going experiments or **promising future experiments** (CALET, DAMPE, ISS-CREAM)

TO BE CONTINUED…
(David Maurin)

ADDITIONAL SLIDES

WIMP “miracle”

- Start with **heavy, stable dark matter** (DM) particle X in thermal equilibrium.
- **Early universe** T > M_X: $X\bar{X} \leftrightarrow f\bar{f}$
- **Universe cools** T< M_X : $X\bar{X} \rightarrow f\bar{f}$
- **Freeze out:** Hubble expansion eventually prevents $X\bar{X} \rightarrow f\bar{f}$
- Solving Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = - \frac{3\dot{R}}{R} n - \langle\sigma v\rangle n^2 + \langle\sigma v\rangle n_0^2 \; *$$

assuming measured DM density results in:

$$\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM} h^2}{0.1} \approx \left(\frac{\langle \sigma v\rangle}{3 \text{ pb} \cdot \text{cm/s}}\right)^{-1}$$

and for m_{DM} =100 GeV and weak g:

$$\sigma \sim g^4/m_{\rm DM}^2 \sim 3\text{pb} \cdot \text{cm/s}$$



* Lee, Weinberg (1977)
FERMILAB-PUB-77/41-THY

$n\ (n_0)$	DM number density (at equilibrium)
$\dot{R}/R$	expansion rate
$\langle\sigma v\rangle$	DM annihilation cross section x velocity
$\Omega_X h^2$	physical X density

TRANSMISSION



Astronaut at ISS AMS Laptop

Ku-Band

High Rate (down):

Events <10Mbit/s>

S-Band

Low Rate (up & down):

Commanding: 1 Kbit/s

Monitoring: 30 Kbit/s

AMS Payload Operations Control and Science Operations Centers (POCC, SOC) at CERN since June 2011

AMS Computers at MSFC, AL

White Sands Ground Terminal, NM

DÉTECTEUR



	e^-	P	He,Li,Be,..Fe	γ	e^+	$\bar{P}$, $\bar{D}$	$\overline{He}$, $\bar{C}$
TRD							
TOF							
Tracker							
RICH							
ECAL							
Physics example	**Cosmic Ray Physics**				**Dark matter**		**Antimatter**

POSITRON FRACTION

- Key detectors for this measurement
  - **TRD**
  - **Tracker**
    - E/p close to 1 for electrons/positrons
  - **Calorimeter**
    - Based on 3D shower shape

- Methodology
  - **Selection** using the calorimeter variable
  - **Count** of e^+ ($Z>0$) and e^- ($Z<0$) from a 2D fit on the TRD and tracker variables
  - Count for each **energy range**







POSITRON FRACTION

- Counts of leptons after the selection
  - **Z > 0** : count of **positrons**



  - **Z < 0** : count of **electrons**

CHARGE CONFUSION

- For some energy range, difficulty to measure the sign of the charge

  ⇒ confusion



- Two sources
  - Finite resolution of the tracker and multiple scattering
  - Production of secondary tracks along the path of the primary track

COMBINED FLUX

- electron + positron flux measurement
  - Independent from **charge sign** measurement
  - **High selection efficiency** (70% at 1 TeV)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 221102 (2014)

MINIMAL MODEL

- Fit of the AMS data using a minimal model
- Positrons
  - Secondary production
  - + source

$$\Phi_{e^+} = C_{e^+} E^{-\gamma_{e^+}} + C_s E^{-\gamma_s} e^{-E/E_s}$$

- Electrons
  - Primary and secondary production
  - + same source

$$\Phi_{e^-} = C_{e^-} E^{-\gamma_{e^-}} + C_s E^{-\gamma_s} e^{-E/E_s}$$

- Simultaneous fit to
  - Positron fraction from 2 GeV
  - Combined flux from 2 GeV

PROPAGATION

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\{K(E)\,\boldsymbol{\nabla}\psi\} - \frac{\partial}{\partial E}\{b(E)\,\psi\} = q(\mathbf{x}, t, E)$$

$$\psi = dn/dE$$

$$K(E) = K_0\,\beta\,(\mathcal{R}/1\ \mathrm{GV})^{\delta}$$

$$b(E) = \frac{E_0}{\tau_E}\,\epsilon^2$$

$$\epsilon = E/E_0$$

$$q_{e^+}^{\mathrm{DM}}(\mathbf{x}_S, E_S) = \frac{1}{2}\,\langle\sigma v\rangle\,\left\{\frac{\rho_\chi(\mathbf{x}_S)}{m_\chi}\right\}^2 \left\{g(E_S) \equiv \sum_i B_i\,\frac{dN_{e^+}}{dE_S}\bigg|_i\right\}$$

$$g(E) = Q_0\left(\frac{E_0}{E}\right)^{\gamma}\exp(-E/E_C)$$

$$\int_{E_{\min}}^{+\infty} E_{\mathrm{S}}\,g(E_{\mathrm{S}})\,\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{S}} = fW_0.$$

MINIMAL MODEL

Result from the fits



Prediction from the fits



Fits are satisfactory, which shows that the data can be described by a common e^+/e^- source


Ordinary matter 5%
Dark matter 27%
Dark energy 68%

The CR hadronic sector puzzle *(theoretical interpretation)*

Broken power law interpretations include:

- *diffusion effects* (source spectra assumed to be single power law):
  - non factorizable spatial and rigidity dependence of diffusion coefficient [N. Tomassetti, Astrophys. J. 752 , L13]
  - non linear diffusion on external turbolence (self-generated waves) above (below) the break [Blasi,Amato,Serpico, PRL 109]
- *acceleration effects* (observed features are imprinted on production spectra):
  - DSA acceleration non-linear effects (CR feed-back) [V. Ptuskin, V. Zirakashvili and E. S. Seo, Astrophys. J. 763]
  - Acceleration by different sources (e.g.: OB associations, SuperBubbles, W-R stars) [TStanev, Biermann & Gaisser, Astron. Astrophys. 274 , 902
  - Weak re-acceleration [E. Seo and V. Ptuskin, Astrophys. J. 431]
- *local sources*:
  - Young nearby objects accounting for He harder spectrum are in tension with anisotropy measurements [Blasi, Amato, JCAP 1201 , 011]

Violation of universality of spectral indices interpretations include:

- e.g.: He accelerated “earlier” (with higherMach number than proton) ?
  - He more efficient at injection than proton + slower decline with Mach number [Malkov, Diamond & Sagdeev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108]
  - Variable He/p ion concentration in the medium swept by shocks [L. O. Drury, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 415 , 1807]

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: EPS 2013

ATLAS Preliminary

$\int \mathcal{L}\, dt = (4.4 - 22.9)\ \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ TeV



	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E_T^{miss}	$\int \mathcal{L}\, dt[\mathrm{fb}^{-1}]$	Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	MSUGRA/CMSSM	0	2-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}$ 1.7 TeV	$m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g})$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047
	MSUGRA/CMSSM	1 e, μ	3-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{g}$ 1.2 TeV	any $m(\tilde{q})$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-062
	MSUGRA/CMSSM	0	7-10 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{g}$ 1.1 TeV	any $m(\tilde{q})$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-054
	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}\to q\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{q}$ 740 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}\to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{g}$ 1.3 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}\to qq\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\to qqW^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	1 e, μ	3-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{g}$ 1.18 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<200 GeV, $m(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm})$=0.5($m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$+$m(\tilde{g})$)	ATLAS-CONF-2013-062
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}\to qqqq\ell\ell(\ell\ell)\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ (SS)	3 jets	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{g}$ 1.1 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<650 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
	GMSB ($\tilde{\ell}$ NLSP)	2 e, μ	2-4 jets	Yes	4.7	$\tilde{g}$ 1.24 TeV	$\tan\beta$<15	1208.4688
	GMSB ($\tilde{\tau}$ NLSP)	1-2 τ	0-2 jets	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{g}$ 1.4 TeV	$\tan\beta$ >18	ATLAS-CONF-2013-026
	GGM (bino NLSP)	2 γ	0	Yes	4.8	$\tilde{g}$ 1.07 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>50 GeV	1209.0753
	GGM (wino NLSP)	1 $e, \mu + \gamma$	0	Yes	4.8	$\tilde{g}$ 619 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>50 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2012-144
	GGM (higgsino-bino NLSP)	γ	1 b	Yes	4.8	$\tilde{g}$ 900 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>220 GeV	1211.1167
	GGM (higgsino NLSP)	2 e, μ (Z)	0-3 jets	Yes	5.8	$\tilde{g}$ 690 GeV	$m(\tilde{H})$>200 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2012-152
	Gravitino LSP	0	mono-jet	Yes	10.5	$F^{1/2}$ scale 645 GeV	$m(\tilde{g})$> 10^{-4} eV	ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
3^{rd} gen. $\tilde{g}$ med.	$\tilde{g}\to b\bar{b}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	3 b	Yes	20.1	$\tilde{g}$ 1.2 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<600 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
	$\tilde{g}\to t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	7-10 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{g}$ 1.14 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ <200 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-054
	$\tilde{g}\to t\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 e, μ	3 b	Yes	20.1	$\tilde{g}$ 1.34 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<400 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
	$\tilde{g}\to b\bar{t}\tilde{\chi}_1^+$	0-1 e, μ	3 b	Yes	20.1	$\tilde{g}$ 1.3 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<300 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
3^{rd} gen. squarks direct production	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1\to b\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2 b	Yes	20.1	$\tilde{b}_1$ 100-630 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<100 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-053
	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1\to t\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	2 e, μ (SS)	0-3 b	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{b}_1$ 430 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$=2 $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(light), $\tilde{t}_1\to b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	1-2 e, μ	1-2 b	Yes	4.7	$\tilde{t}_1$ 167 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=55 GeV	1208.4305, 1209.2102
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(light), $\tilde{t}_1\to Wb\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ	0-2 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{t}_1$ 220 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =$m(\tilde{t}_1)$-$m(W)$-50 GeV, $m(\tilde{t}_1)$<<$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-048
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(medium), $\tilde{t}_1\to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ	2 jets	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{t}_1$ 225-525 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-065
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(medium), $\tilde{t}_1\to b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	0	2 b	Yes	20.1	$\tilde{t}_1$ 150-580 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<200 GeV, $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$-$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=5 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-053
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(heavy), $\tilde{t}_1\to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	1 e, μ	1 b	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{t}_1$ 200-610 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-037
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(heavy), $\tilde{t}_1\to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2 b	Yes	20.5	$\tilde{t}_1$ 320-660 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-024
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1\to c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	mono-jet/c-tag	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{t}_1$ 200 GeV	$m(\tilde{t}_1)$-$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<85 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-068
	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$(natural GMSB)	2 e, μ (Z)	1 b	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{t}_1$ 500 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>150 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-025
	$\tilde{t}_2\tilde{t}_2, \tilde{t}_2\to\tilde{t}_1 + Z$	3 e, μ (Z)	1 b	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{t}_2$ 520 GeV	$m(\tilde{t}_1)$=$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$+180 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-025
EW direct	$\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}\tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell}\to\ell\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, μ	0	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\ell}$ 85-315 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+\to\tilde{\ell}\nu(\ell\tilde{\nu})$	2 e, μ	0	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 125-450 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV, $m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu})$=0.5($m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$+$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$)	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+\to\tilde{\tau}\nu(\tau\tilde{\nu})$	2 τ	0	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 180-330 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0 GeV, $m(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\nu})$=0.5($m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$+$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$)	ATLAS-CONF-2013-028
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_2^0\to\tilde{\ell}_L\nu\tilde{\ell}_L\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu), \ell\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\ell}_L\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu)$	3 e, μ	0	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ 600 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$=$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0)$, $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0, $m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu})$=0.5($m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$+$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$)	ATLAS-CONF-2013-035
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_2^0\to W^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0 Z^*\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	3 e, μ	0	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ 315 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$=$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0)$, $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=0, sleptons decoupled	ATLAS-CONF-2013-035
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	Disapp. trk	1 jet	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 270 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$-$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=160 MeV, $\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$=0.2 ns	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069
	Stable, stopped $\tilde{g}$ R-hadron	0	1-5 jets	Yes	22.9	$\tilde{g}$ 857 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$=100 GeV, 10 μs<$\tau(\tilde{g})$<1000 s	ATLAS-CONF-2013-057
	GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0\to\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu})+\tau(e, \mu)$	1-2 μ	0	-	15.9	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 475 GeV	10<$\tan\beta$<50	ATLAS-CONF-2013-058
	GMSB, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0\to\gamma\tilde{G}$, long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 γ	0	Yes	4.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 230 GeV	0.4<$\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$<2 ns	1304.6310
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0\to qq\mu$ (RPV)	1 μ	0	Yes	4.4	$\tilde{q}$ 700 GeV	1 mm<$c\tau$<1 m, $\tilde{g}$ decoupled	1210.7451
RPV	LFV $pp\to\tilde{\nu}_\tau + X, \tilde{\nu}_\tau\to e + \mu$	2 e, μ	0	-	4.6	$\tilde{\nu}_\tau$ 1.61 TeV	λ'_{311}=0.10, λ_{132}=0.05	1212.1272
	LFV $pp\to\tilde{\nu}_\tau + X, \tilde{\nu}_\tau\to e(\mu) + \tau$	1 $e, \mu + \tau$	0	-	4.6	$\tilde{\nu}_\tau$ 1.1 TeV	λ'_{311}=0.10, $\lambda_{1(2)33}$=0.05	1212.1272
	Bilinear RPV CMSSM	1 e, μ	7 jets	Yes	4.7	$\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}$ 1.2 TeV	$m(\tilde{q})$=$m(\tilde{g})$, $c\tau_{LSP}$<1 mm	ATLAS-CONF-2012-140
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+\to W\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0\to ee\tilde{\nu}_\mu, e\mu\tilde{\nu}_e$	4 e, μ	0	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 760 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>300 GeV, λ_{121}>0	ATLAS-CONF-2013-036
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+\to W\tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0\to\tau\tau\tilde{\nu}_e, e\tau\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	3 $e, \mu + \tau$	0	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 350 GeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$>80 GeV, λ_{133}>0	ATLAS-CONF-2013-036
	$\tilde{g}\to qqq$	0	6 jets	-	4.6	$\tilde{g}$ 666 GeV		1210.4813
	$\tilde{g}\to\tilde{t}_1 t, \tilde{t}_1\to bs$	2 e, μ (SS)	0-3 b	Yes	20.7	$\tilde{g}$ 880 GeV		ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon	0	4 jets	-	4.6	sgluon 100-287 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693	1210.4826
	WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	0	mono-jet	Yes	10.5	M* scale 704 GeV	$m(\chi)$<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	ATLAS-CONF-2012-147

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

Current limits: neutralino/chargino

canonical case



$m\chi_1^\pm > 103.5$ GeV
for $m_{snue} > 300$ GeV

LEPSUSYWG/01-03.1

degenerate case



$m\chi_1^\pm > 91.9$ /92.4 GeV

LEPSUSYWG/02-04.1

$m\chi_1^0 > 47/50$ GeV
(CMSSM, mSUGRA)
No mass limit in general



$m_{se} > 99.6$ GeV,
$m_{smu} > 94.9$ GeV,
$m_{stau} > 85.9$ (85.0) GeV

S. Su

LEPSUSYWG/04-01.1