Multiparton interactions



Tomas Kasemets Nikhef / VU





GDR QCD - Orsay, September 29, 2016















GDR QCD | Orsay 2016 | Tomas Kasemets

Outline

• Introduction to MPI

Theoretical status

- and a contract of the contract

• Correlations



• in cross sections: double D0 and double W



• Transverse momentum



MPI in hadron-hadron collisions

• Cross section from factorization

cross section = parton distribution \times partonic cross section

• single parton example: $pp \rightarrow Z + X \rightarrow l^+l^- + X$





figure from M. Diehl, QCD Evolution 2014

- Total cross section $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}_{ab \to Y} \otimes f_a(x_1) \otimes f_b(\bar{x}_1)$
 - (collinear) parton distributions: PDFs
 - *Y* produced in partonic scattering (specified)
 - X everything else (summed over fully inclusive)
- Measured net transverse momenta $d\sigma \propto \int d^2 m{b} e^{im{q}m{b}} f_a(x_1,m{b}) f_b(ar{x}_1,m{b})$
 - Transverse momentum dependent parton distributions, TMDs

MPI in hadron-hadron collisions

• Cross section from factorization

cross section = parton distribution \times partonic cross section

- single parton example: $pp \rightarrow Z + X \rightarrow l^+l^- + X$
- Spectator-spectator interactions
 - cancel in inclusive cross sections (unitarity)
 - affects final state X



figure from M. Diehl, QCD Evolution 2014

- Ask questions about X => sensitivity to additional interaction
- predominantly at low transverse momenta: underlying event
- high collision energies (e.g. LHC) can be hard: multiple hard scattering
 - theory: from the 80s, current increase of attention
 - experiment: since ISR, many recent measurements at Tevatron and LHC
 - Modelled in event generators: Pythia, Herwig++, Sherpa etc.

MPI in hadron-hadron collisions

• Multiple hard interactions

cross section = multiple parton distribution \times partonic cross section

Paver, Treleani 1982, Mekhfi 1985, ..., Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer 2011

• Second interaction hard — Double Parton Scattering (DPS) example: $pp \rightarrow Z + b\overline{b} + X$



- Most frequent type of MPI, first step towards complete description
- DPS cross section:

$$\sigma_{\rm DPS} = \hat{\sigma}_{ab} \hat{\sigma}_{cd} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \otimes f_{ac}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \otimes f_{bd}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y})$$

- in terms of double parton distributions (DPDs)
- Here, focus on DPS

Signal and background

- Double parton scattering contribute both to signal and background
 - $pp \rightarrow H + Z + X \rightarrow b\bar{b} + \mu^+\mu^- + X$

Del Fabbro, Treleani, 1999



figure from Diehl, QCD Evolution 2014

Double vs single hard scattering





- Inclusive cross section $\sigma_{\rm DPS}/\sigma_{\rm SPS}\sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Omega^2}$
- DPS populates final state phase space in a different way than SPS

$$|\boldsymbol{q}_1|, |\boldsymbol{q}_2| \sim \Lambda << Q: \quad \frac{d\sigma_{SPS}}{d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_1 d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_2} \sim \frac{d\sigma_{DPS}}{d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_1 d^2 \boldsymbol{q}_2} \sim \frac{1}{Q^4 \Lambda^2}$$

DPS same power as SPS

- Large parton density \Rightarrow enhanced DPS $\sigma_{DPS} \sim (\text{parton density})^4$
- DPS cross section from region of small(ish) momentum fractions

When should one care about DPS?

- Rule of thumb:
 - Several final state particles (typically 4 or more)
 - High energy hadron collisions
 - low momentum fractions are probed (low x)
 - SPS suppressed two single production cross sections large compared to their "combination"
- Conditions are often fulfilled for processes studied at the LHC
- A few examples:
 - 2x same sign W's (small cross section but very clean) Gaunt, Kom, Kulesza, Stirling, 2010
 - Double open charm production (D0D0)

 Double dominates single parton scattering?
 Double quarkonia production,
 W+b (rough estimates about 20% DPS)
 H+W

 Hameren, Maciula, and Szczurek, 2014,...; Echevarria, TK, Mulders, Pisano, 2015
 Lansberg, Shao, 2015; Kom, Kulesza, Stirling, 2011
 ATLAS Collaboration, 2013
 Bandurin, Golovanov, Skachkov, 2011
 - double meson productions, W+bbar, 4 jets, photon + 3 jets, etc. etc.

GDR QCD | Orsay 2016 | Tomas Kasemets

Double vs single hard scattering

- Size of DPS cross sections?
 - If (!?) no partonic correlations, all partons have the same transverse profile etc. etc.
 - \Rightarrow DPS cross section:



- Where is DPS important?
- What is the uncertainty of this approach? 10%? 100%? 1000%? ...
- Where does it break down?

Road to the pocket formula

• What approximations goes into σ_{eff}

$$\sigma_{\rm DPS} = \hat{\sigma}_{ab} \hat{\sigma}_{cd} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \otimes f_{ac}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \otimes f_{bd}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y})$$

- Approximations step 1: Ignore quantum correlations (to be explained)
- Approximations step 2: Separation of transverse dependence

$$f_{ab}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu) = f_{ab}(x_1, x_2; \mu)G(\boldsymbol{y})$$

• Approximations step 3: Separation of longitudinal dependence

$$f_{ab}(x_1, x_2) = f_a(x_1)f_b(x_2)$$

• Results in the (in)famous pocket formula:

$$\sigma_{DPS} \sim \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}}$$

All steps problematic and difficult to control or systematize

Cross section estimates

- Example: double same-sign W
 - small cross section but very clean
 - single parton scattering suppressed by α_s^2 $qq \rightarrow qq + W^+W^+$

and can be suppressed experimentally



Experimental status

- Extractions of $\sigma_{\rm eff}$, under assumption $\sigma_{DPS} \sim \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_{\rm eff}}$
- Additional measurements in hadronic final states by LHCb in similar range
- Compared with a grain of salt (differences in assumptions on SPS etc.)



- Experiment (energy, final state, year) ATLAS ATLAS ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, 4 jets, 2016) CDF ($\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV, 4 jets, 1993) UA2 ($\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV, 4 jets, 1991) AFS ($\sqrt{s} = 63$ GeV, 4 jets, 1986) DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, $2\gamma + 2$ jets, 2016) DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, $\gamma + 3$ jets, 2014) HH DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, $\gamma + b/c + 2$ jets, 2014) DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, $\gamma + 3$ jets, 2010) CDF ($\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV, $\gamma + 3$ jets, 1997) ATLAS ($\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, $Z + J/\psi$, 2015) CMS ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, W + 2 jets, 2014) ATLAS ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, W + 2 jets, 2013) DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, J/ $\psi + \Upsilon$, 2016) LHCb ($\sqrt{s} = 7\&8$ TeV, $\Upsilon(1S)D^{0,+}$, 2015) ₩7₩ DØ ($\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, J/ ψ + J/ ψ , 2014) LHCb ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J/ $\psi \Lambda_c^+$, 2012) LHCb ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J/ ψD_s^+ , 2012) LHCb ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J/ ψ D⁺, 2012) LHCb ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J/ ψD^0 , 2012) 0 15 20 25 30 10
 - figure from ATLAS, 2016 σ_{eff} [mb]
- Neglecting parton correlations, gives $\sigma_{\rm eff} \sim 40 \ {\rm mb}$
 - Much larger than experimental measurements of 5-20 mb

 \Rightarrow complete independence between partons disfavored

see Calucci, Treleani 1999; Frankfurt, Strikman, Weiss 2003; Blok et al 2013

MPI theory status



Cross section and DPDs

DPS cross-section



 QCD requires inclusion of the transverse separation between hard scatterings
 Paver, Treleani, 1982; Mekhfi, 1985;

Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer, 2011

$$d\sigma_{DPS} \sim d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \left[f_{qq}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) f_{\bar{q}\bar{q}}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y}) + \dots \right]$$

+ New phenomena !?! Double Parton Distributions
(DPDs)

• To be added to SPS to obtain total cross section, $\sigma = \sigma_{SPS} + \sigma_{DPS}$

Double parton scattering - factorization

• Factorization theorem (largely) proven for color singlet final states



- Glauber gluons cancel for both collinear and TMD factorization
- Leading regions:
 - Hard, n-collinear, \bar{n} -collinear and soft regions
- Diehl, Gaunt, Ostermeier, Plößl, Schäfer, 2015; Manohar and Waalewijn, 2012; ONS Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer, 2011
- Factorize into Hard part, Soft and Collinear matrix elements

Evolution of DPDs

• Evolution of double PDFs (DPDFs) at $|\boldsymbol{y}| \neq 0$

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{x_1} \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{x_1} \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{y_1} \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{second parton} \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{second parton} \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array}$$

- DGLAP splitting kernels for each of the two partons
- Evolve in separate branches
- The two partons can be generated from a perturbative splitting



which serves as a feed in to the evolution at scale
$$rac{1}{|y|}$$

Contribution has been under intense study and debate

Diehl, Ostermeier, Schafer, 2012; Manohar, Waalewijn, 2012; Gaunt, Stirling, 2011; Blok et al., 2012; Ryskin, Snigirev, 2011; Cacciari, Salam, Sapeta, 2010; etc.

Double or single?

• Double or single — and not to count double



• Small \boldsymbol{y} : $\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}}$ = perturbative scale: $f_{ab}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^2} \left[T_{c \to ab} \otimes f_c(x_1 + x_2) \right]$

• Naive 1v1 cross section: $\implies \sigma \propto \int d^2 y \left(\frac{1}{y^2}\right)^2 \rightarrow \text{UV divergent!}$

power divergence in naive DPS including pert. splitting (= "leaking" of leading power SPS into DPS)

• Solution: DPD includes splitting, regulate small y limit of cross section and subtract to avoid double counting, $\sigma = \sigma_{DPS} - \sigma_{sub} + \sigma_{SPS}$ Diehl, Gaunt, 2016

DPS correlations



Double parton distributions (DPDs)



New way to access information on the non-perturbative structure of the PROTON!

from Matteo Rinaldi, MPI@LHC 2015

Double parton scattering

• DPS cross section:



$$\frac{d\sigma_{DPS}}{dx_1 d\bar{x}_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_2} = \frac{1}{C} \hat{\sigma}_1 \hat{\sigma}_2 \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} F(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{y}) F(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \boldsymbol{y})$$

• Correlations encoded in the double parton correlator

Correlations in DPS

- Color
- Fermion number interference
- Spin (polarization)
 - longitudinal
 - transverse/linear

- Flavor interference
- Between y and x's
- Parton type and **y**
- Between $m{x}$'s

Depend on x_1, x_2, y ,

• DPS cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4} \hat{\sigma}_{p_1 p_3}(x_1, x_2) \hat{\sigma}_{p_2 p_4}(x_2, x_4) \\
\times \int d^2 y F_{p_1 p_2}(x_1, x_2, y) F_{p_3 p_4}(x_3, x_4, y)$$

+ {color, flavour and fermion number interference terms}

Color structure

• Color structure for double gluon distribution:



- Coupling the gluons with their partners in the conjugate amplitude into: $8\otimes 8=1\oplus 8^A\oplus 8^S\oplus 10\oplus \bar{10}\oplus 27$
- Results in 5 independent double gluon distributions with different color structures which all do contribute to the cross sections

$${}^{1}F_{gg}, \; {}^{8^{S}}F_{gg}, \; {}^{8^{A}}F_{gg}, \; {}^{10+\bar{10}}F_{gg}, \; {}^{27}F_{gg}$$

Diehl, Schäfer, Ostermeier, 2011; TK, Mulders, 2014;

Color correlations

• Color singlet and octet distributions

 ${}^{1}F_{q_{1}q_{2}} \to (\bar{q}_{2}\mathbb{1}q_{2})(\bar{q}_{1}\mathbb{1}q_{1}) \qquad {}^{8}F_{q_{1}q_{2}} \to (\bar{q}_{2}t^{a}q_{2})(\bar{q}_{1}t^{a}q_{1})$

- Color correlations enter cross section weighted by a Sudakov factor
 - \Rightarrow Suppressed at large Q

Manohar, Waalewijn, 2012; Mekhfi, Artru, 1985

$$\tilde{U}_{\mu}(\Lambda, Q) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{2\pi} \ln^2 \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right]$$

- Color correlations should not be relevant at large scales.
- Interpretation: Transportation of color over hadronic distance



Polarization



- Two partons in an unpolarized proton can each be unpolarized, longitudinally polarized and linearly/transversely polarized,
 - Correlations between spin, transverse momenta and separation of the two partons

Mekhfi, 1985; Diehl, Schäfer, 2011; Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer, 2011

- Several polarized DPDs which contribute to DPS cross sections
- Large in model calculations

Chang, Manohar, Waalewijn, 2011; Rinaldi, Scopetta, Traini, Vento, 2014; TK, Mukherjee, 2016;

Changes total cross sections, distributions of final state particles and cause azimuthal asymmetries/spin asymmetries

Manohar, Waalewijn, 2011; Diehl, TK, 2012; Echevarria, TK, Mulders, Pisano 2015

Evolution of polarization



- DGLAP splitting kernels (for color singlet unpolarized DPDs)
- Separate branchings expect evolution to wash out correlations
- Evolution + positivity bounds -upper limits on correlations
- Diehl, TK, 2013; TK, Mulders, 2014
 At medium to large scales and small momentum fractions gluon polarization suppressed
 - Because of rapid increase of unpolarized distribution

Diehl, TK, 2014

Evolution of polarization



Parton correlations and cross sections



Cross section vs transverse momentum

- $D^0 D^0$ data from LHCb
- Starting from maximal polarization at low scale
- Polarization can give large contributions, both to magnitude and shape
- Strong dependence on input scale



Echevarria, TK, Mulders, Pisano 2015

Double (same sign) W:

- Small cross section but very clean (measurable in LHC run 2)
- Ansatz for the initial DPDs at $Q_0 = 1$ GeV For unpolarized DPDs $f_{p_1p_2}(x_1, x_2, y; Q_0) = \tilde{f}_{p_1p_2}(x_1, x_2; Q_0) G(y)$, with

Alt 1:
$$\tilde{f}_{ab}(x_1, x_2; Q_0) = f_a(x_1; Q_0) f_b(x_2; Q_0)$$
,
Alt 2: $\tilde{f}_{ab}(x_1, x_2; Q_0) = \frac{(1 - x_1 - x_2)^2}{(1 - x_1)^2 (1 - x_2)^2} f_a(x_1; Q_0) f_b(x_2; Q_0)$,

• Single PDFs from MSTW 2008

• Set,
$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 1 / \int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \, G^2(\boldsymbol{y}) \approx 15 \text{ mb}$$

Martin, Stirling, Thorne, Watt, 2009;

- Evolve with double DGLAP to hard scale
- Polarized DPDs:
 - saturate positivity bound at input scale. Evolve with polarized version of double DGLAP.

Preiminary Preiminary Results for double W:

- DPS cross section results at LO for two $W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
 - cuts: muon rapidity $-2.5 \leq \eta_{\mu_i} \leq 2.5$
 - Absolute size proportional to $1/\sigma_{
 m eff}$ can easily vary by factor of 2

	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV [fb]}$
$\sigma_{ m unp-1}$	0.59
$\sigma_{ m tot-1}$	0.66
$\sigma_{ m indep}$	0.61
$\sigma_{ m unp-2}$	0.44
$\sigma_{ m tot-2}$	0.48
	Ratios
$\sigma_{\rm tot-1}/\sigma_{\rm unp-1}$	1.11
$\sigma_{ m indep}/\sigma_{ m unp-1}$	1.03
$\sigma_{\text{unp-2}}/\sigma_{\text{unp-1}}$	0.74
$\sigma_{ m tot-2}/\sigma_{ m tot-1}$	0.73
$\sigma_{\rm tot-2}/\sigma_{\rm unp-2}$	1.10



work in progress with S. Cotogno

Preiminary Preiminary Results for double W:

• Double differential in muon rapidities



• Same / opposite hemisphere

	$\frac{\sigma(\text{same})}{\sigma(\text{opposite})}, \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$
indep	1.00
unp-1	1.00
unp-2	0.98
tot-1	1.09
tot-2	1.13

= promising variable to detect correlations

Transverse momentum in DPS



work (in progress) with Maarten Buffing and Markus Diehl

GDR QCD | Orsay 2016 | Tomas Kasemets

Transverse momentum dependence in DPS

- Most of single parton scattering at low transverse momentum
 - Accurately describing this region including non-perturbative effects
 - Factorization: for color singlet production in proton collisions
 (i.e. Drell-Yan, Higgs boson in gluon fusion)
 Collins, Soper Sterman, 80s;
 ...Collins, 2011
- Combine collinear and soft: TMDPDFs free from rapidity divergencies
 - Depend on two scales:
 Collins, 2011; Echevarria, Idilbi, Scimemi, 2011; Echevarria, TK, Mulders, Pisano, 2015 renormalization and rapidity reg.
- Production of two color singlets (in DPS)
 - For example double Higgs, vector bosons, color singlet quarkonia etc.
- Small pT region: DPS contribute at leading power

Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer, 2011

- \Rightarrow Low pT region, of twofold importance for DPS
- Theoretically well grounded treatment of double TMDPDFs (DTMDs)

Transverse momentum dependence in DPS

- Set up the theoretical (DTMD) framework, within QCD
 - As few assumptions as possible
 - As much perturbative input as possible, to enhance predictive power
- Provide the basis, correctly including and treating the different effects.
 - Once set up in place, can introduce modeling and approximations to connect with experiments
- Additional difficulties compared to TMDs for SPS
 - Different regions which require different matchings
 - Color (and polarization) structure
 - etc.
- Compared to the pocket formula, it represents the other end of DPS research

Soft and collinear functions

• DPS cross section proportional to

 $F_{\mathrm{us},gg}^T S_{gg}^{-1/2} S_{gg}^{-1/2} F_{\mathrm{us},gg}$ $= F_{gg}^T F_{gg}$



- We define rapidity divergency free DTMDs as $F_{gg}(v_C) = \lim_{y_L^2 \to -\infty} S_{gg}^{-1/2}[2(y_C - y_L)] F_{\text{us},gg}(v_L),$
 - Collinear matrix element $F_{\text{us},gg}(x_1, x_2, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \boldsymbol{y}) \sim \int \frac{dz_1^-}{2\pi} \frac{dz_2^-}{2\pi} dy^- e^{-i(x_1 z_1^- + x_2 z_2^-)p^+} \times \langle p | \mathcal{O}_g(0, \boldsymbol{z}_2) \mathcal{O}_g(y, \boldsymbol{z}_1) | p \rangle, \text{ Diele}$



Diehl, Schäfer, Ostermeier, 2011

operators dressed by Wilson lines (adjoint rep.)

$$\mathcal{O}_{g_i}(y, z_i) = g_{T\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} G^{+\nu} \mathcal{W} G^{+\mu} \Big|_{z_i^+ = y^+ = 0},$$

• Soft function

$$S_{gg} \sim \left\langle 0 \left| \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} \, \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} \, \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^{\dagger} \right| 0 \right\rangle$$

DTMD cross section

• For color singlet production (photon, z, Higgs etc.) at $|q_{1,2}| \sim q_T \ll Q$ $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{DPS}}}{dx_1 dx_2 d\bar{x}_1 d\bar{x}_2 d^2 q_1 d^2 q_2} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2} \hat{\sigma}_{a_1 b_1} (q_1^2, \mu_1^2) \hat{\sigma}_{a_2 b_2} (q_2^2, \mu_2^2)$ $\times \int \frac{d^2 z_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2 z_2}{(2\pi)^2} d^2 y \ e^{-iq_1 z_1 - iq_2 z_2} W_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2} (\bar{x}_i, x_i, z_i, y; \mu_i, \nu)$ with: $W = \Phi(\nu y_+) \Phi(\nu y_-) \sum_R {}^R F_{b_1 b_2} (\bar{x}_i, z_i, y; \mu_i, \bar{\zeta}) {}^R F_{a_1 a_2} (x_i, z_i, y; \mu_i, \zeta)$

 $\Phi(\nu y_{\pm})$ removes UV region $y_{\pm}^R \ll 1/\nu$. Choose $\nu \sim Q$. $y_{\pm} = y \pm \frac{1}{2}(z_1 - z_2)$ Φ dependence cancelled by subtraction

 Double TMDs (DTMDs) ^RF_{a1a2}(x_i, z_i, y; μ_i, ζ) depend on: R = 1, 8, ... color label, a_{1,2}, b_{1,2} = parton and polarization label x_{1,2} = momentum fractions y, z_{1,2} = transverse distances μ_{1,2} = UV renormalization scales ζ = rapidity regularization scale, ζζ = Q₁²Q₂²

Scale evolution

• UV and rapidity scale

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \log \mu_1} {}^R F_{a_1 a_2}(x_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_i, \zeta) = \gamma_{F, a_1}(\mu_1, x_1 \zeta / x_2) {}^R F_{a_1 a_2}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \log \zeta} {}^R F_{a_1 a_2}(x_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_i, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{RR'} K_{a_1 a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \boldsymbol{y}) {}^{R'} F_{a_1 a_2}$$

- Complicated functions (3 transverse vectors!), little predictive power
- When $\Lambda \ll q_T \ll Q$: $\int \frac{d^2 \boldsymbol{z}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2 \boldsymbol{z}_2}{(2\pi)^2} d^2 \boldsymbol{y} \ e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}_1 \boldsymbol{z}_1 - i\boldsymbol{q}_2 \boldsymbol{z}_2} W_{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2}(\bar{x}_i, x_i, \boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_1, \mu_2, \nu)$

then region of perturbative $|\boldsymbol{z}_i| \sim 1/q_T$ dominates result

- But what about the size of **y**
 - can be either small $|m{y}| \sim 1/q_T$ or large $|m{y}| \sim 1/\Lambda$

Region or large y

• Scalings $|\boldsymbol{z}_i| \sim \frac{1}{q_T}, |\boldsymbol{y}| \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda}$

 $\Lambda \ll q_T \ll Q$

• Match DTMDs onto the DPDFs

$${}^{R}F_{a_{1}a_{2}}(x_{i},\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{b_{1}b_{2}} {}^{R}C_{f,a_{1}b_{1}}(x_{1}',\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) \bigotimes_{x_{1}} {}^{R}C_{f,a_{2}b_{2}}(x_{2}',\boldsymbol{z}_{2}) \bigotimes_{x_{2}} {}^{R}F_{b_{1}b_{2}}(x_{i}',\boldsymbol{y})$$

- Mixing between quark and gluon distributions
- Combine ${}^{RR}S_{qq}$ and ${}^{R}F_{us,a_1b_1}$ into subtracted DTMD possible since ${}^{RR}S_{qq}(\boldsymbol{y}) = {}^{RR}S_{gg}(\boldsymbol{y})$ (independent of parton type)
- We calculate soft function and matching coefficients at one-loop order (all parton types, polarizations and color representations, CSS and SCET)
 - Coefficients equal to TMDs PDFs matching coeffs. appart from:
 - 1) Color factors for non-singlet
 - 2) Different vector dependence, since DTMDs and DPDs are parametrized
 - in terms of same distance between partons
 - 3) additional polarizations possible

Region or large y

• Rapidity evolution kernel simplifies considerably

 ${}^{RR'}K_{a_1a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_i) = \delta_{RR'} \left[{}^{R}K_{a_1}(\boldsymbol{z}_1; \mu_1) + {}^{R}K_{a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2; \mu_2) + {}^{R}J(\boldsymbol{y}; \mu_i) \right]$

- Diagonal in color, distance dependence separated ${}^1\!K_{a_1}(\boldsymbol{z}_1;\mu_1)$ usual Collins-Soper kernel
- ${}^{R}J(\boldsymbol{y};\mu_{i})$ remains for DPDFs (rapidity scale evolution for collinear func.)
- Solution to evolution equations:

$$\begin{split} {}^{R}\!F_{a_{1}a_{2}}(x_{i},\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{y};\mu_{i},\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{b_{1}b_{2}}{}^{R}\!\left[C_{a_{1}b_{1}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{x_{1}}{C_{a_{2}b_{2}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{2})}_{x_{2}} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{x_{2}}{F_{b_{1}b_{2}}(x'_{i},\boldsymbol{y};\mu_{0i},\zeta_{0})}\right] \\ &\times \exp\left\{\int_{\mu_{01}}^{\mu_{1}}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left[\gamma_{F,a_{1}}-\gamma_{K,a_{1}}\log\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}\zeta/x_{2}}}{\mu}\right]+{}^{R}\!K_{a_{1}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1})\log\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}\zeta/x_{2}}}{\mu_{01}}\right. \\ &\left.+\int_{\mu_{02}}^{\mu_{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left[\gamma_{F,a_{2}}-\gamma_{K,a_{2}}\log\frac{\sqrt{x_{2}\zeta/x_{1}}}{\mu}\right]+{}^{R}\!K_{a_{2}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{2})\log\frac{\sqrt{x_{2}\zeta/x_{1}}}{\mu_{02}}\right. \\ &\left.+{}^{R}\!J(\boldsymbol{y})\log\frac{\sqrt{\zeta}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{0}}}\right\} \end{split}$$

GDR QCD | Orsay 2016 | Tomas Kasemets

Region or large y

• Cross section for large y:

$$\begin{split} W_{\text{large } \boldsymbol{y}} &= \sum_{c_1 c_2 d_1 d_2, R} \left[\Phi(\nu \boldsymbol{y}) \right]^2 \exp\left[{}^R J(\boldsymbol{y}, \mu_{0i}) \log \frac{\sqrt{q_1^2 q_2^2}}{\zeta_0} \right] \\ &\times \exp\left\{ \int_{\mu_{01}}^{\mu_1} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left[\gamma_{F, a_1}(\mu, \mu^2) - \gamma_{K, a_1}(\mu) \log \frac{q_1^2}{\mu^2} \right] + {}^R \! K_{a_1}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \mu_{01}) \log \frac{q_1^2}{\mu_{01}^2} \right. \\ &+ \int_{\mu_{02}}^{\mu_2} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left[\gamma_{F, a_2}(\mu, \mu^2) - \gamma_{K, a_2}(\mu) \log \frac{q_2^2}{\mu^2} \right] + {}^R \! K_{a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2, \mu_{02}) \log \frac{q_2^2}{\mu_{02}^2} \right\} \\ &\times {}^R \! \left[C_{b_1 d_1}(\boldsymbol{z}_1) \bigotimes_{\boldsymbol{x}_1} C_{b_2 d_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2) \bigotimes_{\boldsymbol{x}_2} \! F_{c_1 c_2}(x_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_0) \right] \\ &\times {}^R \! \left[C_{a_1 c_1}(\boldsymbol{z}_1) \bigotimes_{\boldsymbol{x}_1} C_{a_2 c_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_2) \bigotimes_{\boldsymbol{x}_2} \! F_{d_1 d_2}(\bar{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_0) \right] \end{split}$$

- Non-perturbative input collinear DPDFs, only one transverse distance and several model calculations available
 - at large scales, color singlet distributions dominate
 - ideal future, measured distributions still a long way to go

Region or small y

- Scaling: $\boldsymbol{y} \sim 1/q_T \sim \boldsymbol{z}_i$
- Soft function perturbatively calculable ${}^{RR'}S_{a_1a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}) = {}^{RR'}C_{s,a_1a_2}(\boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y})$
- Expand on collinear distributions (all fields at same position)

$$F_{\text{intr}} = G + C \otimes G \sim \Lambda^2, \ G = \text{twist } 4, \ C \propto \alpha_s$$

$$F_{\text{tw3}}, \quad \text{only chiral odd, discard}$$

$$F_{\text{spl}} \sim \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_+}{\boldsymbol{y}_+^2} \frac{\boldsymbol{y}_-}{\boldsymbol{y}_-^2} T \cdot f(x_1 + x_2) \sim q_T^2, \ f = \text{PDF}, \ T \propto \alpha_s$$

- ${}^{R}F = {}^{R}F_{\text{split}} + {}^{R}F_{\text{intr}}$
- Size of the contributions $\int d^2 \boldsymbol{y} W(\boldsymbol{z}_i, \boldsymbol{y}) \Big|_{\text{small } \boldsymbol{y}} \sim \begin{cases} \alpha_s^2 q_T^2 & \text{from } F_{\text{split}} \times F_{\text{split}} \text{ (1vs1)} \\ \alpha_s \Lambda^2 & \text{from } F_{\text{split}} \times F_{\text{intr}} \text{ (1vs2)} \\ \Lambda^4/q_T^2 & \text{from } F_{\text{intr}} \times F_{\text{intr}} \text{ (2vs2)} \end{cases}$

Region or small y

• DPS cross section contribution

$$W_{\text{small } \boldsymbol{y}} = \exp\left\{\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu_{1}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left[\gamma_{F,a_{1}} - \gamma_{K,a_{1}} \log \frac{q_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right] + {}^{1}\!K_{a_{1}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{1},\mu_{0}) \log \frac{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}}}{\zeta_{0}} + \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu_{2}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left[\gamma_{F,a_{2}} - \gamma_{K,a_{2}} \log \frac{q_{2}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right] + {}^{1}\!K_{a_{2}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{2},\mu_{0}) \log \frac{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}}}{\zeta_{0}}\right\} \\ \times \sum_{RR'} \left[{}^{R}\!F_{\text{spl+int, }b_{1}b_{2}}(\bar{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{y};\mu_{0i},\zeta_{0})\right]^{RR'} \exp\left[M_{a_{1}a_{2}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{y}) \log \frac{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}}}{\zeta_{0}}\right] \\ \times \left[{}^{R'}\!F_{\text{spl+int, }a_{1}a_{2}}(x_{i},\boldsymbol{z}_{i},\boldsymbol{y};\mu_{0i},\zeta_{0})\right]$$

- Non-perturbative input: PDF and twist-four collinear (all color representations)
- Twist-four contribution can (at leading order) be modelled through DPDFs (part of both DTMDs and DPDFs in this region can be matched onto twist four distributions)

Combine regions

• Contributions from the two regions:

$$W_{\text{large } \boldsymbol{y}} = \left[\Phi(\nu \boldsymbol{y})\right]^{2} \sum_{R} \exp\left\{{}^{R}S(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) + {}^{R}S(\boldsymbol{z}_{1})\right\} \exp\left[{}^{R}J(\boldsymbol{y}, \mu_{0i})\log\frac{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}}}{\zeta_{0}}\right]$$

$$\times {}^{R}\left[C(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) \underset{\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}}{\otimes} C(\boldsymbol{z}_{2}) \underset{\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2}}{\otimes} F(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_{0})\right] {}^{R}\left[C(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) \underset{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}{\otimes} C(\boldsymbol{z}_{2}) \underset{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}{\otimes} F(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_{0})\right]$$

$$W_{\text{small } \boldsymbol{y}} = \Phi(\nu \boldsymbol{y}_{+}) \Phi(\nu \boldsymbol{y}_{-}) \exp\left\{{}^{1}S(\boldsymbol{z}_{1}) + {}^{1}S(\boldsymbol{z}_{2})\right\} \sum_{RR'} \left[{}^{R}F_{\text{spl+int}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_{0})\right]$$

$$\times {}^{RR'} \exp\left[M(\boldsymbol{z}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y})\log\frac{\sqrt{q_{1}^{2}q_{2}^{2}}}{\zeta_{0}}\right] \left[{}^{R'}F_{\text{spl+int}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}; \mu_{0i}, \zeta_{0})\right]$$

• Combine large and small y:

$$W = W_{\text{large } \boldsymbol{y}} - W_{\text{subt}} + W_{\text{small } \boldsymbol{y}}$$

• Collins subtraction formalism $W_{\text{subt}} = W_{\text{large } \boldsymbol{y}} |_{|\boldsymbol{y}| \ll 1/\Lambda}$ or $W_{\text{subt}} = W_{\text{small } \boldsymbol{y}} |_{|\boldsymbol{z}_i| \ll |\boldsymbol{y}|}$, equal up to differences in scale choice (beyond accuracy for suitable choices)

Use and relation to most naive DPS

- Back to order 0 (pocket formula):
 - Model DPDs as product of single PDFs divided by $\sigma_{\rm eff}$ (as I showed earlier)
 - Neglect color correlations, take only R=1
 - Neglect spin correlations
 - Neglect explicit treatment of small y region (assume that it is absorbed by $\sigma_{\rm eff}$)
 - etc. etc.
- Provides us with formalism which tells us what we neglect, and which allows us to (for example) do targeted studies of the different effects
- Without much increase in unknown input, can get a lot more out

Summary

- DPS start to be on a rather solid theoretical ground
 - but (naturally) still places which require further development
- Experimental side advancing (many more measurements in last years)
 - Luminosity is getting close to measure DPS in double same sign W
- Phenomenology, many recent studies:
 - Most neglect the parton correlations
- Promising opportunities to nail down DPS correlations
 - for example by hemisphere asymmetry in double W
 - Double quarkonia/heavy meson production promising, DPS cross section are large or even dominant.
- Large fraction of DPS at low/intermediate transverse momenta
- Development for DTMD framework: definitions of DTMDs, their evolution and matching in different regimes
 - phenomenology
 - connect with experiments
 - useful input to MC generators

GDR QCD | Orsay 2016 | Tomas Kasemets