# Status of the SoLid experiment

### **Search for Oscillation with Lithium-6 Detector**

### **Benoît GUILLON**

guillon@in2p3.fr

for the SoLid Collaboration

Normandie Univ, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC Caen, 14000 Caen, France

**Rencontres du Vietnam** 

High sensitivity experiments beyond the SM

• Search for Short-Baseline Oscillation (RAA) ----->

> $\Delta m^2 = 0.44 \text{ eV}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 0.13$  $\Delta m^2 = 1.75 \text{ eV}^2$ ,  $\sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 0.10$ observed / no osc. expected  $\Delta m^2 = 0.9 \text{ eV}^2, \sin^2 2\theta_{14} = 0.057$ 1.1 SoLid 0.9 Bugey3,4+ SRPI-Ha SRP Rovno Gosgen ⊢ Krasn 0.8 0.7 10 100 distance from reactor [m]

J. Kopp et al., JHEP 1305:050 (2013)

Light sterile neutrino  $(\Delta m^2 \sim eV^2)$ 



• 235U  $V_{e-}$  spectrum measurement  $\longrightarrow$ 

### Insight for predictions & reactor model



tal systematic uncertaint

F. P. An et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061801 (2016)



All 3  $\theta_{13}$  reactor experiments observes an excess ('bump') between 4 and 6 MeV

• New Segmented Solid neutrino detector ... Neutron detection, non-proliferation

1.0

0.8

0.6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Visible Energy (MeV)

8

Search for relative shape distortion in identical detector at different baselines

## Detector

High resolution

Energy (Large statistics, low systematics) Spatial (Good vertex reconstruction)

Effective background rejection
 Low overburden (almost on surface)
 Reactor radiation (neutron, γ)

## Reactor

- Compact core
- Understanding of the fuel composition
- Access as close as possible
- Security implications (e.g data rates, access rights, safety issue....)



3

## **SoLid overview**

• Detector :  $1.6 \rightarrow 3 t$  fiducial

Composite solid scintillators (PVT / <sup>6</sup>LiF:ZnS) Highly Segmented (8 000 voxels/m<sup>3</sup>)

• BR2 @ SCK-CEN (Mol, Belgium)

HEU(<sup>235</sup>U) :  $P_{th} = 50 - 80 \text{ MW}$ SoLid @ 5.5  $\rightarrow$  12 m Low background (neutron,  $\gamma$ ) Compact :  $\Phi_{eff} = 50 \text{ cm}, h = 90 \text{ cm}$ 

• Physics run scheduled to begin end 2016

Parameters	Objectives
Total mass	1.6 t
IBD efficiency	30 %
Threshold	200 - 500 keV
Anti-neutrinos	~1000 d <sup>-1</sup>
Signal/Background	~3
Energy resolution	14 % à 1 MeV
Systematic uncertainty	2.5 - 4.5 %





## **SoLid collaboration**





DXFOR

















Oxford University
Bristol University
Imperial College

SCK-CEN Antwerp University Vrije University Bruxel Gent University



Virginia-Tech

A. Weber, S. Ihantola, N. Ryder D.Newbold, D.Cussans, K.Petridis, G.Pommery, J.Rademacker, D.Saunders <u>A. Vacheret</u> (new group being formed)...

B. Coupé, S. Kalcheva, E. Koonen, L. Ghyrs N van Remortel, Y. Abreu, A. De Roeck, X. Janssen, I. Piñera, J. D'Hondt, P. Van Mulders, S. Vercaemer, L. Kalousis M. Labare, C. Moortgat, D. Ryckbosch, I. Michiels

G. Ban, D. Durand, B. Guillon, G. LehautF. Yermia, M. Fallot, L. Giot, B. ViaudM. Bongrand, L. Simard, M-H Schune, Y. Amhis, D. Boursette

J. Link, P. Huber, C. Mariani, J. Park

## **Detection Principle**

- Inverse Beta Decay (PVT) :  $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$
- Delayed neutron capture (<sup>6</sup>LiF:ZnS) :  $n + {}^{6}Li \rightarrow {}^{3}H + \alpha$  (4.8 MeV)







Highly-segmented (8 000 voxels/m<sup>3</sup>)
 Cube detection elements (5x5x5cm<sup>3</sup>)
 Light collection by (2 →4) WLS (3x3 mm<sup>3</sup>)
 Read-out by (2 →4) MPPC (Hamamatsu S12572-050P)
 16x16 cubes lattice / plane (80x80 x5 cm<sup>3</sup>)
 Optically isolated by Tyvek wrapping

• Good light yield :  $\delta E / \sqrt{E} \sim 20 \rightarrow 14 \%$ 



## **SoLid features**

• Pulse Shape Analysis  $\longrightarrow$  Neutron Tag (trigger) !



3D topology reconstruction ----> Background identification/rejection !



High granularity allows for signal localization and thus enhances significantly background rejection

7

## **Belgian Reactor 2** @ SCK-CEN

## • Major MTR-type reactors

Material testing/Isotopes production... No others project in fondamental/particle physics Non-proliferation : statutory tasks

### SCK-CEN collaboration

Support, funding (shielding, source,...)Reactor calculation expertiseLarge working area & No time limitation

### • Neutrino parameters

Operating power :  $P_{th} \sim 65 (125) \text{ MW}_{th}$ Highly Enriched Uranium :  $93\% {}^{235}\text{U}$ Neutrino flux :  $\sim 10^{19} v_e/\text{s}$ Compact :  $\Phi_{eff} = 50 \text{ cm}, \text{ h} = 90 \text{ cm}$ Duty cycle : 150 days/year





## SoLid @ BR2

- Adjustable Base-Line SoLid @  $5.5 \rightarrow 12 \text{ m}$
- Reactor On-Axis
- Low vertical overburden < 10 m WE





• Low level of Reactor core background (no beam-pipe (bio-shielded), concrete) Background measurement campaign ... confirmed by NEMENIX and SM1 results





Oxford neutron detector (MARS)



x 40

## SoLid

1.6 t - 50 planes (2 t) 12 800 voxels - 3200 channels



### **SM1**

288kg - 9 planes 2304 voxels - 288 channels

### **NEMENIX**

8kg - 64 voxels 32 channels



### Proof of Concept

- 1. Demonstrate neutron PID
- 2. Measure Backgrounds
- 3. Measure Coincidence Rate

#### 2013

### Real Scale Systems

80 cm

80 cm

- 1. Demonstrate scalability
- 2. Production/Assembly test
- 3. Demonstrate segmentation capabilities

45 cm

4. Physics and Background studies

#### 2014-2015

### Physics Scale Detector

- 1. Optimize Performance
- 2. Implement Neutron Trigger
- 3. Spectrum measurements
- 4. Oscillation Search

#### end 2016 ...

x 5

## **NEMENIX** prototype

8kg 64 voxels 32 channels











- Moved @ 5.5 m from BR2 [08/2013]
  → 30 (19) days reactor ON (OFF)
- Neutron Calibration @ NPL [2015]
- BiPo measurements @ Boulby [2016]



• Detection principle approved ... *technical paper in preparation* 



## **SM1 detector**

Full scale 'prototype'
 288kg
 9 planes (16x16 lattice)
 2304 voxels / 288 readout channels
 Aluminium frame structure

HPDE neutron reflector



## • Assembly and Built @ Gent/Antwerp (~ 6 months)

2300 cubes machined, assembled, wrapped with Tyvek

Carefully weighted : # of protons determined with better than 1 % accuracy



## **SM1 detector**

• Deployement @ BR2 [12/2014]

ADC : 62.5MHz rate (16 ns sample) Light yield : 25 PA/MeV (X+Y) Energy resolution :  $\delta E / \sqrt{E} \sim 20\%$ 50 ns (XY) coincidence window 600 keV threshold







• Improvised trigger and no passive shielding !!

### • Data from February to April 2015 : ~ 2 days reactor ON / ~ 1 month reactor OFF

Period	Dates	Exposure Time (h)
Reactor ON	00:00 21 <sup>st</sup> Feb to 08:00 24 <sup>th</sup> Feb	50.91
Reactor OFF	00:00 27 <sup>th</sup> Feb to 00:00 13 <sup>th</sup> Mar, and	525.51
	00:00 $27^{th}$ Mar to 00:00 $11^{th}$ Apr	
	Exposure time ratio (ON/OFF)	0.0969



► 87% good/stable cube

Data over time

+ dedicated calibration runs : <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>137</sup>Cs, AmBe, <sup>252</sup>Cf

## **SM1 Neutron ID**

- IBD neutron capture efficiency : 55% MCNP/Geant4 benchmark
- Pulse shape analysis to tag neutrons
  PID = Integrale/Amplitude ± Cor<sub>chan</sub>
  Coincidence X/Y





• Can distinguish a neutron in 10 millions events !





## **SM1 Cosmic muons response**

• Excellent muons tracker (>95% efficiency)

PSD, deposit energy, topology, timing





• Monitor detector stability over time (@ % level)



## **Energy-scale and resolution**

• Cube inter-calibration (fibre attenuation) to better than 1% for majority of channels







•  $dE/dx : \delta E / \sqrt{E} \sim 20 \%$ 



• In agreement with <sup>60</sup>Co run, <sup>207</sup>Bi test-bench and AmBe data (4.4MeV  $\gamma$ )





## **Time-correlated signal**

• Muon correlated time signals



• Power of segmentation on background rejection ( $0.1 < \Delta t (\mu s) < 250$ )



## **IBD candidate**





## **Cosmic simulation - neutron generation**

• Full Geant4 BR2 model implemented & 3 independent muons generators (CRY, Reyna, Guang)



### • Spallation Neutrons generation (CRY & Gordon)



## **SoLid improvements**

- Neutron capture efficiency
  - Additional LiF:ZnS sheets
  - New screens with improved transparency
    - Li capture efficiency 0.55 to 0.7 : +30%
    - Reduced capture time 105 to 66  $\mu s$

Light yield and uniformity of response

Double readout :  $2 \rightarrow 4$  fibre/MPPC per cube Thick Tyvek wrapping

### Cube polishing

- ▶ 37 PA/cube/MeV : +66%
- 7% total variation across detector planes
- On target for  $14\% / \sqrt{E}$  resolution





#### attenuation in an improved Solid with 4 fibers plane

## **SoLid improvements - Neutron trigger**

- Neutron signal : large number of photons but distributed in time and large range of light output
- SM1 had a rather low neutron detection efficiency of ~ 5%, due to high trigger threshold (~6.5 PA)





• Neutron trigger implementation (at the firmware level)

Limit data size, rate and dependance to threshold & Maximise neutron and IBD efficiency



• Can recover neutron detection efficiency from 5% to 70% !

**Calibration - neutron efficiency and energy resolution (% level)** 

- PVT response linear in range [0.1-20] MeV
- Sources : Muons, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>60</sup>Co, ..., AmBe, n(H)
- R&D on dedicated trigger system : <sup>207</sup>Bi, <sup>22</sup>Na



### Off-site calibration system (CALIPSO)

Individual automated X-Y scanning



Plane characterization and commissioning Cube to cube equalization

### In-situ calibration system (CROSS)

In-situ radioactive sources deployment



Absolute energy scale and neutron detection efficiency determination at a few %

## Summary

• Successful NEMENIX and SM1 runs

Excellent neutron/EM identificationMuons tracking opportunitiesBackground studies & rejection capabilitiesIBD analysis ongoing ... 2 papers in preparation



positron + neutron (accidental gammas)

• SoLid Phase I under construction : 1.6 t (2t) / 50 planes modular

Funded by ERC (EU), ANR (Fr), and FWO (B)



Better light yield/energy resolution

Read-out improvements : cooling, DAQ/electronics, triggers

In-situ calibration ( $\gamma$ , neutron, e<sup>-</sup>)

Passive shielding (50 cm borated water)

Cosmic veto umbrella (under studies)

• Deploiement for phase I data taking at the end of 2016

