Exclusive photoproduction of a $\gamma\rho$ pair with a large invariant mass

Lech Szymanowski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw

GDR QCD 2016

Orsay, 8-10 November 2016

in collaboration with

Renaud Boussarie (IFJ, Kraków), Bernard Pire (CPhT, Palaiseau), Samuel Wallon (LPT, Orsay)

arXiv:1609.03830

Transversity of the nucleon using hard processes

What is transversity?

- Transverse spin content of the proton:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\uparrow\rangle_{(x)} & \sim & |\rightarrow\rangle + |\leftarrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\rangle_{(x)} & \sim & |\rightarrow\rangle - |\leftarrow\rangle \\ \text{spin along } x & & \text{helicity states} \end{array}$$

- Observables which are sensitive to helicity flip thus give access to transversity $\Delta_T q(x)$. Poorly known.
- Transversity GPDs are completely unknown experimentally.



- For massless (anti)particles, chirality = (-)helicity
- Transversity is thus a chiral-odd quantity
- Since (in the massless limit) QCD and QED are chiral-even $(\gamma^\mu,\ \gamma^\mu\gamma^5)$, the chiral-odd quantities $(1,\ \gamma^5,\ [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu])$ which one wants to measure should appear in pairs

Transversity of the nucleon using hard processes: using a two body final state process?

How to get access to transversity GPDs?

- the dominant DA of ρ_T is of twist 2 and chiral-odd ($[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ coupling)
- unfortunately $\gamma^* \, N^\uparrow \to \rho_T \, N' = 0$
  - This cancellation is true at any order : such a process would require a helicity transfer of 2 from a photon.
  - lowest order diagrammatic argument:



$$\gamma^\alpha [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \gamma_\alpha \to 0$$

[Diehl, Gousset, Pire], [Collins, Diehl]

Transversity of the nucleon using hard processes: using a two body final state process?

Can one circumvent this vanishing?

- This vanishing only occurs at twist 2
- At twist 3 this process does not vanish [Ahmad, Goldstein, Liuti], [Goloskokov, Kroll]
- However processes involving twist 3 DAs may face problems with factorization (end-point singularities)
  can be made safe in the high-energy k_T −factorization approach
  [I. Anikin, D. Ivanov, B. Pire, L.Sz., S.Wallon]
- One can also consider a 3-body final state process [D. Ivanov, B. Pire, L.Sz., O. Teryaev], [R. Enberg, B. Pire, L. Sz.], [M. El Beiyad, B. Pire, M. Segond, L.Sz, S. Wallon]

Probing GPDs using ρ meson + photon production

- We consider the process $\gamma\, N \to \gamma\, \rho\, N'$
- Collinear factorization of the amplitude for $\gamma + N \to \gamma + \rho + N'$ at large $M^2_{\gamma\rho}$



large angle factorization à la Brodsky Lepage

$\longrightarrow$



Probing chiral-even GPDs using ρ meson + photon production

Processes with 3 body final states can give access to chiral-even GPDs



chiral-even twist 2 DA

chiral-even twist 2 GPD

Probing chiral-odd GPDs using ρ meson + photon production

Processes with 3 body final states can give access to chiral-odd GPDs



chiral-odd twist 2 DA

chiral-odd twist 2 GPD

Probing chiral-odd GPDs using ρ meson + photon production

Processes with 3 body final states can give access to chiral-odd GPDs

How did we manage to circumvent the no-go theorem for $2 \to 2$ processes?



Typical non-zero diagram for a transverse ρ meson

the σ matrices (from DA and GPD sides) do not kill it anymore!

Master formula based on leading twist 2 factorization

$$\mathcal{A} \propto \int_{-1}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dz \; T(x,\xi,z) \times H(x,\xi,t)\Phi_\rho(z) + \cdots$$

- Both the DA and the GPD can be either chiral-even or chiral-odd.
- At twist 2 the longitudinal ρ DA is chiral-even and the transverse ρ DA is chiral-odd.
- Hence we will need both chiral-even and chiral-odd non-perturbative building blocks and hard parts.



Kinematics

Kinematics to handle GPD in a 3-body final state process

- use a Sudakov basis :
  light-cone vectors p, n with $2\,p\cdot n = s$
- assume the following kinematics:
  - $\Delta_\perp \ll p_\perp$
  - $M^2,\ m_\rho^2 \ll M_{\gamma\rho}^2$
- initial state particle momenta:
  $q^\mu = n^\mu,\ p_1^\mu = (1+\xi)\,p^\mu + \frac{M^2}{s(1+\xi)}n^\mu$
- final state particle momenta:



$$
\begin{aligned}
p_2^\mu &= (1-\xi)\,p^\mu + \frac{M^2+\vec{\Delta}_t^2}{s(1-\xi)}n^\mu + \Delta_\perp \\
k^\mu &= \alpha\,n^\mu + \frac{(\vec{p}_t-\vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2}{\alpha s}\,p^\mu + p_\perp^\mu - \frac{\Delta_\perp^\mu}{2}\ , \\
p_\rho^\mu &= \alpha_\rho\,n^\mu + \frac{(\vec{p}_t+\vec{\Delta}_t/2)^2+m_\rho^2}{\alpha_\rho s}\,p^\mu - p_\perp^\mu - \frac{\Delta_\perp^\mu}{2}\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

Non perturbative chiral-even building blocks

- Helicity conserving GPDs at twist 2 :

$$\int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \langle p_2, \lambda_2 | \bar{\psi}_q \left(-\frac{1}{2}z^-\right) \gamma^+ \psi \left(\frac{1}{2}z^-\right) | p_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \left[H^q(x,\xi,t)\gamma^+ + E^q(x,\xi,t) \frac{i\sigma^{\alpha+}\Delta_\alpha}{2m} \right] u(p_1, \lambda_1)$$

$$\int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \langle p_2, \lambda_2 | \bar{\psi}_q \left(-\frac{1}{2}z^-\right) \gamma^+\gamma^5 \psi \left(\frac{1}{2}z^-\right) | p_1, \lambda_1 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p_2, \lambda_2) \left[\tilde{H}^q(x,\xi,t)\gamma^+\gamma^5 + \tilde{E}^q(x,\xi,t) \frac{\gamma^5\Delta^+}{2m} \right] u(p_1, \lambda_1)$$

  - We will consider the simplest case when $\Delta_\perp = 0$.
  - In that case <u>and</u> in the forward limit $\xi \to 0$ only the H^q and $\tilde{H}^q$ terms survive.

- Helicity conserving (vector) DA at twist 2 : longitudinal polarization

$$\langle 0 | \bar{u}(0) \gamma^\mu u(x) | \rho^0(p,s) \rangle = \frac{p^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} f_\rho \int_0^1 du \; e^{-iup\cdot x} \phi_\parallel(u)$$

Non perturbative chiral-odd building blocks

- Helicity flip GPD at twist 2 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{dz^-}{4\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \langle p_2,\lambda_2|\bar{\psi}_q\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^-\right) i\sigma^{+i}\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}z^-\right)|p_1,\lambda_1\rangle \\
= \quad & \frac{1}{2P^+}\bar{u}(p_2,\lambda_2)\left[H_T^q(x,\xi,t)i\sigma^{+i} + \tilde{H}_T^q(x,\xi,t)\frac{P^+\Delta^i-\Delta^+P^i}{M_N^2}\right. \\
+ \quad & \left. E_T^q(x,\xi,t)\frac{\gamma^+\Delta^i-\Delta^+\gamma^i}{2M_N} + \tilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t)\frac{\gamma^+P^i-P^+\gamma^i}{M_N}\right]u(p_1,\lambda_1)
\end{aligned}
$$

  - We will consider the simplest case when $\Delta_\perp = 0$.
  - In that case and in the forward limit $\xi \to 0$ only the H_T^q term survives.

- Transverse ρ DA at twist 2 :

$$
\langle 0|\bar{u}(0)\sigma^{\mu\nu}u(x)|\rho^0(p,s)\rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\epsilon_\rho^\mu p^\nu - \epsilon_\rho^\nu p^\mu) f_\rho^\perp \int_0^1 du\; e^{-iup\cdot x}\; \phi_\perp(u)
$$

Models for DAs

Asymptotical DAs

We take the asymptotic form of the (normalized) DAs:

conformal symmetry, $\mu_F^2 \to \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\parallel}(z) &= 6z(1-z)\,, \\
\phi_{\perp}(z) &= 6z(1-z)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Model for GPDs: based on the Double Distribution ansatz

Realistic Parametrization of GPDs

- GPDs can be represented in terms of Double Distributions [Radyushkin]
  based on the Schwinger representation of a toy model for GPDs which has the structure of a triangle diagram in scalar ϕ^3 theory

$$H^q(x,\xi,t=0) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\beta \int_{-1+|\beta|}^{1-|\beta|} d\alpha\; \delta(\beta+\xi\alpha-x)\; f^q(\beta,\alpha)$$

- ansatz for these Double Distributions [Radyushkin]:
  - chiral-even sector:

$$\begin{aligned} f^q(\beta,\alpha,t=0) &= \Pi(\beta,\alpha)\, q(\beta)\Theta(\beta) - \Pi(-\beta,\alpha)\, \bar{q}(-\beta)\, \Theta(-\beta)\,, \\ \tilde{f}^q(\beta,\alpha,t=0) &= \Pi(\beta,\alpha)\, \Delta q(\beta)\Theta(\beta) + \Pi(-\beta,\alpha)\, \Delta\bar{q}(-\beta)\, \Theta(-\beta)\,. \end{aligned}$$

  - chiral-odd sector:

$$f_T^q(\beta,\alpha,t=0) = \Pi(\beta,\alpha)\, \delta q(\beta)\Theta(\beta) - \Pi(-\beta,\alpha)\, \delta\bar{q}(-\beta)\, \Theta(-\beta)\,,$$

  - $\Pi(\beta,\alpha) = \frac{3}{4}\frac{(1-\beta)^2-\alpha^2}{(1-\beta)^3}$: profile function

- simplistic factorized ansatz for the t-dependence:

$$H^q(x,\xi,t) = H^q(x,\xi,t=0) \times F_H(t)$$

with $F_H(t) = \frac{C^2}{(t-C)^2}$ a standard dipole form factor ($C = .71$ GeV)

Model for GPDs: based on the Double Distribution ansatz

Sets of used PDFs

- $q(x)$: unpolarized PDF [GRV-98]
- $\Delta q(x)$ polarized PDF [GRSV-2000]
- $\delta q(x)$: transversity PDF [Anselmino *et al.*]

Model for GPDs: based on the Double Distribution ansatz

Typical sets of chiral-even GPDs

$$\xi = .1 \leftrightarrow S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ and } M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$\frac{1}{2} H^{u(-)}(x,\xi)$$



$$\frac{1}{2} H^{d(-)}(x,\xi)$$



$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{u(-)}(x,\xi)$$



$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{d(-)}(x,\xi)$$



"valence" and "standard": two GRSV Ansätze for $\Delta q(x)$

Model for GPDs: based on the Double Distribution ansatz

Typical sets of chiral-odd GPDs

$$\xi = .1 \leftrightarrow S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ and } M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$$





"valence" and "standard": two GRSV Ansätze for $\Delta q(x)$
$\Rightarrow$ two Ansätze for $\delta q(x)$

Computation of the hard part

20 diagrams to compute



The other half can be deduced by $q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}$ (anti)symmetry
Red diagrams cancel in the chiral-odd case

Final computation

Final computation

$$\mathcal{A} \propto \int_{-1}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dz \; T(x,\xi,z) \; H(x,\xi,t) \; \Phi_\rho(z)$$

- One performs the z integration analytically using an asymptotic DA $\propto z(1-z)$
- One then plugs our GPD models into the formula and performs the integral w.r.t. x numerically.
- Differential cross section:

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma}{dt\, du'\, dM^2_{\gamma\rho}}\right|_{-t=(-t)_{min}} = \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2}{32 S^2_{\gamma N} M^2_{\gamma\rho} (2\pi)^3} \, .$$

$|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ = averaged amplitude squared

- Kinematical parameters: $S^2_{\gamma N}$, $M^2_{\gamma\rho}$ and $-u'$



Fully differential cross section

Chiral even cross section

at $-t = (-t)_{\min}$



proton neutron

$$S_{\gamma N} = 20\ \text{GeV}^2$$
$$M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4, 5, 6\ \text{GeV}^2$$

solid: "valence" model

dotted: "standard" model

Fully differential cross section

Chiral odd cross section

at $-t = (-t)_{\min}$



proton

"valence" and "standard" models,

each of them with $\pm 2\sigma$ [S. Melis]



neutron

"valence" model only

$$S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$$
$$M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4, 5, 6 \text{ GeV}^2$$

Phase space integration

Evolution of the phase space in $(-t, -u')$ plane

large angle scattering: $M_{\gamma\rho}^2 \sim -u' \sim -t'$

in practice: $-u' > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $-t' > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $(-t)_{\min} \leqslant -t \leqslant .5 \text{ GeV}^2$
this ensures large $M_{\gamma\rho}^2$

example: $S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$



Single differential cross section

Chiral even cross section



proton



neutron

"valence" scenario

$S_{\gamma N}$ vary in the set 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 GeV^2 (from left to right)

Single differential cross section

Chiral odd cross section



$$S_{\gamma N} = 20\text{GeV}^2$$

Various ansätze for the PDFs Δq used to build the GPD H_T:

- *dotted curves*: "standard" scenario
- solid curves: "valence" scenario
- deep-blue and red curves: central values
- light-blue and orange: results with $\pm 2\sigma$.

Single differential cross section

Chiral odd cross section



proton, "valence" scenario

$S_{\gamma N}$ vary in the set 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 GeV^2 (from left to right)

Integrated cross-section

Chiral even cross section



proton



neutron

solid red: "valence" scenario

dashed blue: "standard" one

Integrated cross-section

Chiral odd cross section



proton



neutron

solid red: "valence" scenario

dashed blue: "standard" one

Counting rates for 100 days

example: JLab Hall B

- untagged incoming $\gamma \Rightarrow$ Weizsäcker-Williams distribution
- With an expected luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 100 \ \mathrm{nb}^{-1} s^{-1}$, for 100 days of run:
    - Chiral even case : $\simeq 6.8 \ 10^6 \ \rho_L$.
    - Chiral odd case : $\simeq 7.5 \ 10^3 \ \rho_T$

Effects of an experimental angular restriction for the produced γ?

Angular distribution of the produced γ (chiral-even cross section)

after boosting to the lab frame



$S_{\gamma N} = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\quad$ $S_{\gamma N} = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\quad$ $S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$

$M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\quad$ $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4, 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\quad$ $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4, 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

JLab Hall B detector equipped between 5° and 35°

$\Rightarrow$ this is safe!

Effects of an experimental angular restriction for the produced γ?

Angular distribution of the produced γ (chiral-odd cross section)

after boosting to the lab frame







$S_{\gamma N} = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\qquad$ $S_{\gamma N} = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\qquad$ $S_{\gamma N} = 20 \text{ GeV}^2$

$M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3, 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\qquad$ $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 3.5, 5, 6.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\qquad$ $M^2_{\gamma\rho} = 4, 6, 8 \text{ GeV}^2$

JLab Hall B detector equipped between 5° and 35°

$\Rightarrow$ this is safe!

Conclusion

- High statistics for the chiral-even component: enough to extract H ($\tilde{H}$?) and test the universality of GPDs
- In this chiral-even sector: analogy with Timelike Compton Scattering, the $\gamma\rho$ pair playing the role of the γ^*.
- Strong dominance of the chiral-even component w.r.t. the chiral-odd one:
  - In principle the separation ρ_L/ρ_T can be performed by an angular analysis of its decay products, but this could be very challenging. Cuts in θ_γ might help
  - Future: study of polarization observables $\Rightarrow$ sensitive to the interference of these two amplitudes
- The Bethe Heitler component (outgoing γ emitted from the incoming lepton) is:
  - zero for the chiral-odd case
  - suppressed for the chiral-even case
- Our result can also be applied to electroproduction ($Q^2 \neq 0$) after adding Bethe-Heitler contributions and interferences.
- Possible measurement at JLAB (Hall B, C, D)
- A similar study could be performed at COMPASS. EIC, LHC in UPC?

MERCI POUR VOTRE ATTENTION