# Status of the BAO paper (to be submitted in the following months since one year, but in progress !)

#### Impact of photometric redshifts on the BAO scale determination in the LSST survey

Adeline Choyer, Cécile Renault, Jean-Stéphane Ricol, Alexandra Abate, Reza Ansari, Farhang Habibi, Christophe Magneville<sup>,</sup> Marion Moneuse, Marc Moniez, and Stéphane Plaszczynski

+ Julien Souchard (M2)

LPSC, LAL, SPP

Simulation from cosmological parameters to k\_BAO scale throw a catalog of galaxies with photometric redshift



Compared to previous version: not up to cosmological parameters reconstruction (with CAMEL) because simulations are done with more approximations than CLASS computations

—> modification to use CLASS from the beginning currently implemented (Julien's work, M2, LPSC), for the next works

#### Simulation of a "realistic" catalog of galaxies





Very efficient cleaning of the outliers, but the cost is a loss of 20% of the galaxies -> what is the most critical for BAO scale?



# $_p)/(1+z_s)$ & selection function



Distance along the x Euclidian axis [comoving Mpc]

### Comparison with LSST requirements



with spectroscopic redshift with photometric BDT 80% redshift

3 slices in redshift (0.5, 0.9, 1.5) Each is sampled by 5 grids



## Reference: simulations without BAO oscillations

Simulation of 10 "universe" without BAO oscillations to properly take into account damping and more subtil impact of the photoZ



0

Dispersion of the reference spectra

- 5x10 spectra for reference / redshift
- Cosmic variance not negligible at BAO scale @z=0.5
- Shot-noise starts to dominate juste after the BAO scale @z=1.5

 $< N_{\rm gal} >$ 

**BDT 90%** 

 $[cell^{-1}]$ 

11.7

4.50

0.66

 $N_{\rm gal}$  with

BDT 90%

 $[10^6]$ 

21.1

28.5

11.9

 $< N_{gal} >$ 

**BDT 80%** 

 $[cell^{-1}]$ 

10.3

4.22

0.54

 $N_{\rm gal}$  with

**BDT 80%** 

 $[10^6]$ 

18.5

26.7

9.7

#### BAO scale and errors

z = 0.5z = 0.9• theoretical value significantly lower the PS of the shot-noise grids very noisy at low scales, low z --> includes cosmic variance डेhift --> rises above k~0.1 (8 Mpc-cell size impact) 100 ==> use of theoretical shot noise dshift cut Individual realizations Mean per redshift  $1/<Ngal> [Mpc^{-3}]$ 0.10number Mpc^-1 0.10 -wavenymber [Mpc^-1] sigma\_P(k) = C/k \* ( ( $P_{BAO}(k) - P_{SN}$ ) +  $P_{SN}$ ) / ( $P_{noBAO}(k) - P_{SN}$ )  $C/k = \frac{2\pi \times c}{k\sqrt{V\delta_k}}$  $P_{OSC}(k) = (P_{BAO}(k) - P_{SN}) / (P_{hOBAO}(k) - P_{SN})$  $c = d^2 \times P_{\text{raw cells}} / P_{\text{cut cells}} \rightarrow c \sim 1$ 

Shot-noise: from grids filled by Poisson distribution or theoretical expectation?

#### Ratio "observation" / mean reference



#### Current results

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

- reasonable in spectroZ
- nice @ z=0.9
- still not very good (!) @
  z=0.5 & z=1.5 in photoZ

If the reference (without BAO) is computed without taking properly into account bias or catastrophic galaxies, it impacts the results

--> way to check photoZ properties

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

# This paper

- add plot + text to explain the galaxy density wrt the Science book (if we are totally confident)
- try improved fit to better recover BAO scale with photoZ (but spectra noisy ...)
- (variance cosmic using simulations: grid shape)
- write the conclusion !

#### Future

- Improvement of the cosmological simulation (first step) to allow use of CAMEL as last step
- Use of spherical power spectrum to use shell instead of grids —> lower cosmic variance, more galaxies, much better conceptually
- more realistic: masks, stars impact
- use of the "full" spectrum (not only BAO scale)