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## HADES detector

- Located at SIS18, GSI
" Beams: heavy-ions, protons, pions
" Low-mass fixed-target experiment
- Hadron and lepton identification
" Acceptance: 85\% azimuthal coverage, $18-85^{\circ}$ in polar angle
- 80.000 channels
" Fast DAQ: 50kHz event rate



## Physics motivation



- Strong broadening of in-medium states
- Significant contribution from higher (than $\Delta$ ) mass resonances
- Understanding of $\rho$-baryon coupling mechanism
- Crucial to better control medium effects


## Physics motivation

- Study of electromagnetic structure of baryons electromagnetic transition form factor
- Important role of pion cloud at small $q^{2}$



## Pion beams with HADES

Secondary $\pi$ momentum $p_{\pi}=0.69 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, $0.656 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}, 0.748 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}, 0.800 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ in order to perform PWA analysis

- Excitation of the second resonance region
- Beam intensity I $=3-4 \times 10^{5} \pi / \mathrm{s}$
- Target: Polyethylene $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ and Carbon


Pion beam tracker
Diamond detectors


HADMU1

2 Double-Sided Silicon sensors $100 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}, 300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick $2 \times 128$ channels

- Primary beam:
$8 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ ions/spill
- $\mathrm{E}=2 \mathrm{AGeV}$
- Spill: 4s cycle
- Total ~15 days of effective measurements


## Normalization factor

- Normalization via measured $\pi^{-} p$ elastic scattering of known $\sigma$ (SAID partial wave solution)
- $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \pi^{-} p$ (after $C$ subtraction)




## HADES programme for pion beam

Scan of $\mathrm{N}(1520)$ resonance region :

- $\pi^{+} \pi$ - production

Improve very poor $\pi^{+} \pi$-database. Manley analysis is based on only 240000 events (no differential distributions)

- $e^{+}$e- production

No data are available
Resonance Dalitz decays $\mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ne}^{+} \mathrm{e}-$
(Link to time-like transition electromagnetic structure)

- Strangeness production ( $\mathrm{K} \pm, \mathrm{KO}, \phi$ )

Absorption of mesons in cold nuclear matter

## Total cross sections from PWA

$2 \pi^{0}$ channels:
Dominant contributions
are $\Delta \pi$ and $N \sigma\left(2 \pi^{0}\right.$ in $\left.I=0\right)$ $\rho(\mathrm{I}=1)$ does not contribute
$\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$channels:
Important $\mathrm{N} \rho$ contribution





- Only N(1520) and P11(1440) play a significant role around $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{s}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV}$
- New HADES data are crucial for the determination of the $\rho$ contribution
- Still no data on $\rho$ between 1.54 and 1.75 GeV ( part of HADES future program)


## PWA results (one example)

## Bonn-Gatchina PWA including

- HADES data (4 energies $\pi^{+} \pi$ - and $\pi \cdot \pi^{0}$ )
- $\pi$ and $\gamma$ database





## Preliminary

$\rho$ total
$\rho$ s-channel
$\rho \mathrm{D}_{13}(1520)$

10 November 2016 | GDR QCD 2016, Orsay | Federico Scozzi | 9

## PWA $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$inv. mass $\rho$ contribution



Important non-resonant t-channel contribution $\mathrm{N}(1520) \mathrm{D}_{13}$ coupling to $\rho \mathrm{N}: 17 \%$
Total $\rho \mathrm{N}$ : 2.3 mb

Dominated by s-channel resonant D13(1520) production Strong interferences between $1 / 2$ - states with isospin $1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$

## Inclusive invariant mass spectrum (raw)



Signal $=N_{\text {ete- }}-C B$
Same-event like-sign CB geometric and/or arithmetic mean

CB rejection cuts:

- Opening angle > $9^{\circ}$
- Tracks with a not fitted track in the vicinity of $4^{\circ}$ are excluded from further analysis

Signal $\left(\mathrm{M}<140 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=13138$
Signal $\left(M>140 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)=2209$

Efficiency corrections based on
Monte Carlo simulations

## Comparison with simulation

- $\pi+C$ treated as a quasi-free process

- Simulation results are combined according to the ratio $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{C}$ 1:2


## Sources:

" $\sigma\left(\pi^{-} p->\pi^{0} \mathrm{X}\right)=16.1 \mathrm{mb} \pi^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \gamma$

- $\pi p \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}(1520)=20.4 \mathrm{mb}$

Wolf / Zetenyi „QED" model (no FF) with $\mathrm{BR}=4 \times 10^{-5} \rightarrow$ ne $^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$

- $\sigma(\eta)=0.3 \mathrm{mb}(\mathrm{p}) ; 0.7(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{mb}$ $\eta \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e} \gamma$
- Efficiency corrected data
- Simulations filtered through the HADES acceptance
- Cocktail without $\rho$ contribution does not describe measured data!


## Exclusive channel: $\pi-p \rightarrow$ ne $^{+} e^{-}$


$900<$ Miss.Mass $<1020 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{M}^{2}$

Good description using a cocktail of point-like baryons $+\rho$ contribution
$\rho$ contribution from PWA and using the Strict Vector Dominance Model

## Deviation from point-like behaviour



- Ratio between:
- Efficiency corrected exclusive e+e- spectra
- N(1520) QED calculation, filtered through the HADES acceptance
- Clear deviation from unity in the
high mass region!
- Indication for VDM like form factors


## Comparison with GiBUU model

Inclusive spectrum


- BUU-type hadronic transport model
- Incoherent sum of the cocktail components
- $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\pi^{0}\right)=19 \mathrm{mb}$
- $\sigma_{p}(\eta)=0.9 \mathrm{mb}$
- $\sigma_{p}(\Delta)=4.24 \mathrm{mb}$
- Some overestimation in $\pi^{0}$ region and above $140 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ dominated by $N(1520)$ and $\eta$


## Comparison with GiBUU model

## Exclusive spectrum



- Overestimation in $\pi^{0}$ region
" $\mathrm{N}(1520) \rightarrow \mathrm{N} \rho \rightarrow \mathrm{Ne}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ - with $\rho \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{e}}-$ following pure VDM form factor for N(1520)
$\rightarrow$ yield excess for $\mathrm{N}(1520)$->e+e- N at small q ${ }^{2}$
points to known problem of too large radiative decay width : ( $\mathrm{N}^{*} /$ Delta->N $\gamma$ ) in pure VDM model.


## Outlook - exploiting $\gamma^{*}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{+} / \mathrm{e}^{-}$angular distributions

For a vector particle decaying in 2 fermions:

$$
|A|^{2}=8|\mathbf{k}|^{2}\left[1-\rho_{11}^{(H)}+\cos ^{2} \theta\left(3 \rho_{11}^{(H)}-1\right)+\sqrt{2} \sin (2 \theta) \cos \phi \mathbf{R e} \rho_{10}^{(H)}+\sin ^{2} \theta \cos (2 \phi) \boldsymbol{R e} \rho_{1-1}^{(H)}\right]
$$

$\theta$ and $\phi$ are in the rest frame of the vector state, $\rho_{\mathrm{ij} \text { : }}$ coefficients of spin density matrix

Using the data for $\gamma^{*}$->e+e- decay to find the values of $\rho_{11}, \rho_{10}$ and $\rho_{1-1}$ using log-likehood method

Points:data
Histogram: fitted function


## Outlook - exploiting $\gamma *$ and $\mathrm{e}^{+} / \mathrm{e}^{-}$angular distributions

Microscopic model(B. Friman, M. Zetenyi, E. Speranza) arxiv.org/pdf/1605.04954.pdf Distribution of virtual photon angle in CM: sensitive to interference between amplitudes for different contributions

- Distribution of helicity angle: for each contribution, it reflects the electromagnetic structure of the transition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \sigma}{d M d \cos \theta_{\gamma^{*}} d \cos _{e}} & \propto \Sigma_{\perp}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta_{e}\right)+\Sigma_{\|}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta_{e}\right) \\
& \propto A\left(1+B\left(\theta_{\gamma^{*}}, M\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$






## Summary

- HADES - Di-Electron spectrometer in combination with pion beam is an unique tool to understand in details baryon- $\rho$ couplings using both $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ measurements
- Very precise new data in $\pi \pi$ channels
$\rightarrow$ Strong impact for baryon spectroscopy $N(1520)$
- Measurement of $e^{+} e^{-}$invariant mass spectra for inclusive and exclusive channels
- Good agreement with a cocktail of point-like source $+\rho$ contribution deduced from PWA of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$data
- Comparison to GiBUU points to too large $\mathrm{N}(1520)$ contributions (due to VDM model?)
- Programme to be continued in 2018 (higher lying resonances, improved quality of $\pi$ beam, ..)

Baryon data base
A.Saranstev

| DATA | BG2013-2014 | added in BG2014-2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ ampl. | SAID or Hoehler energy fixed |  |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi N$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, T, P, E, G, H$ | $E, G, T, P$ (CB-ELSA, CLAS) |
| $\gamma n \rightarrow \pi N$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, T, P$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}(M A M I)$ |
| $\gamma n \rightarrow \eta n$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}$ (MAMI) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma$ | $T, P, H, E$ (CB-ELSA) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} p$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma$ |  |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{+} \Lambda$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, P, T, C_{x}, C_{z}, O_{x^{\prime}}, O_{z^{\prime}}$ | $\Sigma, P, T, O_{x}, O_{z}$ (CLAS) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{+} \Sigma^{0}$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, P, C_{x}, C_{z}$ | $\Sigma, P, T, O_{x}, O_{z}$ (CLAS) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{0} \Sigma^{+}$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, P$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \eta n$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow K^{0} \Lambda$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, P, \beta$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow K^{0} \Sigma^{0}$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, P\left(K^{0} \Sigma^{0}\right) \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\left(K^{+} \Sigma^{-}\right)$ |  |
| $\pi^{+} p \rightarrow K^{+} \Sigma^{+}$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, P, \beta$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} n$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}(C r y s t a l ~ B a l l)$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}$ (HADES) |
| $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} n$ |  |  |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} p$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, E, I_{c}, I_{s}$ |  |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \eta p$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, I_{c}, I_{s}$ | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, I_{c}, I_{s}$ (CLAS) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p$ |  | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, \rho_{i j}^{0}$ (CLAS) |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$ |  |  |
| $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{*}(890) \Lambda$ |  | $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}, \Sigma, \rho_{i j}^{0}, \rho_{i j}^{1}, \rho_{i j}^{2}, E, G$ (CB-ELSA) |

Included in fit

