DARK ENERGY SURVEY Status, results, algorithmic improvements









1ST LIGHT: 12 SEPT. 2012



1ST LIGHT: 12 SEPT. 2012



SURVEY/OBSERVATION STRATEGY



- ▶ 525 nights over 5 seasons
- ▶ 5000 sq. degrees (main survey) vs. 24 + 6 sq. deg (SN survey)
- •Seeing > 1.1" or 7 days since last observation \Rightarrow SN



SN SURVEY



▶8 shallow & 2 deep fields

9	Shallow Field	ł	Deep Field	
	Exposure	Limiting	Exposure	Limiting
Filter	Time (s)	Mag	Time (s)	Mag
g	175	24.9	600	25.6
r	150	24.3	1200	25.4
i	200	23.9	1800	25.I
Z	400	23.8	3630	24.8
•				

▶ 5 months/season

5 day nominal cadence3500 SN la expected



SN IA REDSHIFTS





FIRST SN IA DETECTIONS











- AAOmega/2dF on AAT: near-perfect overlap with DECam FoV
- SN hosts targeted repeatedly to build depth
- 100 nights over 5 years:
 SV: 5 SN Ia & 2 SNother confirmed
 - Y1 Y3: 1300 SN Ia, 251 confirmed

MAIN SURVEY



10 tilings x 90 s \Rightarrow mag. limit 25.2 (g) .. 23.4 (z)



Survey completeness after Y1

MAIN SURVEY



10 tilings x 90 s \Rightarrow mag. limit 25.2 (g) .. 23.4 (z)



Survey completeness after Y2

MAIN SURVEY



10 tilings x 90 s \Rightarrow mag. limit 25.2 (g) .. 23.4 (z)



Survey completeness after Y4

Seeing distribution in Y1







Seeing distribution in Y2



IMAGE QUALITY







35 M galaxies, 1300 sq. deg

Melchior et al. 2016





$R \; [Mpc]$



WEAK-LENSING RESULTS FROM SV AND Y1 Varga, McClintock et al. (in prep.)

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

 $\Delta\Sigma$ [M $_{\odot}/\mathrm{pc}^2$]

Prat et al. (in prep.)





Prat et al. (in prep.)





METACALIBRATION









Troxel et al. (in prep.)

ALGORITHMS: LOOK AT THE IMAGES!

Melchior et al. (2016a)

http://des-exp-checker.pmelchior.net



DARK ENERGY SURVEY

ALGORITHMS: LOOK AT THE IMAGES!

Melchior et al. (2016a) <u>http://des-exp-checker.pmelchior.net</u>

DES exposure checker View	wer Tutoria	I FAQ	Statistics	API	Gallery	Hodge-podge	SVA1
---------------------------	-------------	-------	------------	-----	---------	-------------	------

DES exposure checker

See how real DES images look. Discover flaws we would otherwise have missed.

Make our data better!

Log in Sign up



# 1	kadrlica		514
#2	ynzhang		430
#3	rpoulton		400
#4	pmelchior		159
# 5	erykoff		137
# 6	pmartini		100
# 7	bellido		82
# 8	menanteau		52
# 9	rgm	1	39
# 10	mhubbard		28

1996

images checked

152

today

What's this good for?

We all want to do science with the DES data. However, no data set is perfect, so we need to identify and account for imaging artifacts in our data. This application helps in two ways:

- It gives you convenient access to the DES annual release finalcut images and shows the processing steps that are already in place to identify and correct for known problems.
- It enables everyone to search for undetected artifacts. We gather your submissions, analyze
 them, and feed them back to the science working groups and DESDM. This way, we can
 refine the processing pipelines to catch the flaws that we know are there.

How can I start?

Check out our Tutorial and you'll know what to do in no time.

How are we doing so far?

The basic statistics are computed in real time. More detailed analyses will become available once we have gathered enough submissions, but you can go to our API page and download the



Y1A1

ALGORITHMS: LOOK AT THE IMAGES!

Melchior et al. (2016a) <u>http://des-exp-checker.pmelchior.net</u>

DES exposure checker	Viewer	Tutorial	FAQ	Statistics	API	Gallery	Hodge-podge	SVA1	Y1A1	
----------------------	--------	----------	-----	------------	-----	---------	-------------	------	------	--

Statistics

On the right, you can see how many images have been checked so far, and how many of them during the last 24 hours. The pie chart shows how many of the checked images have been found Fine or Problematic.

Below you find a break-up of the images that have been found problematic. **Red** areas denote the percentage of those image with a given problem, while **Blue** areas indicate the corresponding falsepositive fraction (see our **Tutorial** if you have questions about the false positives). When you click on any of the pie charts, you can see examples of images that have been found with this kind of problem.

There can be multiple problems in any given image, therefore the proportion do not necessarily add up to one.







ALGORITHMS: SEGMENT YOUR DATA!



- überseg masking of neighbors
- combined with multi-object fitting (MOF) of ngmix <u>https://github.com/esheldon/</u> ngmixer
- Validation against simulations



Jarvis et al. 2016

ALGORITHMS: META-CALIBRATE!

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

(1)

- Calibrating estimators with ab initio sims very expensive
- Determine responsivity of estimator to signal: Huff & Mandelbaum (2017), Sheldon & Huff (2017)
- Reducing shear calibration errors
 from ~5% in SV to 1.2% in Y1

where we have defined the shear response:

$$\boldsymbol{R} \equiv \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{e}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}=0}.$$
 (2)

Note that the derivative is also with respect to the twocomponent shear γ , making R a 2 × 2 matrix:

 $oldsymbol{e} = oldsymbol{e}|_{\gamma=0} + rac{\partial oldsymbol{e}}{\partial oldsymbol{\gamma}}igg|_{\gamma=0}oldsymbol{\gamma} + ...$

 $\equiv e|_{\gamma=0} + R\gamma + \dots$

$$oldsymbol{R} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \partial e_1 / \partial \gamma_1 & \partial e_2 / \partial \gamma_1 \ \partial e_1 / \partial \gamma_2 & \partial e_2 / \partial \gamma_2 \end{array}
ight).$$

We can use the ensemble mean of such measurements e, for example, measured from a population of galaxies, as a shear estimator. Assuming the shear is small, we can drop terms of order γ^2 and higher (we explore this approximation in §9), such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle &= \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle |_{\gamma=0} + \langle \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rangle + \dots \\ &\approx \langle \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$
 (3)

where we have also assumed the intrinsic ellipticities of galaxies are randomly oriented such that $\langle e \rangle|_{\gamma=0} \sim (0,0)$. If we have estimates of R for each galaxy, we can form a weighted average:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rangle \approx \langle \boldsymbol{R} \rangle^{-1} \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle \approx \langle \boldsymbol{R} \rangle^{-1} \langle \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rangle.$$
 (4)

ALGORITHMS: FAKE YOUR DATA!

Suchyta et al. (2016)

<u>https://github.com/emhuff/Balrog</u>



Inserting mock galaxies and stars into survey images





ALGORITHMS: FAKE YOUR DATA!

Suchyta et al. (2016)

https://github.com/emhuff/Balrog





ALGORITHMS: FAKE YOUR DATA!

Suchyta et al. (2016)

https://github.com/emhuff/Balrog





ALGORITHMS: USE MORE THAN ONE!



- WL shapes, photo-zs, correlation functions, cosmological analysis
- Facilitated by MEDS
 <u>https://github.com/esheldon/</u> <u>meds</u>
- Reveals inconsistencies,
 lower bound on systematics



CONCLUSIONS



